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#### Abstract

Recently, environmental DNA (eDNA) metabarcoding techniques have been applied to biodiversity investigations in aquatic ecosystems. However, no study has yet tested whether this technique is effective for water bodies in which extensive algal blooms break out. In this study, fish DNA metabarcoding was carried out in Lake Taihu, which experiences extensive algal blooms, to confirm whether the technique is also effective for fish diversity research in ecosystems with frequent and extensive blooms. In December 2016, three samples were collected, including one collected in the presence of algal blooms and two collected in the absence of algal blooms. In August 2017, six samples were collected, including three collected in the presence of algal blooms and three in the absence of algal blooms. Equal amount of water samples (1 L) were collected from each site; however, the actual amount of filtrate varied with the site. Twenty-seven freshwater fish species were detected from the water samples collected in Lake Taihu. The results showed that the composition of the detected species did not differ whether or not blooms were present. However, the amount of filtration could influence the number of species detected. The results suggest that future eDNA
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## Introduction

Global biodiversity is decreasing at an unprecedented rate, far beyond people's imagination (Dirzo and Raven 2003). Human activity is one of the main causes of biodiversity loss, which directly threatens natural ecosystems (Butchart et al. 2010). Freshwater vertebrate species populations are declining at twice the rate of decline of land or ocean vertebrates (Grooten and Almond 2018). Among the 29,500 freshwater dependent species so far assessed in the IUCN Red List, $27 \%$ are currently at risk of extinction (Tickner et al. 2020). Among aquatic organisms, fish species play an important role in ecosystem linkages as well as commercial fisheries, yet many fish species are in danger of extinction. In fact, in China, approximately $15 \%$ of freshwater fish species are listed as endangered or vulnerable (199 out of the 1323 species registered in freshwater systems) (Xing et al. 2016). Therefore, accurate assessment of fish communities is key to maintaining freshwater fish diversity and freshwater ecosystem protection, and it provides essential information for biological protection (Kwak and Peterson 2007). In recent years, environmental DNA (eDNA) analysis and its usage have received widespread attention. Environmental DNA represents all types of DNA found in the environment, including DNA from organisms in the form of metabolic waste, damaged tissue, or sloughed skin cells (Kelly et al. 2014; Barnes and Turner 2016). A method that retrieves DNA from environmental samples has been used to explore aquatic organisms in conservation and ecological studies (Pompanon et al. 2012; Bohmann et al. 2014; Thomsen and Willerslev 2015; Valentini et al. 20016). Two different approaches can be applied. One approach is eDNA analysis, which can detect a single species by using species-specific primers (Sean et al. 2019; Sakata et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2018, 2019; Fujiwara et al. 2016), while the other approach promotes diversity assessments of organisms using universal primers, called eDNA metabarcoding (Miya et al. 2015; Komai et al. 2019). The experimental procedure for eDNA metabarcoding includes collecting water samples and PCR amplification of the eDNA from fish species using universal primers (Miya et al. 2015; Deiner et al. 2016). The species composition was estimated by sequencing the PCR amplicons through next-generation sequencing (NGS). This technique is rapid and non-invasive method that is also cost-effective
(Deiner et al. 2017; Yamamoto et al. 2017). In freshwater fish species, eDNA metabarcoding reveals comparable or higher number of fish species, compared to that from traditional surveys (Hänfling et al. 2016; Shaw et al. 2016; Nakagawa et al. 2018). In recent years, eDNA metabarcoding is used increasingly for characterizing the species composition of ecological communities (Evans et al. 2016; Sato et al. 2017). Therefore, we believe it would be suitable for studying fish biodiversity and composition in lakes with serious eutrophication.

