Kobe University Repository : Kernel

PDF issue: 2025-12-04

Metric Discrepancy Results for Subsequences of Geometric Progressions

Fukuyama, Katusi
Suzaki, K

(Citation)
Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics,42(13):3123-3126

(Issue Date)
2022-03-28

(Resource Type)
journal article

(Version)
Accepted Manuscript

(Rights)
© Pleiades Publishing, Ltd.
This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature’s AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any…

(URL)
https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/0100476943

Metric discrepancy results for subsequences of geometric progressions

K. Fukuyama[1,*] **and K. Suzaki**[1,**]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Kobe University*

Abstract—In the previous work, we proved the law of the iterated logarithm for a subsequence of geometric progression $\{\theta^k x\}$ and showed that the speed of convergence toward the uniform distribution is faster than that of the original sequence. It is natural to ask if the speed becomes faster again if we take a subsequence of the subsequence. In this note, we give a negative answer to this question by giving a counterexample.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: `11K38, 42A55, 60F15`

Keywords and phrases: *discrepancy, lacunary sequence, law of the iterated logarithm*

1. INTRODUCTION

The following discrepancies are frequently used to measure the speed of convergence of the empirical distribution of a sequence $\{x_k\}$ toward the uniform distribution:

$$D_N(\{x_k\}) = \sup_{0\le a'<a\le 1}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{a',a}(x_k)\right|; \quad D_N^*(\{x_k\}) = \sup_{0\le a\le 1}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{0,a}(x_k)\right|;$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{a',a}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[a',a)}(\langle x\rangle) - (a-a')$, $\langle x\rangle$ denotes the fractional part $x - [\,x\,]$ of x, and $\mathbf{1}_{[a',a)}$ denotes the indicator function of $[\,a',a)$.

For uniform distributed independent random variables $\{U_k\}$, Chung-Smirnov theorem [2, 14] claims

$$\varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{ND_N^*(\{U_k\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \frac{ND_N(\{U_k\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Philipp [13] modified the method of Takahashi [15] and proved the bounded law of the iterated logarithm

$$1/4\sqrt{2} \le \varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{ND_N^*(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} \le \varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{ND_N(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} \le C(q) < \infty, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

for $\{n_k\}$ satisfying Hadamard's gap condition $n_{k+1}/n_k > q > 1$. Aistleitner [1] proved the lower bound can be replaced by $1/2 - 8q^{-1/4}$ and the upper bound by $1/2 + 6q^{-1/4}$. It shows that the limsup becomes nearer to $1/2$ if the sequence $\{n_k\}$ grows faster.

* Electronic address: `fukuyama@math.kobe-u.ac.jp`

** Electronic address: `suzakik10@gmail.com`

If a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of real numbers grows very fast and satisfies a stronger gap condition

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} n_{k+1}/n_k = \infty, \tag{1}$$

we can prove (see Proposition in [5]) that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{a.e.}, \tag{2}$$

which coincides with Chung-Smirnov theorem and shows that $\{\langle n_k x\rangle\}$ is almost independent.

For geometric progressions, it was proved in [3, 4] that the above limsups equal to a constant and the exact law of the iterated logarithm holds. Actually the following results were proved. For all real numbers $\theta > 1$, there exists a constant Σ_θ such that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{\theta^k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{\theta^k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \Sigma_\theta \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{3}$$

We have $\Sigma_\theta = 1/2$ if θ satisfies the condition

$$\theta^r \notin \mathbf{Q} \quad \text{for all} \quad r \in \mathbf{N}, \tag{4}$$

and $\Sigma_\theta > 1/2$ otherwise. For concrete evaluation of Σ_θ in this case, see [3, 6–8, 11, 12].

