



Activities of daily living at different levels of renal function in elderly hospitalized heart failure patients

Kitamura, Masahiro ; Izawa, P. Kazuhiro ; Taniue, Hiroki ; Mimura, Yumi ; Ikeda, Yuichi ; Nagashima, Hitomi ; Brubaker, Peter H.

(Citation)

Aging Clinical and Experimental Research, 30(1):45-51

(Issue Date)

2018-01

(Resource Type)

journal article

(Version)

Accepted Manuscript

(Rights)

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at:...

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/0100494074>



**Activities of daily living at different levels of renal function in elderly
hospitalized heart failure patients**

Masahiro Kitamura,^{1,2} Kazuhiro P. Izawa,² Hiroki Taniue,³ Yumi Mimura,³ Yuichi Ikeda,³
Hitomi Nagashima,³ Peter H. Brubaker,⁴

¹Kokura Rehabilitation College, Kitakyushu, Japan

²Department of International Health, Kobe University Graduates School of Health Sciences,
Kobe, Japan

³Department of Rehabilitation, Shinyukhashi Hospital, Yukuhashi, Japan

⁴Department of Health and Exercise Science, Healthy Exercise & Lifestyle Program S, Wake
Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

Work was performed in the Department of Rehabilitation, Shinyukhashi Hospital,
Yukuhashi, Japan.

20 **Corresponding author:** Kazuhiro P. Izawa, PT, PhD, MSc
21 Graduate School of Health Sciences, Kobe University, 10-2 Tomogaoka 7-chome Suma,
22 Kobe 654-0142, Japan.
23 Tel: +81-78-792-2555, Fax: +81-78-796-4509
24 E-mail: izawapk@harbor.kobe-u.ac.jp
25

26 **ABSTRACT**

27 *Background* Renal function (RF) and activities of daily living (ADL) are risk factors for
28 heart failure (HF) patients.

29 *Aims* We evaluated differences in motor and cognitive ADL in relation to RF in elderly
30 hospitalized HF patients.

31 *Methods:* Participants were selected from 414 consecutive hospitalized HF patients based on
32 certain criteria. We investigated patient characteristics including functional independence
33 measure (FIM) and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Subjects were divided into
34 three groups by RF level and analyzed with one-way ANOVA and chi-square tests and two-
35 way ANCOVA and multiple comparison tests.

36 *Results* Of the 414 patients, 165 met the inclusion criteria (high RF: 41, moderate RF: 84,
37 low RF: 40). There were significant differences between the three groups in age, eGFR,
38 hemoglobin level, mobility, cognitive function, and length of hospital stay ($p < 0.05$). Motor
39 FIM showed an interaction between term and group, and cognitive FIM showed a main effect
40 on the group ($p < 0.05$). In the multiple comparisons, motor FIM of all groups indicated
41 significant recovery, but it was significantly lower after one week in the low RF versus
42 moderate/high RF groups ($p < 0.05$). Cognitive FIM showed no significant recovery in the
43 low RF group; the FIM score after two weeks was significantly lower than that in the
44 moderate/high RF groups ($p < 0.05$).

45 *Conclusions* In elderly hospitalized HF patients, the motor ADL recovery process in the low
46 RF group was delayed compared to the high RF group. Cognitive ADL in hospitalized HF
47 patients is difficult to recover, especially in those with low RF.

48

49 **Keywords** Activities of daily living, Estimated glomerular filtration rate, Elderly, Heart
50 failure, Hospitalized, Renal function

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is highly prevalent, affecting about 1% of people in their 50s and rising progressively with age to afflict 10% of those in their 80s [1]. Moreover, the incidence and prevalence of HF are high among the elderly worldwide [2]. In an epidemiological study of Japanese patients with HF, the elderly patients had increased comorbidities, length of hospital stay, and medical costs [3]. One of the purposes of rehabilitation in HF patients is recovery of the activities of daily living (ADL) [4]. Age and comorbidities are risk factors for ADL [5] that may affect the length of hospital stay and medical costs in elderly hospitalized HF patients. In addition, low renal function (RF) is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease and mortality in HF patients with comorbidities [6]. Levey et al. previously reported that an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≤ 60 is related to an increase in cardiovascular events [7]. With the trend toward an increased incidence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) [8], patients with low RF will increase as the elderly population increases because RF is known to decrease with aging [9].