Eutrophication in reservoirs, estuaries, rivers, and lakes is widespread all over the world, and the severity is increasing, especially in developing countries such as China (Yang et al. 2008). When the nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations in a water body reach certain levels, algal blooms can easily occur (Carpenter 2008; Vadeboncoeur, Lodge and Carpenter 2001). Algal blooms can clog the gills of aquatic organisms (Smith and Schindler 2009), and aquatic organisms such as fish and bottom-dwelling animals cannot survive in oxygen-deprived aquatic environments as those in algal blooms (Ansari, Gill and Khan 2010). Researchers studying such aquatic environments have largely performed biodiversity surveys using traditional methods, and the
application of eDNA technology has emerged in recent years (Cai et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018). In the wake of increased environmental degradation and biodiversity loss, more biodiversity surveys will be required in eutrophic aquatic ecosystems with algal blooms to assess aquatic ecosystem health and the impact of the blooms on the ecosystems. Environmental DNA technology provides information on the presence or absence of organisms based on the genetic information obtained from environmental water samples. Most studies that apply metabarcoding techniques for eDNA analysis have been conducted in oligotrophic or mesotrophic waters. To date, only Handley et al. (2019) has applied the metabarcoding technique to investigate fish composition in eutrophic water. It has been reported that species that were sensitive to the trophic conditions of their habitat were distributed in areas with corresponding trophic conditions, while those that were not were widely distributed regardless of the trophic conditions (Handley et al. 2019). Eutrophic aquatic environments are vulnerable to massive algal blooms, and algae clog filter papers, reducing filtration capacity and organism detection rates in eDNA surveys. Nevertheless, very few studies have been conducted to evaluate the potential application of eDNA technology in aquatic
environments with extensive algal blooms on the surfaces. The present study was conducted in Lake Taihu, a representative highly eutrophic lake with extensive algal blooms, and the results of the study could reveal the feasibility of the survey approach in such environments.

This study aimed to determine whether the eDNA metabarcoding analysis method could be applied to a highly eutrophicated lake by analyzing the effect of algal blooms. As a test area, we selected Lake Taihu, China, a highly eutrophicated lake with frequent extensive algal blooms, where fish are an important aquatic resource. We compared the number of detected species and the differences in species composition with the presence or absence of algal blooms. Finally, species detection using eDNA metabarcoding was compared with the traditional methods and the use of the illustrated book for the fish of Lake Taihu.

## Materials and Methods

## Study site

The study area of Lake Taihu is located in the Yangtze River Delta in eastern China, with a surface area of $2,338 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and an average depth of 1.89 m (Sun and Huang 1993). In the 1980s, there were between 72 and 106 different species of fish in the lake (Zhu et al. 2007). During the 2000s, massive blue-green algal blooms broke out in Lake Taihu (Wang and Shi 2008; Zhu 2008). These led to massive mortalities of fish and shrimp and triggered serious drinking water crises (Huang et al. 2014). In addition, due to the multiple impacts of overfishing and degradation of aquatic vegetation, significant changes took place in the fish community structure of the lake, so that now there are only 40 to 50 fish species in Lake Taihu (Zhu 2004).

Water samples were collected during summer and winter in the north of Lake Taihu. We surveyed three sites on December 21, 2016 (Fig. 1, blue circle), and six sites on August 27-28, 2017 (Fig. 1, red circle). The different sampling sites were selected as there were relatively few sites in winter with algal blooms present. Consequently, sampling was carried out across four sites with algal blooms and five sites with no algal blooms. We observed that algal blooms appeared in three sampling sites in summer and one site in winter (Table 1). At site T7, we conducted a survey in both summer (referred
as T7-S) and winter (T7-W). Two bottles of 500 mL water were collected at each site, and $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ (mass/volume) benzalkonium chloride solution was added to each sample to prevent DNA degradation (Yamanaka et al. 2017). We transported the water samples at ambient temperature and filtered them in the laboratory at Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

## eDNA filtration and extraction

The water samples were filtered using 47-mm glass-fiber filters (GF/F; GE Healthcare Japan, Tokyo, Japan; nominal pore size $=0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; cf. Minamoto et al. (2016) for selection of filter type for eDNA sampling). In the present study, we unified the filtration labor, and filtration would continue until it could not be further carried out. The amount of sample filtration varied for each water sample because of filter clogging (Table 1). After filtering the samples, we filtered 1 L of ultrapure water to check for unintended cross-contamination in the laboratory (i.e., filtration blank). To prevent contamination, the equipment was cleaned with a bleach solution (diluted household bleach containing $0.1 \%$ sodium hypochlorite) for $>5 \mathrm{~min}$ before reuse to remove
residual DNA. After filtration, the filters were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until DNA extraction. Total eDNA was extracted from the filter using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following Minamoto et al. (2019). After DNA extraction, DNA was eluted from the DNeasy spin column with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Buffer AE (Qiagen) and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until metabarcoding analysis.

