

PDF issue: 2025-05-12

Associations among perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, and personality traits in Japanese university students

Yoshioka, Takuma Ohara, Kumiko Momoi, Katsumasa Mase, Tomoki Nakamura, Harunobu

(Citation) Scientific Reports, 13(1):2553

(Issue Date) 2023-02-13

(Resource Type) journal article

(Version) Version of Record

(Rights)

© The Author(s) 2023. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) a…

(URL)

https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/0100482056



scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Associations among perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, and personality traits in Japanese university students

Takuma Yoshioka¹, Kumiko Ohara², Katsumasa Momoi³, Tomoki Mase⁴ & Harunobu Nakamura^{1,2}

Perceived health competence is thought to contribute to lifelong healthy behavior. However, the factors that affect perceived health competence have not been investigated. We investigated the associations among perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, and personality traits in university students and proposed a model of how these factors affect perceived health competence. The participants were 320 Japanese university students who completed a questionnaire regarding their height, weight, perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, and personality traits. The three-step multiple regression analysis showed that effortful control was positively associated with the perceived health competence, and self-control was positively with, and impulsivity was inversely associated with effortful control respectively, indicating that effortful control was an intermediate factor. Structural equation modeling showed a good fit for both genders, with a common path for both genders to perceived health competence via effortful control and a different involvement of personality traits for men and women. These results suggest that effortful control is directly associated with perceived health competence; in addition, both self-control and impulsiveness are indirectly associated with perceived health competence via effortful control.

The transition period from adolescence to young adulthood is considered to be an important period during which young people become independent in their decision-making and establish long-term health behavior patterns¹. Additionally, the Healthy People 2030² and Health Japan 21 (the Second Term)³ guidelines consider establishing healthy behaviors as an important goal of adolescence.

Practicing healthy behaviors relates to both perceived health competence and self-efficacy⁴⁻⁷. However, selfefficacy applies to for specific behaviors, whereas perceived health competence applies more generally to behaviors that individuals relate to their own health. Perceived health competence refers to an individual's confidence in their ability to effectively manage their health outcomes, and is also related to self-efficacy⁷. Therefore, increasing perceived health competence is important for a lifetime of healthy living and requires an understanding of the factors that influence perceived health competence.

Perceived health competence, similar to self-efficacy, is related to perceived control beliefs in the area of health⁷, and refers to the degree to which an individual feels capable of effectively managing their health behaviors and health outcomes⁷. Therefore, it is possible that psychological factors regarding health control have a relationship with perceived health competence. Psychological factors related to health management include effortful control and self-control. Effortful control represents executive attention and is thought to be the basis of both inhibitory and initiatory control of behavior⁸. To the best of our knowledge, no previous research studies have examined direct associations between effortful control, self-control and perceived health competence. However,

¹Graduate School of Human Development and Environment, Kobe University, 3-11 Tsurukabuto, Nada, Kobe, Hyogo 657-8501, Japan. ²Department of Hygiene and Public Health, Kansai Medical University, 2-5-1 Shin-machi, Hirakata, Osaka 573-1010, Japan. ³Faculty of Health and Welfare, Tokushima Bunri University, 180 Nishihama-hoji, Yamashiro-cho, Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8514, Japan. ⁴Faculty of Human Development and Education, Kyoto Women's University, 35 Kitahiyoshi-cho, Imakumano, Higashiyama-ku, Kyoto, Kyoto 605-8501, Japan. [™]email: nakamhar@hirakata.kmu.ac.jp

effortful control has been reported to be associated with actual health behaviors in previous studies⁹⁻¹¹, suggesting that it is related to perceived health competence. In contrast, self-control is the pursuit of desirable and undesirable goals¹². Self-control has been reported to be associated with various health behaviors¹³⁻¹⁵, suggesting that it may also be associated with perceived health competence. Personality is the dynamic organization of the psycho-physical systems within an individual that determine their characteristic behavior and thought patterns¹⁶. Thus, previous studies revealed that personality traits are related to health behaviors¹⁷⁻¹⁹, raising the possibility that personality traits have some influence on perceived health competence. The five-factor model of personality, referred to as the Big Five, is the predominant model for capturing and understanding individual differences in personality²⁰. The five factor model consists of extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness to experience²⁰. Recently, Gosling et al. developed the Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI) as a brief and time-efficient measure of the five factor model personality domains²¹.

Taken together, these previous studies suggest that these psychological factors may be related to perceived health competence; however, their interrelationships have not yet been examined. Although effortful control and self-control are similar concepts, because effortful control includes behavioral aspects of self-control such as behavioral control and behavior initiation²², it is possible that effortful control is more directly related to perceived health competence. It has also been reported that self-control can be divided into the two dimensions of inhibition and impulsivity²³. Previous research has demonstrated that self-control and impulsivity have a negative relationship, suggesting that impulsivity is more directly related to self-control. It is therefore possible that while effortful control may be directly related to perceived health competence, the other indicators may be indirectly related. The current study attempted to examine the direct and/or indirect relationships between perceived health competence and each indicator, and to create a model on the basis of these relationships.

Methods

Participants. Prior to the questionnaire survey conduction, inclusion/exclusion criteria were determined. Inclusion criteria for this study were undergraduate or graduate students, and the upper age limit was 29 years. No exclusion criteria were specified. In addition, prior-power analysis was conducted using G* Power software^{24,25} to calculate the required sample size with the following assumptions: a medium effect size of .15, an α of .05, and a power of .80. In addition, multiple linear regression, with eight independent variables, was used as a statistical test. On the basis of these assumptions, 109 participants were needed.