With regard to the relationship between RF and physical function, two previous studies reported that the exercise capacity of HF patients with low RF was independently related to knee extensor muscle strength and eGFR [10], and low RF was independently associated with a low Short Physical Performance Battery score in HF patients [11]. Moreover, Wang et al. suggested that RF and cognitive function are related in elderly hypertensive patients [12].

Elias et al. also suggested that cognitive function decreases in non-dialysis CKD patients [13].

However, it is unclear whether RF in elderly hospitalized HF patients through each term of measurement, such as the weekly interval from admission, can affect motor and cognitive ADL. In previous studies, ADL evaluation was performed at one time point, discharge, or at two time points, admission and discharge, but evaluation of the detailed recovery process of motor and cognitive ADL at three or more time points during hospitalization has not been performed in HF patients with low RF [14, 15]. Thus, we believe that any differences in RF in relation to motor and cognitive ADL in elderly hospitalized HF patients require the investigation of both the relation between RF and ADL at each term and the relation between ADL recovery and the level of RF.

In the present study, we hypothesized that a low RF might be related to the decline in motor and cognitive ADL seen in HF patients. Therefore, the purposes of the present study were to evaluate the differences in motor and cognitive ADL in relation to RF in three groups of elderly hospitalized HF patients and to evaluate these differences in terms of the recovery process in these patients.

Methods

Study design and participants

Participants for this prospective cohort study were selected from 414 consecutive elderly Japanese patients with HF who underwent rehabilitation at one acute-care hospital from May 2013 to July 2015. Of these patients, those aged ≥ 65 years old who could walk with assistance before hospitalization were included. Patients whose assessment was difficult at the three points of measurement (admission and at one and two weeks thereafter), who had severe psychiatric disease, who underwent dialysis before hospitalization, and who died during hospitalization in the study were excluded. The Kokura Rehabilitation College Institutional Review Committee on Human Research approved this study (approval no. 25-0517), and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Investigation

Patient characteristics and clinical parameters investigated by retrospective review of medical records included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) concentration, eGFR at admission and discharge, hemoglobin level, acute management, comorbidities, medications on admission, Rivermead Mobility Index (RMI) [16] and Karasawa Dementia Scale (KDS) at discharge [17], initiation of walking exercise, and length of hospital stay. The LVEF was calculated with the Simpson method for echocardiography and served as the index of left ventricular systolic function. NYHA class and BNP were also evaluated as indices of

108 the severity of HF. The hemoglobin level was evaluated as an index of anemia. NYHA class
109 was determined in all patients by a cardiologist, and BNP and hemoglobin levels were
110 measured by means of blood sampling at admission.

111 Acute management was evaluated when the patients received catecholamines, intra-
112 aortic balloon pump, percutaneous cardiopulmonary support, respirator, or continuous
113 hemodiafiltration. RMI was evaluated as an indicator of mobility, and KDS was evaluated as
114 an indicator of cognitive function.

115 The eGFR was evaluated as the index of RF and was calculated using the following
116 formula recommended by the Japanese Society of Nephrology: $194 \times \text{serum creatinine}^{-1.094}$
117 $\times \text{age}^{-0.287}$ ($\times 0.739$ for females) [18]. We then divided the patients into three groups
118 according to eGFR as reported in previous studies [19, 20]: high RF group (≥ 60 ml/min/1.73
119 m^2), moderate RF group (≤ 30 to < 60 ml/min/1.73 m^2), and low RF group (< 30 ml/min/1.73
120 m^2).