## Amplicon library preparation and MiSeq sequencing

Amplicon libraries for fish eDNA metabarcoding were prepared using MiFish-U primers (Miya et al. 2015). The first-round polymerase chain reaction ( $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{PCR}$ ) was performed in a total reaction volume of $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, containing $6.0 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \times \mathrm{KAPA} \mathrm{HiFi}$ HotStart ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA), 3.6 pmol each of MiFish-U primers, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ eDNA template, and ultrapure water. The thermal cycle profile was $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 40$ cycles of $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~s}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 s ; and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . A polymerase chain reaction was performed with four repetitions for each DNA sample, to reduce potential bias arising through a stochastic variation during the PCR step. Ultrapure water was used instead of eDNA in four reaction mixtures
(non-template negative controls). After four technical replicates of 1st PCR products were pooled into a single tube, unreacted reagents and primer dimers were removed from the 1st PCR products with the SPRI select Reagent Kit (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The purified 1st PCR product concentration of each sample was measured by Qubit fluorometer 3.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit. Then, all samples were diluted to $0.1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$, and all negative controls were diluted using an average dilution ratio.

The second-round PCR (2nd PCR) was performed to add MiSeq adapter sequences and 8-bp index sequences to both amplicon ends (Hamady et al. 2008). The total reaction volume of the 2 nd PCR was also $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, containing $6.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \times \mathrm{KAPA} \mathrm{HiFi}$ HotStart ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems), 3.6 pmol each of forward and reverse primers, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ template, and ultrapure water. The thermal cycle profile for the 2 nd PCR was $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min ; 12 cycles of $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The 2nd PCR products were pooled in one tube (i.e., one pooled 2nd PCR products that included all samples). The library sample of target size amplicons was obtained by
electrophoresis using E-Gel SizeSelect 2\% (ThermoFisher Scientific) with the E-Gel Precast Agarose Electrophoresis System (ThermoFisher Scientific). Subsequently, it was confirmed whether only DNA of the target length (approximately 370 bp ) was isolated by using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The concentration of the DNA library was adjusted to 4 nM . Finally, the library was sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq v2 Reagent kit for $2 \times 150 \mathrm{bp}$ PE (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

## Sequence data analysis

The row reads obtained from Miseq sequencing were preprocessed and analyzed using USEARCH v10.0.240 (Edgar 2010). First, R1 and R2 reads were assembled using the command "-fastq_mergepairs". Second, the primer sequence was removed from both edges of the assembled reads by using commands "-fastx_truncate", "-stripleft" and "stripright". Third, low quality reads with an expected error rate (Edgar and Flyvbjerg 2015) of $>1 \%$ and too short reads of $<140 \mathrm{bp}$ were removed by quality filtering (using command "-fastq_filter"). Fourth, the preprocessed reads were dereplicated using the
"fastx_uniques" command. Fifth, the dereplicated reads were denoised using the "unoise3" command to generate amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) that remove all putatively chimeric, erroneous sequences (Edgar 2016) and partial ASVs with fewer than 10 reads. Finally, ASVs were subjected to taxonomic assignments to species names using the "BLAST" search program to nucleotide database using a nucleotide query (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastn\&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSear ch\&LINK_LOC=blasthome). In the next step, the search was performed with default settings, and ASVs of sequence identity $>98.5 \%$ (two nucleotide differences allowed) were judged as that species or was judged a species of that genus in the case of no distinction at the species level. When ASVs of sequence identity of $<98.5 \%$ were assigned to top hit species, and when the sequence identity was $>90 \%$ to reference sequences, ASVs were classified as belonging to the genus or family level. In addition, the detected reads in the negative control had possibly been contaminated, and the number of Carassius spp. reads detected in the filtration blank of 2016 was removed from reads of all corresponding environmental samples from 2016 (Port et al. 2016; Hayami et al. 2020).

## Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using R ver. 3.6.0 (R Development Core Team 2019), the vegan package version 2.5-6 (Oksanen et al. 2019), and the lme4 package version 1.121 (Bates et al. 2015). The result of the species accumulation curve generated by "rarecurve" function in the vegan package shows that the number of detected species in each sample is saturated, except for the sample T2. In all analyses, the reads data were converted to presence/absence (Jeunen et al. 2019; Fig. S1).