Participants were 352 undergraduate and graduate students who attended university classes in the Japanese cities of Kobe, Kyoto, and Tokushima. Survey data were collected in 2021, and participants did not receive remuneration. Anonymous, self-administered questionnaires were provided to 352 students. Of the 352 students, 320 returned valid questionnaire responses with all questions answered. Thus, the response rate, calculated by dividing the number of questionnaires with valid responses by the number of provided questionnaires, was 90.9% (n = 320, 105 men and 215 women, 19.5 ± 1.3 years old). All procedures performed in studies involving human participants followed the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. The study protocol was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the Graduate School of Human Development and Environment, Kobe University (approval number, 504). All participants enrolled in this study provided informed consent.

Questionnaires. Participants were asked about their height (cm), weight (kg), perceived health competence, impulsivity, personality traits, effortful control, and self-control. Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²) was calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by the square of the height (m) for each participant.

Perceived health competence was measured using the Japanese version of the Perceived Health Competence Scale (PHCS)²⁶, which was originally developed by Smith and colleagues⁷. The Japanese version of the PHCS consists of eight items, each of which is rated on a 5-point Likert scale, with response options ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 5 ("strongly agree"). The higher the score, the higher the individual's awareness of perceived health competence. In our sample, the Cronbach's α coefficient for the scale was .838.

Impulsivity was measured using the revised Japanese version of the ABbreviated Impulsiveness Scale (ABIS)²⁷ which was originally developed by Coutlee and colleagues²⁸. The original version of the ABIS was reconstructed from the 30-item Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11)²⁹ and developed as a shortened version of BIS-11 with a 13-item three factor structure. The Japanese version of the ABIS is a 13-item scale measuring attention impulsiveness (inability to concentrate) (5 items), non-planning impulsiveness or lack of future planning impulsiveness (lack of premeditation) (4 items), and motor impulsiveness (action without thought) (4 items), each of which is rated on a 6-point Likert scale, with response options ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 6 ("strongly agree"). Each subscale is scored by averaging responses on all the relevant subscale items, after accounting for the reverse-scored items. Higher scores indicate greater impulsivity. In this study, the Cronbach's α for this scale was .796.

Personality traits were evaluated using the Japanese version of the Ten Item Personality Inventory (TIPI-J)³⁰. TIPI was originally developed by Gosling et al.²¹ as an improved version of the five-factor model of personality³¹. The TIPI-J consists of 10 items, each of which is rated on a 7-point Likert scale, with response options ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 7 ("strongly agree"). The TIPI-J measures five important personality traits: extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness. The characteristics of five personality traits are as follows³². Extraversion is defined by positive emotions, such as gregariousness and the tendency to seek outstimulation. Agreeableness describes an individual's level of cooperativeness and compassion. Conscientiousness refers to carefulness and organizational ability. Neuroticism includes negative emotions, such as anxiety and depression, and is commonly defined as emotional instability. Openness captures imagination and intellectual curiosity. It has adequate convergent and discriminant validity, test–retest reliability, and patterns of external

correlates³⁰. Cronbach's a for extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness in this study were .716, .353, .510, .376, and .445, respectively.

To measure effortful control, we used the Japanese version of the Effortful Control Scale³³, which was developed from the original Effortful Control Scale included in the Adult Temperament Questionnaire⁸. The Japanese version of the Effortful Control Scale consists of 35 items, each of which is rated on a 4-point Likert scale, with response options ranging from 1 ("Not applicable at all") to 4 ("Very applicable"). It includes the following three subscales: attentional control which involves the ability to voluntarily focus or shift attention (12 items), inhibitory control which is the ability to effortfully inhibit behavior (11 items), and activation control which involves the ability to activate behavior even when one is not fully motivated (12 items)^{34–36}. Cronbach's α for this scale in this study was .873.

Self-control was measured using the Japanese version of the Brief Self Control Scale (BSCS-J)³⁷ which was originally developed by Tangney et al.³⁸. BSCS-J is a scale which measures an individual's capacity to override or change their inner responses, as well as to interrupt undesired behavioral tendencies and to refrain from acting on them^{37,38}. The BSCS-J consists of 13 items, each of which is rated on a 5-point Likert scale, with response options ranging from 1 ("Not applicable at all") to 5 ("Very applicable"). Total scores range from 13 to 65 points: the higher the score, the more likely individuals are to control themselves. Cronbach's α for this scale in this study was .834.

Statistical analysis. Student's t-tests were used for evaluation of the differences between men and women. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated for the associations between BMI, perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, impulsiveness, and personality traits.

We used the method described by Baron and Kenny³⁹ and Ohara et al.⁴⁰ for three-step multiple linear regression analysis to determine whether effortful control could be considered an intermediate variable. In the first step, perceived health competence was the dependent variable and the other indicators (except effortful control) were independent variables. In the second step, effortful control was the dependent variable, and the other indicators were independent variables. In the final step, perceived health competence was the dependent variable and the other indicators (including effortful control) were independent variables. In the results of the three-step analysis, effortful control was considered to be an intermediate factor if the following three conditions were satisfied; (1) self-control as an independent variable was significantly related to perceived health competence as a dependent variable in the first step when effortful control was not included in the model as an independent variable, (2) In the second step, self-control as an independent variable was significantly related to effortful control as a dependent variable, (3) In the final step, effortful control as an independent variable was significantly related to perceived health competence as a dependent variable when both self-control and effortful control were included in the model as independent variables.