121 We also used the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) to evaluate ADL [21]. FIM,
122 which was developed to assess rehabilitative aspects of patients with disabilities, consists of
123 two domains: motor and cognitive. The motor domain (motor FIM) consists of 13 items:
124 eating, grooming, bathing, dressing upper body, dressing lower body, toileting, bladder and
125 bowel management, transfer to bed, chair, or wheelchair, transfer to toilet, transfer to tub or
126 shower, walking/wheelchair, and stairs. The cognitive domain (cognitive FIM) consists of 5

items: comprehension, expression, social interaction, problem solving, and memory. Scores are measured on a scale from 1 to 7 points (1 point: total assistance, 2 points: maximal assistance, 3 points: moderate assistance, 4 points: minimal contact assistance, 5 points: supervision, 6 points: modified independence, and 7 points: complete independence).

The minimum total FIM score is 18 points and the maximum total FIM score is 126 points, whereas minimum/maximum scores for motor FIM and cognitive FIM were 13/91 points and 5/13 points, respectively. This measurement performed by two physical therapists was carried out at the time of admission and then at weekly intervals.

Statistical Analysis

Patient characteristics and clinical parameters are reported using percentages for categorical variables and mean $\pm$ SD for continuous variables. One-way analysis of variance and chi-square test were used to compare patient characteristics and clinical parameters between the three groups. Two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with a within-groups factor (term: admission, after one week, and after two weeks) and between-groups factor (group: high RF, moderate RF, and low RF) was conducted to analyze motor and cognitive ADL recovery and differences in RF and was also used to adjust the FIM score and the significant difference of patient characteristics between the three groups. Bonferroni multiple comparison study was used to analyze both the within-groups and between-groups relations between motor ADL

and RF and those between cognitive ADL and RF. A p value <0.05 indicated statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS 23.0 J statistical software (IBM SPSS Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

Results

Participant flow in the study was as shown in Figure 1. Of the 414 patients, 165 met the inclusion criteria and were divided into the high RF group (n = 41), moderate RF group (n = 85), and low RF group (n = 40).

The clinical characteristics of the elderly hospitalized HF patients at the three different levels of RF can be compared in Table 1. There were no significant differences in patient characteristics and clinical parameters between the three groups except for those of age, eGFR at admission and discharge, hemoglobin level, RMI, KDS, and length of hospital stay (p <0.05). The results of two-way ANCOVA showed a significant group and term main effect and interaction effect for the motor FIM score (p <0.05). There was no significant interaction effect or main effect of term for the cognitive FIM score. There was a significant main effect of group (Table 2).

Table 3 shows motor and cognitive FIM scores for the three different RF classifications at each term and in each group. In the within-groups comparison of the difference in motor FIM scores by RF group, the motor FIM score in all three groups showed significant recovery

at the one-week interval ($p < 0.05$). In the between-groups comparison of motor FIM at each term, the motor FIM scores after one week and after two weeks in the low RF group were significantly lower than those in the moderate and high RF groups ($p < 0.05$), and these scores after two weeks in the moderate RF group were significantly lower than those in the high RF group ($p < 0.05$). In the within-groups comparison of the difference in cognitive FIM scores by RF group, the cognitive FIM scores in the moderate RF and high RF groups showed significant recovery ($p < 0.05$), but the cognitive FIM score in the low RF group did not. In the between-groups comparison of cognitive FIM scores at each term, the cognitive FIM score after two weeks in the low RF group was a significantly lower than those in the moderate and high RF groups ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the effect of differences in RF on motor and cognitive ADL recovery processes in elderly hospitalized Japanese HF patients. The results of this study show that the motor ADL recovery process in the patients with low RF appeared to be delayed compared with that in the patients with good RF. Also, the cognitive ADL recovery process in these patients was poor compared with that in the patients with good RF.