In our previous work [9], we proved the following. Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the set of all strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers. For any $\theta > 1$ and $\{m(k)\} \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists a constant $\Sigma_{\theta,\{m(k)\}} \geq 1/2$ such that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{\theta^{m(k)} x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{\theta^{m(k)} x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \Sigma_{\theta,\{m(k)\}} \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{5}$$

We have

$$\left\{ \Sigma_{\theta,\{m(k)\}} \;\middle|\; \{m(k)\} \in \mathcal{N} \right\} = [\,1/2, \Sigma_\theta\,]. \tag{6}$$

By this result we see that exact law of the iterated logarithm holds for any subsequence of geometric progression, and see that the constant appearing there decreases if we take a subsequence. Hence it is very natural to ask if the constant decreases again if we take a subsequence of the subsequence. Surprisingly, the answer is negative. Now, we are in a position to state our result.

Theorem 1. *We can construct a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{\theta^k\}$ satisfying the following properties: It holds that*

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \textit{a.e.}, \tag{7}$$

and for any $\Sigma \in [\,1/2, \Sigma_\theta\,]$, there exists a subsequence $\{\nu_k\}$ of $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{\nu_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{\nu_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \Sigma \quad \textit{a.e.} \tag{8}$$

By the same method of construction, we can prove a result concerning with subsequences of Erdős-Fortet sequence $\{2^k - 1\}$. In [10], it was proved that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{(2^k-1)x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{EF}}(x) \quad \text{a.e.},$$

where $\Sigma_{\mathrm{EF}}(x)$ is a non-constant function.

Theorem 2. *We can construct a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{2^k-1\}$ satisfying the following properties: It holds that*

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{n_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad a.e.,$$

and there exists a subsequence $\{\nu_k\}$ of $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N^*(\{\nu_k x\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{EF}}(x) \quad a.e.$$

2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE SEQUENCE

In case of (4), by (6) we see $\Sigma_{\theta,\{m(k)\}} = 1/2$ for all $\{m(k)\}$ and there is nothing to be proved. Hereafter, we assume that (4) does not hold. In this case we have $\Sigma_\theta > 1/2$.

For simplicity we prove the results only for D_N, since the proof for D_N^* can be done in the same way.

Divide $\mathbf{N}$ into consecutive blocks $\Delta_1, \Delta_1', \Delta_2, \Delta_2', \dots$ satisfying $^{\#}\Delta_i' = i^3$ and $^{\#}\Delta_i = i$. Denote $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \dots$ and $\Delta' = \Delta_1' \cup \Delta_2' \cup \dots$. By

$$\left|\sum_{k\le N, k\in\Delta} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{a',a}(x_k)\right| \le {}^{\#}(\Delta \cap [\,1,N\,]) =: \gamma(N) \sim \sqrt{N},$$

we see

$$\left|\sum_{k\le N, k\in\Delta'} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{a',a}(x_k)\right| - \gamma(N) \le \left|\sum_{k\le N} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{a',a}(x_k)\right| \le \left|\sum_{k\le N, k\in\Delta'} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{a',a}(x_k)\right| + \gamma(N).$$

Hence by taking supremum for a' and a, we have

$$\delta(N)D_{\delta(N)}(\{x_k\}_{k\in\Delta'}) - \gamma(N) \le ND_N(\{x_k\}) \le \delta(N)D_{\delta(N)}(\{x_k\}_{k\in\Delta'}) + \gamma(N),$$

where $\delta(N) = {}^{\#}(\Delta' \cap [\,1,N\,]) \sim N$. By noting $\gamma(N) = O(\sqrt{\delta(N)})$, and by dividing by $\sqrt{2\delta(N)\log\log\delta(N)} \sim \sqrt{2N\log\log N}$, we have

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{\delta(N)D_{\delta(N)}(\{x_k\}_{k\in\Delta'})}{\sqrt{2\delta(N)\log\log\delta(N)}} = \overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{x_k\})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}}. \tag{9}$$

Denote $i^- = \min\Delta_i$, $i^+ = \max\Delta_i$, and define $m(k)$ in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} &m(0) = 0, \\ &m(k) = m(i^- - 1) + k - i^- + 1 \qquad (k \in \Delta_i), \\ &m(k) = m(i^+) + k^2 - (i^+)^2 \qquad (k \in \Delta_i'). \end{aligned}$$