The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to detect the degree of multicollinearity among the variables. VIF > 10 was considered to be indicative of multicollinearity and should be excluded from the regression model. Effect sizes for the multiple regression analysis were reported as Cohen's f^2 and interpreted according to Cohen's recommendation of .02 for a small effect, .15 for a medium effect, and .35 for a large effect⁴¹. Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to explore the association between perceived health competence and related factors. The fit indices used to evaluate the model were the ratio of the chi-square value to the degrees of freedom (χ^2/df) < 2.0⁴²; standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) < .08; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) including the 90% confidence interval < .05 (values between .05 and .08 were considered acceptable); and the comparative fit index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) ≥ .90^{43,44}. We also calculated Akaike's information criterion (AIC) to estimate the relative quality of our model.

The level of statistical significance was set at .05. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS^{*} 27.0 for Windows (International Business Machines Corp, Armonk, NY) and Amos 27.0 for Windows (International Business Machines Corp, Armonk, NY).

Results

Height, weight, and BMI were significantly higher in men than in women. On the TIPI-J, extraversion was higher in women than in men. The other measures showed no significant gender differences (Table 1).

Pearson's correlation coefficients for the associations between BMI, perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, impulsiveness, and personality traits in men and women are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. For both men and women, perceived health competence was significantly positively correlated with effortful control, self-control, extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness, and significantly inversely correlated with impulsiveness and neuroticism. Specifically, effortful control was significantly positively correlated with self-control, conscientiousness, and openness, and significantly inversely correlated with impulsiveness in men. In women, effortful control was significantly positively correlated with self-control, extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness, and significantly inversely correlated with BMI, impulsiveness, and neuroticism. Self-control was significantly positively correlated with BMI, impulsiveness and openness, and significantly positively correlated with extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and openness, and significantly inversely correlated with extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and openness, and significantly inversely correlated with impulsiveness and neuroticism in men. In women, self-control was significantly correlated with impulsiveness and openness, and significantly inversely correlated with impulsiveness.

The results of simple regression analysis are shown in Table 4, and those for multiple regression analyses in Table 5. We conducted multiple linear regression analysis in three steps as described by Baron and Kenny³⁹ and Ohara et al.⁴⁰ to verify if effortful control could be considered an intermediate variable (Table 5). The multiple linear regression results for Steps 1, 2, and 3 are shown in Table 5.

	Men(n=105)	Women(n=215)	<i>p</i> -value ^a				
Height (cm)	172.0 ± 5.3	159.0±5.5	<.001				
Body weight (kg)	62.6 ± 9.5	51.2 ± 6.1	<.001				
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.2 ± 3.0	20.2±2.1	.005				
Perceived health competence	3.1 ± 0.8	3.1±0.7	.884				
Effortful control							
Inhivitory control	2.7 ± 0.4	2.8±0.5	.713				
Activation control	2.6 ± 0.5	2.6±0.5	.542				
Attention control	2.3 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.5	.057				
Total	2.5 ± 0.4	2.6±0.4	.225				
Self-control	36.2±8.6	37.2±8.4	.349				
Impulsiveness							
Motor	2.9 ± 0.8	3.1±0.9	.201				
Non-planning	3.4 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.8	.396				
Attentional	3.1 ± 0.7	3.1±0.7	.525				
Total	3.1 ± 0.6	3.1±0.6	.935				
Personality traits							
Extraversion	3.6 ± 1.5	4.0±1.5	.016				
Agreeableness	5.0 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 1.0	.263				
Conscientiousness	3.2 ± 1.2	3.4±1.2	.100				
Neuroticism	4.4 ± 1.2	4.5±1.1	.843				
Openness	3.9±1.3	3.8±1.2	.262				

Table 1. Anthropometry, perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, impulsiveness, andpersonality traits by gender. Values are means \pm standard deviations. *BMI* Body mass index. ^a*p*-value forStudent's t-test between men and women.

	Perceived health competence	BMI	Effortful contorl	Self-control	Impulsiveness	Extraversion	Agreeableness	Conscientious- ness	Neuroticism	Openness
Perceived health competence	-									
BMI	.061	-								
Effortful control	.562*	.065	-							
Self-control	.549*	.016	.758*	-						
Impulsiveness	309*	101	596*	561*	-					
Extraversion	.211*	.111	.187	.257*	.027	-				
Agreeableness	.231*	.250*	.112	.215*	337*	.042	-			
Conscientious- ness	.401*	.127	.499*	.684*	389*	.234*	.186	-		
Neuroticism	227*	.090	189	274*	.153	273*	195*	041	-	
Openness	.382*	031	.292*	.385*	185	.480*	.102	.288*	382*	-

Table 2. Pearson's correlation coefficients between perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, BMI, impulsiveness, and personality traits in men. n = 105. *BMI* Body mass index. *p < .05 (Pearson's correlation coefficient).

.....

In Step 1, where perceived health competence was entered into the model as the dependent variable and effortful control was not entered into the model as the independent variable, the multiple regression for men showed that self-control was significantly positively associated with perceived health competence. For women, self-control, extraversion, and agreeableness were significantly positively associated with perceived health competence.

In Step 2, where effortful control was entered into the model as the dependent variable and self-control was entered into the model as the independent variable, the multiple regression for men showed that self-control was significantly positively associated with effortful control, while impulsiveness was significantly inversely associated with effortful control and extraversion were significantly positively associated with effortful control, and impulsiveness and neuroticism were significantly inversely associated with effortful control.