Comparison of patient characteristics

184 The elderly patients in the low RF group had a lower hemoglobin level, eGFR, and mobility
185 and cognitive function at discharge, and longer hospital stay than those in the high RF group.
186 In addition, compared to the other two groups, the low RF group tended to have a higher
187 BNP, more atrial fibrillation, and lower doses of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor
188 (ACEI)/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB). Davies and Shock found that aging reduces RF
189 [9], and Astor et al. reported that erythropoietin production decreases as RF decreases and is
190 known to cause anemia [22].

191 Go et al. reported that the prevalence of atrial fibrillation increases in an age-dependent
192 manner, from <0.1% in adults younger than 55 years to 8% in those aged 80 years or older
193 [23], Damman et al. showed a strong association between the worsening of RF and mortality
194 in HF patients [24], and Adhiyaman et al. reported that ACEI administration in elderly
195 patients with low RF could cause worsening of their RF [25]. In terms of changes in the
196 eGFR of hospitalized HF patients, Reid et al. reported that the rates of improved, stable, and
197 worsening eGFR in hospitalized HF patients were 19%, 64%, and 17%, respectively and that
198 the rate of stable eGFR was high [26]. Wang et al. reported the association of RF with
199 cognitive function in elderly patients with heart disease [12], and Palmer et al. suggested that
200 hospitalized HF patients with low RF have low mobility and experience a long hospital stay
201 [27]. Thus, the elderly HF patients in the low RF group might be on lower doses of
202 ACEI/ARB, have a longer hospital stay, low mobility, low cognitive function, low eGFR at

discharge, and have lower cardiac function, as indicated by a high BNP, the presence of atrial fibrillation, and anemia, than patients with better levels of RF.

Effect of RF on motor ADL

There was a significant interaction between the group and term for the motor FIM scores. Thus, the motor ADL recovery process might be different depending on the level of RF. The within-groups comparison showed significant recovery of the motor FIM scores in all three RF groups at the one-week interval. Saltin et al. showed that exercise is effective for de-conditioning caused by bed rest in cardiovascular disease [28], and the Japanese guidelines based on those findings recommend early rehabilitation to prevent a decrease in ADL and physical function in hospitalized HF patients [29]. Other previous studies reported that early rehabilitation is likely to be able to prevent a decrease in physical function in intensive care patients [30, 31] and improve the mobility of hospitalized HF patients [32]. Therefore, regardless of the differences in RF, we believe that the motor ADL recovery obtained at the one-week interval in the hospitalized HF patients was likely due to rehabilitation.

The motor FIM score after one week and two weeks in the low RF group was low compared with that in the moderate and high RF groups. However, significant differences in motor FIM score at admission were not recognized. Thus, we estimated that compared with that in the moderate and high RF groups, the delay in motor ADL recovery seen in the low

222 RF group was due to low RF.

223 ADL at discharge in hospitalized HF patients with low RF is low [14]. Compared to
224 those in non-CKD patients, physical function, mobility, and ADL in CKD patients are poor
225 [33]. Physical function and ADL in non-dialysis patients with low RF are poor due to the
226 decrease in RF, and the decline of physical function in CKD patients is associated with a
227 reduction in RF and anemia [34]. In addition, ADL in the elderly is known to correlate with
228 the hemoglobin level [35]. The elderly patients in the low RF group had a low e-GFR,
229 hemoglobin level, and mobility. These factors associated with decreased RF are related to
230 physical function in HF patients, and we speculate that they affect ADL recovery.

231 Furthermore, the length of hospital stay in the low RF group was about 3 weeks. If a
232 certain degree of rehabilitation of ADL is provided in hospital for HF patients with low RF,
233 they might recover and their prognosis may improve. However, in the current health care
234 system, shortening of the length of hospital stay and suppression of medical costs are
235 recommended [3]. Cardiovascular disease patients with renal failure have longer lengths of
236 stay and higher hospital charges than those without renal failure [36]. Because our patients in
237 the low RF group had low heart function and could not be treated with medications such as
238 ACEI/ARB, these might be reasons why a treatment effect was difficult to obtain and the
239 length of stay was longer compared to the other two groups. It is also possible that ADL
240 deteriorated in the wake of admission in the HF patients with low RF, which suggests the

241 importance of maintaining good RF and ADL in community-dwelling HF patients.