A rarefaction analysis was performed to account for the variations in the number of total reads across samples (Fig S2). The following two analyses were performed individually for un-rarefied and rarefied data, which was obtained by unifying the number of total reads to the fewest reads through rarefying, using the R package "vegan" version 2.5-6. To estimate whether algal blooms (presence/absence) affect the number of fish species detected by eDNA metabarcoding, we used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with a poisson distribution. In the model, we assigned the absence of algal blooms $=0$ and the presence of algal blooms $=1$ and set the
presence/absence of algal blooms as explanatory variables, the number of fish species detected by eDNA metabarcoding as a response variable, filtration volume as an offset term, and site IDs as random effects. Regarding the sampling sites in the present analysis, some studies have reported that the fish community in Lake Taihu is evenly distributed throughout the entire lake since being affected by severe environmental change and overfishing (Tao et al. 2010; Mao et al. 2011). Therefore, we assumed that there was no bias in potential fish communities based on sampling location or season, and the site IDs was randomized in the analysis. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was then performed with "Jaccard methods" and 10,000 permutations to visualize the dissimilarity of fish composition by the presence/absence of algal blooms. In addition, to compare fish composition between the presence and absence of algal blooms, we performed PERMANOVA by function "adonis" in the vegan package. In this analysis, all the data were used to conduct community analysis, because similar result was obtained even at site T2, where only one ASV was detected, was removed (Fig. S3). Two mammals (Homo sapiens and Sus scrofa) were detected in the eDNA
metabarcoding analysis. Mammals were excluded from the statistical analysis. Parts of graphs were drawn using the ggplot2 package version 3.1.1 (Wickham 2019).

Finally, the fish composition obtained from eDNA and the latest traditional survey were compared (eDNA survey: this study, traditional survey and the illustrated handbook for the fish of Lake Taihu: Mao et al. 2011; Li and Shimatani 2016).

## Results

In total, $1,207,279 \mathrm{MiSeq}$ reads were obtained. After bioinformatic filtering (see Materials and Methods), 465,347 reads were retained, corresponding to $38.55 \%$ of the total reads. From the filtration blank in 2016, 55 reads of Carassius spp. were detected, while no fish DNA was detected in the filtration blank in 2017. In total, 28 freshwater fish species were detected (Table S1).

The results for the un-rarefied data showed that the number of detected fish species was significantly lower in the presence of algal blooms (GLMM, $p=0.016$; Fig. 2). In addition, there was no significant difference in the composition of detected species between the presence/absence of algal blooms ( $p=0.969$; Fig. 3). The results
for rarefied data indicated the significantly lower number of detected species in the presence of algal blooms (GLMM, $p=0.014$; Fig. S4) and non-significant difference in the composition of species ( $p=0.514$; Fig. S5). Therefore, rarefaction did not alter the results. Additionally, among the 27 freshwater fish species detected by eDNA metabarcoding analysis, 10 species appeared in the traditional survey, and 13 species appeared in the illustrated handbook for the fish of Lake Taihu (Li and Shimatani 2016) (Fig. 4). According to the species accumulation curve, the number of detected species did not reach a saturation by metabarcoding, after sampling nine sites (Fig. S6).

## Discussion

This study attempted to assess the fish diversity in a severely eutrophicated lake with extensive algal blooms using eDNA metabarcoding. Our results showed that fish species could be detected even in eutrophicated areas where extensive algal blooms break out; however, in such an environment, besides the existence of algae blooms, other factors (e.g., filtration volume and PCR inhibitors) could affect the number of
species detected. In future research, how the aforementioned factors influence the number of fish species detected should be explored.

Although the composition of detected species did not differ by the presence or absence of algal blooms, the number of detected species showed significant differences (Fig. 2, 3). There could be two reasons why the composition of the detected species was not affected. First, since the late 1980s, serious eutrophication has been occurring in Taihu Lake, and fish species sensitive to oxygen-poor environments have been significantly reduced, while those adapted to oxygen-poor environments have survived (Zhu, 2004; Mao et al. 2011). It has also been reported that some fish, such as Coilia and Carassius, can survive by changing their diet (e.g., consume cyanobacteria) (Liu, 2009). As a result, only fish species with strong adaptability to oxygen-poor conditions may remain in such an unfavorable environment. Therefore, the presence or absence of algal blooms may not influence species composition in the lake. In the present study, the filtration volume of the water samples at sites without algal blooms was significantly higher than at sites with algal blooms (Table 1; Fig. S7). When investigating fish diversity in water bodies with extensive and frequent algal blooms
using eDNA, algae could clog filters, and, in turn, directly influence filtration yield and indirectly influence DNA recovery. Consequently, the number of species detected could be relatively low, and saturation points may not be achieved in study sites. The obtained reads, classified as fish sequences after filtering, were compared; lower reads were obtained for the sites with algal blooms (Table S1). This might be attributed to the limitations in the PCR amplification, such as PCR inhibition in the samples from sites with algal blooms. Although previous studies have shown that sample dilution could circumvent the effects of inhibition (Biggs et al. 2015; Cilleros et al. 2019), no dilution was performed in the present study because the amount of water that could be filtered was very small and fish DNA concentrations in the samples were assumed to be very low. Previous studies have reported positive relationships between the number of detected species and filtered water volume (Mächler et al. 2016; Sakata et al. 2020). Consequently, increasing the amount of filtration is key for improving species detection rates. This is the first investigation in the water environment rich in algae, and the filtration has been performed according to the standard experimental methods stipulated before. In future studies, multiple filter papers, filter with a larger pore size, or pre-
filtration to treat water containing more particles are required. Alternatively, the subsurface water under algal blooms could also be collected as opposed to the surface water covered by algal blooms; this could improve the efficiency of eDNA metabarcoding in eutrophic environments. Meanwhile, some studies have demonstrated DNA in sediment has higher concentrations and can be conserved for longer periods than DNA in water (Corinaldesi et al. 2011; Sakata et al. 2021). Therefore, collecting both water samples and sediment samples could enhance detection capacity.