In Step 3, in which perceived health competence was entered into the model as the dependent variable and both effortful control and self-control were entered into the model as the independent variables, effortful control and openness were significantly positively associated with perceived health competence, but self-control was not associated with perceived health competence in men. In women, effortful control, extraversion, and agreeableness

	Perceived health competence	BMI	Effortful contorl	Self-control	Impulsiveness	Extraversion	Agreeableness	Conscientious	Neuroticism- ness	Openness
Perceived health competence	_									
BMI	102	-								
Effortful control	.469*	173*	-							
Self-control	.411*	071	.793*	-						
Impulsiveness	337*	.061	641*	629*	-					
Extraversion	.321*	.021	.178*	.108	.037	-				
Agreeableness	.250*	036	.154*	.125	289*	127	-			
Conscientious- ness	.312*	080	.517*	.629*	511*	.150*	.018	-		
Neuroticism	149*	.138*	190*	105	.046	057	073	054	-	
Openness	.214*	049	.291*	.282*	071	.316*	.030	.286*	098	-

Table 3. Pearson's correlation coefficients between perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, BMI, impulsiveness, and personality traits in women. n = 215. *BMI* Body mass index. *p < .05 (Pearson's correlation coefficient).

,

	Men (n	=105)	Women (n = 215)				
	β	<i>p</i> -value	β	<i>p</i> -value			
Perceived health competence ^a							
Effortful control	.562	<.001	.469	<.001			
Self-control	.549	<.001	.411	<.001			
Impulsiveness	309	.001	337	<.001			
Personality traits							
Extraversion	.211	.031	.321	<.001			
Agreeableness	.231	.018	.250	<.001			
Conscientiousness	.401	<.001	.312	<.001			
Neuroticism	227	.020	149	.029			
Openness	.382	<.001	.214	.002			
Effortful control ^a							
Self-control	.758	<.001	.793	<.001			
Impulsiveness	596	<.001	641	<.001			
Personality traits							
Extraversion	.187	.056	.178	.009			
Agreeableness	.112	.255	.154	.024			
Conscientiousness	.499	<.001	.517	<.001			
Neuroticism	189	.053	190	.005			
Openness	.292	.002	.291	<.001			

 Table 4.
 Simple regression analysis with perceived health competence or effortful control as the dependent variable.

 *Dependent variable.
 *Dependent variable.

were significantly positively associated with perceived health competence, but self-control was not associated with perceived health competence.

Figure 1 shows the results of the SEM and the relationships among perceived health competence, effortful control, self-control, impulsiveness, and personality traits. The model was finalized after inspection of the modification indices and allowing for the unique variances of five pairs to correlate. In men, self-control was significantly positively associated (p < .001), and impulsiveness was significantly inversely associated (p < .001), with effortful control. Effortful control, openness, and agreeableness were significantly positively associated with perceived health competence (effortful control, p < .001; openness, p = .010; agreeableness, p = .046). Conscientiousness was significantly positively associated with self-control (p < .001), while impulsiveness was significantly inversely associated with self-control (p < .001). The model showed a good fit: $\chi^2 = 39.958$, df = 19, χ^2 /df = 2.103; SRMR = .112; GFI = .927, AGFI = .826, TLI = .877, CFI = .935, and RMSEA = .103. The AIC was 91.958.

In women, self-control and extraversion were significantly positively associated (self-control, p < .001; extraversion, p = .002), and impulsiveness and neuroticism were significantly inversely associated (impulsiveness, p < .001; neuroticism, p = .005), with effortful control. Effortful control, agreeableness, and extraversion were

	Men (n	= 105)		Women (n=215)			
	β	<i>p</i> -value	VIF	β	<i>p</i> -value	VIF	
[Step 1] ^a							
Self-control	.437	.002	2.664	.243	.005	2.207	
Impulsiveness	.029	.782	1.653	101	.210	1.960	
Personality traits							
Extraversion	015	.876	1.407	.311	<.001	1.148	
Agreeableness	.117	.190	1.165	.223	<.001	1.143	
Conscientiousness	.038	.745	2.029	.049	.526	1.823	
Neuroticism	016	.861	1.321	081	.162	1.023	
Openness	.197	.055	1.541	.011	.861	1.248	
[Step 2] ^b							
Self-control	.635	<.001	2.664	.605	<.001	2.207	
Impulsiveness	301	<.001	1.653	278	<.001	1.960	
Personality traits							
Extraversion	.053	.469	1.407	.102	.014	1.148	
Agreeableness	116	.083	1.165	.003	.948	1.143	
Conscientiousness	042	.636	2.029	047	.363	1.823	
Neuroticism	.020	.780	1.321	103	.009	1.023	
Openness	002	.980	1.541	.071	.099	1.248	
[Step 3] ^c							
Effortful control	.430	.001	2.719	.253	.014	3.251	
Self-control	.164	.282	3.761	.091	.386	3.398	
Impulsiveness	.159	.143	1.899	031	.715	2.211	
Personality traits							
Extraversion	038	.683	1.414	.285	<.001	1.182	
Agreeableness	.167	.054	1.202	.222	<.001	1.143	
Conscientiousness	.056	.615	2.033	.061	.426	1.830	
Neuroticism	025	.781	1.322	056	.341	1.057	
Openness	.198	.043	1.541	007	.915	1.264	

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis with perceived health competence or effortful control as the dependent variable. Step 1 : perceived health competence as the dependent variable. Step 2 : effortful control as the dependent variable. Step 3 : perceived health competence as the dependent variable and effortful control as the intermediate factor. *VIF* Variance inflation factor. ^aAdjusted R² (Cohen's *f*²) of multiple regression: .302 (.433) for men, .297 (.422) for women. ^bAdjusted R² (Cohen's *f*²) of multiple regression: .606 (1.538) for men, .682 (2.145) for women. ^cAdjusted R² (Cohen's *f*²) of multiple regression: .369 (.585) for men, .314 (.458) for women.

significantly positively associated with perceived health competence (all p < .001). Conscientiousness was significantly positively associated with self-control (p < .001) and impulsiveness was significantly inversely associated with self-control (p < .001). The model showed a good fit: $\chi^2 = 23.684$, df = 19, $\chi^2/df = 1.247$; SRMR = .057;