242

243 **Effect of RF on cognitive ADL**

244 In terms of the cognitive FIM score, although there was no interaction between the group and
245 term and no main effect was shown for the term, a main effect for the group was seen. Such a
246 result indicates that differences in RF might have affected cognitive ADL in the hospitalized
247 HF patients.

248 In the within-groups comparison, the cognitive FIM score of the low RF group showed
249 no significant recovery at the one-week interval. As one cause of the poor recovery process of
250 cognitive ADL, it is possible that a low hemoglobin level and atrial fibrillation associated
251 with the low RF can influence the oxygen transport system of the brain. Previous studies
252 showed that although early intervention for cognitive function is safe, it is not clear whether
253 recovery of cognitive function and cognitive ADL can be obtained [37–40]. Thus, in
254 hospitalized HF patients with low RF, it is necessary to clarify the relationship between RF,
255 cognitive function, and cognition in ADL.

256 In the between-groups comparison, the cognitive FIM score in the HF patients with low
257 RF was low after two weeks compared with that in the high and moderate RF groups. In
258 addition, their cognitive function at discharge was also significantly lower. Several previous
259 studies in HF patients reported a strong relation between renal function and cognitive

function [12, 13, 41] and showed that poor cognitive function is a risk factor for ADL [15].

Also, Pulignano et al. reported that cognitive impairment was diagnosed in 38.9% of community-dwelling HF patients, in 85.7% of the patients with CKD (GFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²), and in 42.6% with anemia (hemoglobin <12 g/dl) and that it was an independent predictor of hospitalization for HF [42].

Compared with that in the other two groups, the cognitive ADL recovery process of the HF patients in the low RF group was poor. One possible reason is that cognitive decline caused by low RF and anemia might affect poor cognition in ADL. These results also suggest the importance of interventions for RF and cognitive function in community-dwelling elderly HF patients.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of the present study are that it showed both the characteristics of elderly hospitalized HF patients with low RF and the within-group and between-group features of motor and cognitive ADL according to different levels of RF in these patients.

There are several limitations in the present study. This was a single-center study with a small sample population, and sex-related differences could not be evaluated. Therefore, our results may have limited generalizability. We divided the RF group by eGFR only and could not use the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) RF classifications based

on disease cause and albuminuria [7]. Thus, some patients with severe RF might have been included in the moderate RF group in the present study. We could not investigate other factors related to FIM and physical function such as gait speed, muscle mass, muscle strength, frailty, and cognitive function such as that measured by the Mini-Mental State Examination or perform a comprehensive geriatric assessment of our patients, including their quality of life and social circumstances. In other words, we could not elucidate the causes of physical and cognitive function related to ADL decline and a poor recovery process. We need to clarify these causes to seek an intervention-specific method of ADL improvement. These factors might be related in elderly cardiac patients with renal dysfunction. Thus, future studies in longitudinal settings performed for longer periods and with long-term follow-up will be needed to evaluate the effect of the improvement of ADL by increasing the physical and cognitive function of these patients.

Conclusions

Although motor ADL in elderly hospitalized Japanese patients with HF showed recovery regardless of the level of RF, the ADL recovery process in the HF patients with low RF was delayed compared with that in the patients with moderate and high RF. In contrast, cognitive ADL in the hospitalized HF patients was difficult to recover; especially, the ADL recovery process in the patients with low RF was poor in comparison with that in the other two groups.

298 A future intervention study of ADL in community-dwelling HF patients should be undertaken
299 to investigate the relation between physical function and cognitive function in ADL.
300

301 **Acknowledgments**

302 **Compliance with ethical standards** The participants received oral explanations of the
303 research procedures from the researchers.
304

305 **Conflict of interest** There are no conflicts of interest to report in relation to this study.
306

307 **Ethical approval** This study was approved by the Kokura Rehabilitation College
308 Institutional Committee.
309

310 **Informed consent** Informed consent was obtained from each patient.
311

312 **Funding** None.