If $k,\ k+1 \in \Delta_i'$, then $m(k+1) - m(k) = 2k+1$. If $k = \max\Delta_{i-1}' = i^- - 1$ and $l = \min\Delta_i' = i^+ + 1$, by $m(i^+) = m(i^- - 1) + i^+ - i^- + 1 = m(k) + i$ we have $m(l) = m(i^+) + (i^+ + 1)^2 - (i^+)^2 = m(k) + 2i^+ + i + 1$. Therefore we see that the increment of the sequence $\{m(k)\}_{k\in\Delta'}$ diverges to infinity. Hence $\{\theta^{m(k)}\}_{k\in\Delta'}$ satisfies the condition (1) and (2) claims that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}} \frac{ND_N(\{\theta^{m(k)}x\}_{k\in\Delta'})}{\sqrt{2N\log\log N}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{10}$$

By denoting $\{\theta^{m(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ by $\{n_k\}$, and by noting (10) and (9), we can prove (7).

To prove the existence of $\{\nu_k\}$, we prepare a Bernoulli i.i.d. $\{X_k\}$ such that $P(X_k = 1) = 1 - P(X_k = 0) = p$, where $p \in (0, 1]$.

We now denote $\{\theta^{m(k)} \mid k \in \Delta, X_k = 1\}$ by $\{\nu_k\}$. In the same way as the proof in [9] we see (8) holds for some $\Sigma \in (1/2, \Sigma_\theta]$ with probability one. We also see that Σ moves continuously from $1/2$ to Σ_θ if we vary p from 0 to 1.

REFERENCES

1. C. Aistleitner, *On the law of the iterated logarithm for the discrepancy of lacunary sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **362** (2010) 5967-5982.
2. K. Chung, *An estimate concerning the Kolmogorov limit distribution,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **67** (1949) 36-50.
3. K. Fukuyama, *The law of the iterated logarithm for discrepancies of* $\{\theta^n x\}$, Acta Math. Hungar. **118** (2008) 155-170.
4. K. Fukuyama, *A central limit theorem and a metric discrepancy result for sequence with bounded gaps*, Dependence in Probability, Analysis and Number Theory, Walter Philipp Memorial Volume, 233-246, Eds. I. Berkes, R. C. Bradley, H. Dehling, M. Peligrad, R. Tichy, Kendrick Press, 2010.
5. K. Fukuyama, *The law of the iterated logarithm for the discrepancies of a permutation of* $\{n_k x\}$, Acta Math. Hungar., **123** (2009) 121-125.
6. K. Fukuyama, *Metric discrepancy results for alternating geometric progressions*, Monatsh. Math., **171** (2013) 33-63.
7. K. Fukuyama, *A metric discrepancy result for the sequence of powers of minus two*, Indag. Math. (NS), **25** (2014) 487-504.
8. K. Fukuyama, *A metric discrepancy result for geometric progression with ratio 3/2*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **84** (2020) 65-78.
9. K. Fukuyama & N. Hiroshima, *Metric discrepancy results for subsequences of* $\{\theta^k x\}$, Monatsh. Math., **165** (2012) 199-215.
10. K. Fukuyama & S. Miyamoto, *Metric discrepancy results for Erdős-Fortet sequence*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **49** (2012) 52-78.
11. K. Fukuyama, S. Sakaguchi, O. Shimabe, T. Toyoda, M. Tscheckl, *Metric discrepancy results for geometric progressions with small ratios*, Acta Math. Hungar., **155** (2018) 416-430.
12. K. Fukuyama & M. Yamashita, *Metric discrepancy results for geometric progressions with large ratios*, Monatsh. Math., **180** (2016) 713-730.
13. W. Philipp, *Limit theorems for lacunary series and uniform distribution mod 1*, Acta Arith. **26** (1975) 241-251.
14. N. Smirnov, *Approximate variables from empirical data* (Russian), Uspehi. Mat. Nauk., **10** (1944) 36-50.
15. S. Takahashi, *An asymptotic property of a gap sequence*, Proc. Japan Acad. **38**, (1962) 101-104.