GFI = .976, AGFI = .944, TLI = .986, CFI = .992, and RMSEA = .034. The AIC was 75.684.
Next, we removed the non-significant associations in SEM and multiple regression analysis for each gender and determined the saturated models. The model was finalized after inspection of the modification indices and allowing for the unique variances of the pairs to correlate. The model for men (Fig. 2a) showed a good fit: χ²=7.787, df=6, χ²/df=1.298; SRMR=.064; GFI=.976, AGFI=.916, TLI=.983, CFI=.993, and RMSEA=.054. The AIC was 37.787. The model for women (Fig. 2b) also showed a good fit: χ²=14.044, df=16, χ²/df=.878; SRMR=.046; GFI=.964, TLI=1.006, CFI=1.000, and RMSEA=.000. The AIC was 54.044.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the associations between perceived health competence and related psychological factors in university students. In addition, we proposed a model incorporating the various factors that affect perceived health competence.

The multiple linear regression analysis was then performed over three steps according to Baron and Kenny³⁹ and Ohara et al.⁴⁰. The three-step analysis indicated that effortful control was an intermediate variable. Furthermore, the effect sizes in the three-step multiple regression analysis were large, indicating that perceived health competence, effortful control, and self-control have firm associations.

Then, we developed a model of perceived health competence, effortful control, and related constructs, on the basis of the results of the three-step multiple regression analysis. The common model for men and women did not show a good fit, so we constructed models for men and women separately. The separate models for men and women showed a good fit, but some gender-related differences were shown as well as common paths.



Figure 1. Associations between perceived health competence and effortful control, self-control, impulsiveness, and personality traits. Upper number is standardized coefficient for males and lower number is for females, and e1 through e3 stand for errors in each item. p < .05.



Figure 2. Associations between perceived health competence and effortful control, self-control, impulsiveness, and personality traits in men (**a**), and in women (**b**). The non-significant associations in structural equation modeling or multiple regression analysis for each gender were removed and the saturated models were determined. The number is standardized coefficient and e1 through e3 stand for errors in each item. p < .05.

The common path for men and women was that self-control was influenced by impulsivity and conscientiousness and was related to perceived health competence via effortful control. Prior research has reported a negative association between self-control and impulsivity⁴⁵, as well as a positive association between self-control and conscientiousness³⁸. In addition, a positive association between self-control and effortful control has also been reported, although the measures of self-control have differed from the present study⁴⁶. Self-control is a broader personality trait that emerges over time, and is considered to be part of the foundation of effortful control^{47,48}. Effortful control refers to the ability to self-regulate, or to actively control prevailing behaviors and emotional responses⁴⁹. These might helpful for explaining our model, in which effortful control is more directly related to perceived health competence, while self-control, as the basis of effortful control, is related to perceived health competence via effortful control.

In the model, personality traits were also associated with a common path for men and women. In men, openness was directly related to perceived health competence, whereas in women, agreeableness and extraversion were related to perceived health competence. Marks et al.⁶ reported a positive correlation between perceived health competence and conscientiousness but a negative correlation between perceived health competence and neuroticism in the older people. Aside from Marks et al. study⁶, the relationship between perceived health competence and personality traits has rarely been investigated. Extraversion means actively working with others, having many friends, and having high social skills. Agreeableness represents cooperation in relationships; highly cooperative people are empathetic and supportive³², and show compassion and respect⁵⁰. Openness refers to the ability to engage in intellectual thought; those with high openness are imaginative, interested in various things³², and also have intellectual curiosity and creativity. Conscientiousness shows productivity and a sense of responsibility⁵⁰. In contrast, perceived health competence refers to the ability of individuals to be aware of and effectively manage their health⁷. In other words, perceived health competence requires individuals to understand their physical and mental condition, and then to select and execute the best health behaviors for themselves. Openness may help individuals understand health conditions. Extraversion and agreeableness are required to obtain a wider variety of information in selecting the best health behavior, and these personality traits are also important as behavior-enhancing factors, such as support for continuing health behaviors. These factors are in accord with the relationship between perceived health competence and personality traits observed in the current study. However, it is not clear why these relationships exhibit gender differences, because, to the best of our knowledge this is the first investigation of the relationships between perceived health competence and personality traits.

Regarding openness and agreeableness, the results of this study did not reveal gender differences. However, several previous studies, including large surveys and multinational collaborations, have demonstrated robust gender differences in agreeableness, with women generally scoring higher on these personality traits than men^{51–55}. Agreeableness is a dimension associated with the maintenance of good interpersonal relationships and conflict avoidance^{54,56}, and is a trait that may explain the tendency to engage in normative behavior, such as adherence to preventive actions. With regard to extraversion, previous studies have reported that women are more extraverted than men^{30,40}. It has also been reported that higher extraversion is associated with desirable physical activity habits among women⁵⁷. These previous findings support the association between perceived health competence and agreeableness among women revealed in the present study.

In addition, Oshio et al.³⁰ conducted a survey of 902 university students in the process of creating TIPI-J, and found that women scored higher on extraversion than men, whereas men scored higher on openness than women. Conscientiousness, agreeableness, and neuroticism scores did not differ between men and women³⁰. Ohara et al.⁴⁰ also administered the TIPI to male and female college students and reported that extraversion and neuroticism were significantly higher in women and openness was significantly higher in men. In addition, according to Courtenay et al.⁵⁸, men engage in riskier behaviors and hold riskier beliefs about their health than women. In addition, Evans et al.⁵⁹ reported that the delayed onset of cancer symptoms and decreased self-examination among men may be caused by their low level of cancer awareness, poor knowledge of cancer warning signs, and relatively little contact with health professionals that may eliminate opportunities to promote cancer detection behaviors It has been suggested that this may be influenced by the following factors. Although openness was found to be directly related to perceived health competence among men in this study, it is precisely the level of health awareness and lack of knowledge among men that Evans et al.⁵⁹ point to as being related to openness. Thus, we believe that differences in gender roles and responses to risk can be linked to gender differences in the relationship between personality traits and perceived health competence. These findings may relate to the gender differences in our models.