References

1. Kannel WB, Belanger AJ (1991) Epidemiology of heart failure. *Am Heart J* 121:951-957
2. Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM (2006) Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med.* 355:251-259
3. Tsutsui H, Tsuchihashi-Makaya M, Kinugawa S, Goto D, Takeshita A; JCARE-CARD Investigators (2006) Clinical characteristics and outcome of hospitalized patients with heart failure in Japan. *Circ J* 70:1617-1623
4. JCS Joint Working Group (2014) Guidelines for rehabilitation in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Circ J* 78:2022-2093
5. Dunlay SM, Manemann SM, Chamberlain AM, Cheville AL, Jiang R, Weston SA, Roger VL (2015) Activities of daily living and outcomes in heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 8:261-267
6. Hamaguchi S, Tsuchihashi-Makaya M, Kinugawa S, Yokota T, Ide T, Takeshita A, Tsutsui H; JCARE-CARD Investigators Chronic kidney disease as an independent risk for long-term adverse outcomes in patients hospitalized with heart failure in Japan (2009) Report from the Japanese Cardiac Registry of Heart Failure in Cardiology (JCARE-CARD). *Circ J* 73:1442-1447
7. Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y, Levin A, Coresh J, Rossert J, De Zeeuw D,

- 332 Hostetter TH, Lameire N, Eknoyan G (2005) Definition and classification of chronic
333 kidney disease: a position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes
334 (KDIGO). *Kidney Int* 67:2089-2100
- 335 8. Imai E, Horio M, Iseki K, et al (2007) Prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in the
336 Japanese general population predicted by the MDRD equation modified by a Japanese
337 coefficient. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 11:156-163
- 338 9. Davies DF, Shock NW (1950) Age changes in glomerular filtration rate, effective renal
339 plasma flow, and tubular excretory capacity in adult males. *J Clin Invest* 29:496-507
- 340 10. Hotta C, Hiraki K, Watanabe S, Izawa KP, Yasuda T, Osada N, Omiya K, Kimura K
341 (2011) Determinants of exercise capacity in chronic heart failure patients with chronic
342 kidney disease. *Rigakuryouhougaku* 38:436-441 (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 343 11. Saitoh M, Itoh H, Morotomi N, Ozawa T, Ishii N, Uewaki R, Hori K, Shiotani Y, Ando
344 M, Nakashima S, Kawai K, Ohno A, Nagayama M (2014) Impact of chronic kidney
345 disease and anemia on physical function in patients with chronic heart failure.
346 *Cardiorenal Med* 4:73-81
- 347 12. Wang H, Liu T, Cai YY, Jiang H, Liu HX, Lin C (2016) Kidney function and cognitive
348 impairment in people aged 80 years and over with untreated hypertension: a cross-
349 sectional survey. *Kidney Blood Press Res* 41:70-77
- 350 13. Elias MF, Elias PK, Seliger SL, Narsipur SS, Dore GA, Robbins MA (2009) Chronic