MiFish marker is generally useful for identifying fish species in Lake Taihu. A total of 104 fish species were found in Lake Taihu using traditional methods and an illustrated fish handbook in Taihu Lake (Mao et al. 2011; Li and Shimatani 2016). Excluding 17 species that had no reference data, the rest of the 87 species had reference sequences; however, some species were indistinguishable because the sequences in the marker regions were identical among species, so that some species were not easily identifiable in our data (Table S1). Some DNA sequences were not successfully identified because of high similarity within the genus, resulting in the genus-level classification (i.e., Carassius, Hypophthalmichthys). The similarity of DNA sequences
of cyprinid fish is high within and between genus, which leads to the subfamily level classification (i.e., Acanthohodeus and Cultrinae). We cannot rule out the possibility that the deposited sequence on NCBI may be wrong or caused by incorrect species identification. Therefore, in future research, it will be essential to establish a perfectly correct database. At the same time, we also found that the sequences of many fishes living in Lake Taihu are very close and cannot be fully identified by using 12S rRNA fragments. It is also suggested that a longer marker for metabarcoding or specific primers for a certain genus is required to solve this identification problem.

Environmental DNA metabarcoding analysis has been applied to biomonitoring and has been used to investigate the effect of external factors such as water quality. Our results indicate that the presence of algal blooms did not affect the community structure detected by eDNA metabarcoding, but the number of fish species. A body of essential information of this nature will assist in designing eDNA metabarcoding biomonitoring programs and their application in complex environments, contributing to aquatic biodiversity conservation.
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| Season | Date | Site | Filtration volume | Algal blooms |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winter | Dec-21, 2016 2016 | T5 | 400 ml | - |
| Au-W | 400 ml | - |  |  |
| Aug-27, 2017 | T1 | 350 mL | + |  |
|  | Aug-27, 2017 | T2 | 155 mL | + |

Table 1. Filtration volume of each water sample. + means that we observed the presence of algal blooms at the site and - means that we did not.

## Figure legends

## Figure 1.

Map of the study sites. Green squares mean that we observed the presence of algal blooms at the site. Red and blue circles show the sampling sites in summer and winter, respectively. A red-edged white circle means no fish ASVs have been detected. Circle size indicates the number of detected species. With regard to T7, we conducted surveys in both summer (T7-S) and winter (T7-W).

## Figure 2.

Number of fish species detected in the presence/absence of algal blooms by environmental DNA metabarcoding. The GLMM result shows that number of species is significant higher when algal blooms are absent than when they are present.

## Figure 3.

Composition of detected fish species in the presence/absence of algal blooms. Circles and grey solid triangle represent the samples with algal blooms $(\mathrm{N}=4)$, crosses and white dotted triangle represent the samples without algal blooms $(\mathrm{N}=5)$. No fish species was detected from the sample of T7-S without algal blooms, and therefore, only four points for the latter were plotted.

## Figure 4.

Comparison of the results of detected fish in Lake Taihu confirmed by traditional methods and by the environmental DNA (eDNA) method. The upper-left circle represents the result from eDNA, upper-right represents the fish caught by trawl net (Mao et al. 2011), and the bottom shows the fish species in the illustrated handbook of Lake Taihu (Li and Shimatani 2016).
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