Some personality traits in TIPI-J in the current study did not have high Cronbach's alpha values. This was also the case in Gosling's study in which the TIPI was developed, where the Cronbach' alpha values for the extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, emotional stability, and openness to experience scales were .68, .40, .50, .73, and .45, respectively²¹. Gosling proposed the following reasons for this phenomenon²¹. Cronbach's alpha is a function of mean inter-item correlation and the number of items comprising the scale. Therefore, in a multiple-item scale, a high Cronbach's alpha value can be obtained by using multiple items with a high degree of content overlap. However, TIPI-J has only two items per scale. Thus, as a results of the emphasis on content validity, the inter-item correlations are lower than homogeneous scales. The relatively low inter-item correlations, combined with the fact that the TIPI has only two items per scale, results in unusually low internal consistency estimates. This discussion by Gosling²¹ is considered to be applicable to the present results. The low Cronbach's alpha values for personality traits in the present study does not necessarily undermine the validity of this scale.

In recent years, various reports of mental health deterioration among college students were made during lockdowns and other behavioral restrictions that were implemented as a result of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic⁶⁰⁻⁶³. It was also reported that having a higher perception of health risk and being a woman are associated with a higher number and greater severity of negative feelings⁶⁰⁻⁶³. Lockdown and behavioral restriction require effortful restraint of behavior. Because this is precisely the behavior that corresponds to inhibitory control in effortful control³⁴⁻³⁶, it can be addressed by inhibitory control in effortful control. Therefore, even in situations where behavior is restricted because of the COVID-19 pandemic, it may be possible to reduce the impact on mental health by making efforts to increase effortful control. However, in the results of the current study, personality traits such as extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness were related to paths to perceived health competence for women. Because the path to perceived health competence may not function in women who do not have high levels of these personality traits, it may be necessary to enhance social support.

Several limitations were involved in the present study. First, all of the participants were Japanese university students, recruited from a restricted area in Japan. Although it is possible that the present results can be adapted

to subjects other than those in the current study if they have similar characteristics, further research will be required to verify whether the present results can be generalized. Second, because of the cross-sectional design used in this study, we do not have information regarding the temporal relationships among factors and cannot directly prove causality. In contrast, intermediate factors are assumed to have a causal relationship. Therefore, in the case of a cross-sectional study such as the present study, it is necessary to estimate whether a factor is an intermediate factor or not. Thus, we used methods described by Ohara et al.⁴⁰ and Baron and Kenny³⁹ to identify intermediate factors. Third, height and body weight were self-reported which could potentially introduce information bias and lead to misclassification of BMI. However, the BMI in the present study (men: 21.2 kg/m²; women: 20.2 kg/m²) were similar to those of the National Health and Nutrition Survey (15–19-year-old and 20–29 year-ole men: 21.1 and 22.9 kg/m²; 15–19-year-old and 20–29-year-old women: 20.2 and 21.0 kg/m²)⁶⁴.

Finally, the results of this study indicate that perceived health competence among university students is related to individual psychological factors such as impulsivity, personality traits, effortful control, and self-control, and that the relationship between perceived health competence and psychological factors differs depending on gender. The findings obtained from the current study are thought to contribute to the provision of guidance suitable for individuals in health education for university students, taking gender, individual characteristics, and self-management ability into consideration.

Conclusions

In the present study, we attempted to elucidate the associations among factors related to perceived health competence and psychometric factors in Japanese university students. The results indicated that effortful control is directly related to perceived health competence, and plays a role as an intermediate factor in the association between perceived health competence and self-control. In addition, conscientiousness is associated with selfcontrol, and impulsiveness is associated with both self-control and effortful control. In women, agreeableness and extraversion are associated with perceived health competence, while in men, openness is associated with perceived health competence. These findings indicate that there is a common path for men and women to perceived health competence via effortful control, but that personality traits may impact perceived health competence differently for men and women.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 24 May 2022; Accepted: 9 February 2023 Published online: 13 February 2023