- 351 kidney disease, creatinine and cognitive functioning. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 24:2446-
352 2452
- 353 14. M. Saitoh, T. Ozawa, Y. Shiotani, Daisuke Okamura D, Mabuchi M, Nakazawa M,
354 Aoyagi M, Sakamoto J, Akiho M (2013) Effects of left ventricular systolic dysfunction
355 and cardio-renal anemia syndrome on walking ability and activities of daily living in
356 patients with heart failure. *J Jpn Phys Ther Assoc* 40:10-15 (in Japanese)
- 357 15. Kitamura M, Mimura Y, Taniue H, Yoshitake K, Nagashima H, Izawa KP (2016)
358 Predictors of independent walking at hospital discharge in elderly heart failure patients.
359 *Int J Cardiol* 203:609-611
- 360 16. Collen FM, Wade DT, Robb GF, Bradshaw CM (1991) The Rivermead Mobility Index: a
361 further development of the Rivermead Motor Assessment. *Int Disabil Stud* 13:50-54
- 362 17. Hakamata M, Saito K, Harada K, Fukunaga Y, Ishii H, Sato Y, Kagawa K (2011) Study
363 of transitions of clinical symptoms before incidences of falls by older inpatients with
364 dementia. *Rigakuryoho Kagaku* 26:641-646 (in Japanese)
- 365 18. Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, Yasuda Y, Tomita K, Nitta K, Yamagata K, Tomino Y,
366 Yokoyama H, Hishida A; Collaborators developing the Japanese equation for estimated
367 GFR (2009) Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. *Am J*
368 *Kidney Dis* 53:982-992
- 369 19. Matsushita K, Selvin E, Bash LD, Astor BC, Coresh J (2010) Coresh. Risk implications

370 of the new CKD Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation compared with the
 371 MDRD Study equation for estimated GFR: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities
 372 (ARIC) Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 55:648-659

373 20. Ottenbacher KJ, Hsu Y, Granger CV, Fiedler RC (1996) The reliability of the functional
 374 independence measure: a quantitative review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 77:1226-1232

375 21. Japan Nephrology Society (2012) Clinical practice guidebook for diagnosis and
 376 treatment of chronic kidney disease 2012. *Nihon Jinzo Gakkai Shi* 1:1-4

377 22. Astor BC, Muntner P, Levin A, Eustace JA, Coresh J (2002) Association of kidney
 378 function with anemia: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
 379 (1988-1994). *Arch Intern Med* 162:1401-1408

380 23. Go AS, Hylek EM, Phillips KA, Chang Y, Henault LE, Selby JV, Singer DE (2001)
 381 Prevalence of diagnosed atrial fibrillation in adults: national implications for rhythm
 382 management and stroke prevention: the AnTicoagulation and Risk Factors in Atrial
 383 Fibrillation (ATRIA) Study. *JAMA* 285:2370-2375

384 24. Damman K, Navis G, Voors AA, Asselbergs FW, Smilde TD, Cleland JG, van Veldhuisen
 385 DJ, Hillege HL (2007) Worsening renal function and prognosis in heart failure:
 386 systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Card Fail* 13:599-608

387 25. Adhiyaman V, Asghar M, Oke A, White AD, Shah IU (2001) Nephrotoxicity in the
 388 elderly due to co-prescription of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and

389 nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J R Soc Med* 94:512-514

390 26. Reid R, Ezekowitz JA, Brown PM, McAlister FA, Rowe BH, Braam B (2015) The
391 prognostic importance of changes in renal function during treatment for acute heart
392 failure depends on admission renal function. *PLoS One* 10: e0138579. doi:
393 10.1371/journal.pone.0138579

394 27. Palmer JB, Friedman HS, Waltman Johnson K, Navaratnam P, Gottlieb SS (2015)
395 Association of persistent and transient worsening renal function with mortality risk,
396 readmissions risk, length of stay, and costs in patients hospitalized with acute heart
397 failure. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res* 7:357-367

398 28. Saltin B, Blomqvist G, Mitchell JH, Johnson RL Jr, Wildenthal K, Chapman CB (1968)
399 Response to exercise after bed rest and after training. *Circulation* 38:VIII1-78

400 29. JCS Joint Working Group (2013) Guidelines for treatment of acute heart failure (JCS
401 2011). *Circ J* 77:2157-201

402 30. Llano-Diez M, Renaud G, Andersson M, Marrero HG, Cacciani N, Engquist H, Corpeño
403 R, Artemenko K, Bergquist J, Larsson L (2012) Mechanisms underlying ICU muscle
404 wasting and effects of passive mechanical loading. *Crit Care* 16:R209