References

- Nelson, M. C., Story, M., Larson, N. I., Neumark-Sztainer, D. & Lytle, L. A. Emerging adulthood and college-aged youth: An overlooked age for weight-related behavior change. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 16, 2205–2211. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2008.365 (2008).
- 2. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Healthy People 2030, https://health.gov/healthypeople (2020).
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Health Japan 21 (the Second Term), https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/ kenkou_iryou/kenkou/kenkounippon21.html (2000).
- Hepburn, M. The variables associated with health promotion behaviors among urban black women. J. Nurs. Scholarsh. 50, 353–366. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12387 (2018).
- Kim, A. S., Jang, M. H., Park, K. H. & Min, J. Y. Effects of self-dfficacy, depression, and anger on health-promoting behaviors of Korean elderly women with hypertension. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 6296. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176296 (2020).
- Marks, G. R. & Lutgendorf, S. K. Perceived health competence and personality factors differentially predict health behaviors in older adults. J. Aging Health 11, 221–239. https://doi.org/10.1177/089826439901100205 (1999).
- Smith, M. S., Wallston, K. A. & Smith, C. A. The development and validation of the perceived health competence scale. *Health Educ. Res.* 10, 51–64. https://doi.org/10.1093/her/10.1.51 (1995).
- Rothbart, M. K., Ahadi, S. A. & Evans, D. E. Temperament and personality: Origins and outcomes. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 78, 122–135. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.78.1.122 (2000).
- Burt, N. M., Boddy, L. E. & Bridgett, D. J. Contribution of temperament to eating disorder symptoms in emerging adulthood: Additive and interactive effects. *Eat. Behav.* 18, 30–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.03.010 (2015).
- Padin, A. C., Emery, C. F., Vasey, M. & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. Self-regulation and implicit attitudes toward physical activity influence exercise behavior. J. Sport Exerc. Psychol. 39, 237–248. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2017-0056 (2017).
- 11. Pintos Lobo, R. *et al.* The role of temperament in alcohol use among college students. *Addict. Behav. Rep.* 14, 100366. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.abrep.2021.100366 (2021).
- 12. Maranges, H. M. & Baumeister, R. F. Self-control and ego depletion. In *Handbook of Self-Regulation: Research, Theory, and Applications* 3rd edn (eds Vohs, K. D. & Baumeister, R. F.) 42–61 (Guilford, 2016).
- Astolfi, R. C. et al. Association between self-control and health risk behaviors: A cross-sectional study with 9th grade adolescents in São Paulo. BMC Public Health 21, 1706. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11718-4 (2021).
- Price, M., Higgs, S. & Lee, M. Self-control mediates the relationship between time perspective and BMI. *Appetite* 108, 156–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.09.034 (2017).
- Steinhoff, A. *et al.* Polysubstance use in early adulthood: Patterns and developmental precursors in an urban cohort. *Front. Behav.* Neurosci. 15, 797473. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.797473 (2021).
- 16. Allport, G. W. Pattern and Growth in Personality (Holt, 1961).
- Jones, K. A., Chryssanthakis, A. & Groom, M. J. Impulsivity and drinking motives predict problem behaviours relating to alcohol use in university students. *Addict. Behav.* 39, 289–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.10.024 (2014).
- Carlson, S. R. & Johnson, S. C. Impulsivity is not always associated with student drinking: A moderation study of impulsivity and drinking by positive alcohol expectancies. *Addict. Behav.* 37, 556–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.12.007 (2012).
- Yañez, A. M., Bennasar-Veny, M., Leiva, A. & García-Toro, M. Implications of personality and parental education on healthy lifestyles among adolescents. Sci. Rep. 10, 7911. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64850-3 (2020).

- John, O. P., Naumann, L. P. & Soto, C. J. Paradigm shift to the integrative big five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and conceptual issues. In *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* 3rd edn (eds John, O. P. et al.) 114–158 (Guilford, 2008).
- 21. Gosling, S. D., Rentfrow, P. J. & Swann, W. B. Jr. A very brief measure of the big-five personality domains. J Res Pers 37, 504–528 (2003).
- Verstraeten, K., Vasey, M. W., Raes, F. & Bijttebier, P. Temperament and risk for depressive symptoms in adolescence: Mediation by rumination and moderation by effortful control. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 37, 349–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-008-9293-x (2009).
- 23. Carver, C. S. Impulse and constraint: Perspectives from personality psychology, convergence with theory in other areas, and potential for integration. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 9, 312–333. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0904_2 (2005).
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A. G. & Buchner, A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav. Res. Methods* 39, 175–191. https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03193146 (2007).
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A. & Lang, A. G. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav. Res. Methods* 41, 1149–1160. https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.41.4.1149 (2009).
- Togari, T., Yamazaki, Y., Koide, S. & Miyata, A. Reliability and validity of the modified Perceived health competence scale (PHCS) Japanese version. *Japn. J. Public Health* 53, 51–57 (2006).
- Kobashi, M. & Ida, M. Reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the abbreviated impulsiveness scale. J. Psychol. Rissho. Univ. 10(34386/00007738), 49–56 (2020).
- Coutlee, C. G., Politzer, C. S., Hoyle, R. H. & Huettel, S. A. An abbreviated impulsiveness scale (ABIS) constructed through confirmatory factor analysis of the BIS-11. Arch. Sci. Psychol. 2, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1037/arc0000005 (2014).
- Patton, J. H., Stanford, M. S. & Barratt, E. S. Factor structure of the Barratt impulsiveness scale. J. Clin. Psychol. 51, 768–774. https:// doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(199511)51:6%3c768::aid-jclp2270510607%3e3.0.co;2-1 (1995).
- Oshio, A., Abe, S. & Cutrone, P. Development, reliability, and validity of the Japanese version of ten item personality inventory (TIPI-J). *Jpn. J. Personal.* 21, 40–52. https://doi.org/10.2132/personality.21.40 (2012).
- Goldberg, L. R. An alternative "description of personality": The big-five factor structure. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 59, 1216–1229. https:// doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.59.6.1216 (1990).
- McCrae, R. R. & Costa, P. T. Jr. The five-factor theory of personality. In Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research 3rd edn (eds John, O. P. et al.) 159–181 (The Guilford Press, 2008).
- Yamagata, S., Yusuke, T., Shigemasu, K., Ono, Y. & Kijima, N. Development and validation of Japanese version of effortful control scale for adults. *Jpn. J. Pers.* 14, 30–41. https://doi.org/10.2132/personality.14.30 (2005).
- Rothbart, M. K. & Bates, J. E. Temperament. In Handbook of Child Psychology: Social, Emotional, and Personality Development, Vol. 3 6th edn (eds Damon, W. & Lerner, R. M.) 99–166 (Wiley, 2006).
- Henderson, H. A. & Wachs, T. D. Temperament theory and the study of cognition-emotion interactions across development. *Dev. Re.* 27, 396–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2007.06.004 (2007).
- Rothbart, M. K., Ellis, L. K., Rueda, M. R. & Posner, M. I. Developing mechanisms of temperamental effortful control. J. Pers. 71, 1113–1143. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.7106009 (2003).
- Ozaki, Y., Goto, T. G., Kobayashi, M. & Kutsuzawa, G. Reliability and validity of the Japanese translation of brief self-control scale (BSCS-J). Jpn. J. Psychol. 87, 144–154 (2016).
- Tangney, J. P., Baumeister, R. F. & Boone, A. L. High self-control predicts good adjustment, less pathology, better grades, and interpersonal success. J. Pers. 72, 271–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00263.x (2004).
- Baron, R. M. & Kenny, D. A. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. J. Abnorm. Child Psychol. 51, 1173–1182. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.51.6.1173 (1986).
- 40. Ohara, K. *et al.* Attitude toward breakfast mediates the associations of wake time and appetite for breakfast with frequency of eating breakfast. *Eat. Weight Disord.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-021-01250-0 (2021).
- 41. Cohen, J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences 2nd edn. (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1998).
- Schreiber, J. B., Nora, A., Stage, F. K., Barlow, E. A. & King, J. Reporting structural equation modeling and confirmatory factor analysis results: A review. J. Edu. Res. 99, 323–338 (2006).
- 43. Browne, M. W. & Cudeck, R. Alternative ways of assessing model fit. Soc. Methods Res. 21, 230–258 (1992).
- Hu, L. T. & Bentler, P. M. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Struct. EQU Model. 6, 1–55 (1999).
- Wolff, M., Krönke, K. M. & Goschke, T. Trait self-control is predicted by how reward associations modulate Stroop interference. *Psychol. Res.* 80, 944–951. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-015-0707-4 (2016).
- 46. Sosnowski, D. W. *et al.* The association between adverse childhood experiences and child telomere length: Examining self-regulation as a behavioral mediator. *Child Dev.* **92**, 746–759. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13441 (2021).
- Ng-Knight, T. & Schoon, I. Disentangling the influence of socioeconomic risks on children's early self-control. J. Pers. 85, 793–806. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12288 (2017).
- 48. Diamond, A. Executive functions. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 64, 135–168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750 (2013).
- Jones, L. B., Rothbart, M. K. & Posner, M. I. Development of executive attention in preschool children. Develop. Sci. 6, 498–504 (2003).
- Soto, C. J. & John, O. P. Short and extra-short forms of the big five inventory-2: The BFI-2-S and BFI-2-XS. J. Res. Pers. 68, 69–81 (2017).
- Akyunus, M., Gençöz, T. & Aka, B. T. Age and sex differences in basic personality traits and interpersonal problems across young adulthood. Curr. Psychol. 40, 2518–2527. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-019-0165-z (2021).
- Del Giudice, M., Booth, T. & Irwing, P. The distance between Mars and Venus: Measuring global sex differences in personality. PLoS One 7, e29265. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029265 (2012).
- Kajonius, P. J. & Johnson, J. Sex differences in 30 facets of the five factor model of personality in the large public = 320,128). Pers. Individ. Dif. 129, 126–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.03.026 (2018).
- Schmitt, D. P., Realo, A., Voracek, M. & Allik, J. Why can't a man be more like a woman? Sex differences in big five personality traits across 55 cultures. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 94, 168–182. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.1.168 (2008).
- 55. Edenbrow, M. & Croft, D. P. Sequential hermaphroditism and personality in a clonal vertebrate: The mangrove killifish. *Behav. Process.* **90**, 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2012.02.001 (2012).
- Jensen-Campbell, L. A. & Graziano, W. G. Agreeableness as a moderator of interpersonal conflict. J. Pers. 69, 323–361. https://doi. org/10.1111/1467-6494.00148 (2001).
- Satoh, M., Sato, N. & Fujimura, A. Relationship between personality traits and health behavior among working women in Japan. Prev. Med. Rep. 25, 101691. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2021.101691 (2022).
- Courtenay, W. H., McCreary, D. R. & Merighi, J. R. Gender and ethnic differences in health beliefs and behaviors. J. Health Psychol. 7, 219–231. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105302007003216 (2002).
- Evans, R. E. C., Brotherstone, H., Miles, A. & Wardle, J. Gender differences in early detection of cancer. J. Men's Health Gender 2, 209–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmhg.2004.12.012 (2005).
- 60. Commodari, E., La Rosa, V. L., Carnemolla, G. & Parisi, J. The psychological impact of the lockdown on Italian university students during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic: Psychological experiences, health risk perceptions, distance learning, and future perspectives. *Mediterr J Clin Psychol* 9 (2021).

- Commodari, E. & La Rosa, V. L. Adolescents in quarantine during COVID-19 pandemic in Italy: Perceived health risk, beliefs, psychological experiences and expectations for the future. *Front. Psychol.* 11, 559951. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.559951 (2020).
- Rossi, R. *et al.* COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown measures impact on mental health among the general population in Italy. *Front. Psychiatry* 11, 790. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00790 (2020).
- Shiratori, Y. et al. A longitudinal comparison of college student mental health under the COVID-19 self-restraint policy in Japan. J. Affect. Disord. Rep. 8, 100314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadr.2022.100314 (2022).
- 64. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. National Health and Nutrition Survey Japan. (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Tokyo, 2019).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank all the study participants.

Author contributions

T.Y. and H.N. conceived the study. T.Y., T.M., and K.M. managed data collection. T.Y., K.O., and H.N. analyzed and interpreted the data. T.Y. and H.N. wrote the manuscript. K.O., K.M., and T.M. edited the manuscript critically. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.N.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023