405 31. Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS, et al (2009) Early physical and
406 occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised
407 controlled trial. *Lancet* 373:1874-82

- 408 32. Kitamura M, Saitoh K, Mizutani A, et al (2016) Recovery process of mobility and
409 prognosis of walking independence in elderly patients with heart failure.
410 *Rigakuryouhougaku* 43:47-55 (in Japanese)
- 411 33. Plantinga LC, Johansen K, Crews DC, Shahinian VB, Robinson BM, Saran R, Burrows
412 NR, Williams DE, Powe NR; CDC CKD Surveillance Team (2011) Association of CKD
413 with disability in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis* 57:212-227
- 414 34. Hiraki K, Yasuda T, Hotta C, Izawa KP, Morio Y, Watanabe S, Sakurada T, Shibagaki Y,
415 Kimura K (2013) Decreased physical function in pre-dialysis patients with chronic
416 kidney disease. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 17:225-31
- 417 35. Onem Y, Terekeci H, Kucukardali Y, Sahan B, Solmazgöl E, Senol MG, Nalbant S,
418 Sayan O, Top C, Oktenli C (2010) Albumin, hemoglobin, body mass index, cognitive and
419 functional performance in elderly persons living in nursing homes. *Arch Gerontol*
420 *Geriatr.* 50; 56-9
- 421 36. Gupta T, Paul N, Kolte D, et al (2015) Association of chronic renal insufficiency with in-
422 hospital outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Am Heart Assoc* 4:6
- 423 37. Hopkins RO, Weaver LK, Collingridge D, Parkinson RB, Chan KJ, Orme JF Jr (2005)
424 Two-year cognitive, emotional, and quality-of-life outcomes in acute respiratory distress
425 syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 171:340-347
- 426 38. Hopkins RO, Jackson JC (2006) Long-term neurocognitive function after critical illness.

- 427 Chest 130:869-878
- 428 39. Sukantarat KT, Burgess PW, Williamson RC, Brett SJ. Brett (2005) Prolonged cognitive
429 dysfunction in survivors of critical illness. *Anaesthesia* 60:847-853
- 430 40. Brummel NE, Girard TD, Ely EW, Pandharipande PP, Morandi A, Hughes CG, Graves
431 AJ, Shintani A, Murphy E, Work B, Pun BT, Boehm L, Gill TM, Dittus RS, Jackson JC
432 (2014) Feasibility and safety of early combined cognitive and physical therapy for
433 critically ill medical and surgical patients: the Activity and Cognitive Therapy in ICU
434 (ACT-ICU) trial. *Intensive Care Med* 40:370-379
- 435 41. Darsie B, Shlipak MG, Sarnak MJ, Katz R, Fitzpatrick AL, Odden MC (2014) Kidney
436 function and cognitive health in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Am J*
437 *Epidemiol* 180:68-75
- 438 42. Pulignano G, Del Sindaco D, Di Lenarda A, Tinti MD, Tarantini L, Cioffi G, Tolone S,
439 Pero G, Minardi G (2014) Chronic renal dysfunction and anaemia are associated with
440 cognitive impairment in older patients with heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Med*
441 (Hagerstown) 15:481-490

442

443 **Figure Legends**

444

445 **Fig. 1** Patient flow during the study

Consecutive heart failure patients who underwent rehabilitation at one acute-care hospital from May 2013 to July 2015 (N = 414)

Inclusion criteria:

- Age $\geq$ 65 years old
- Ability to walk with assistance before hospitalization

Heart failure patients meeting inclusion criteria (N = 233)

Exclusion criteria:

- Three-point measurement difficult (N = 39)
- Severe and psychiatric disease (N = 9)
- Underwent dialysis before hospitalization (N = 5)
- Died during hospitalization (N = 15)

HF patients after exclusion criteria applied (N = 165)

Table 1 Patient characteristics

	High RF n=41	Moderate RF n=84	Low RF n=40	For χ^2 value	p Value
Age, yrs	78.5±7.8	82.8±6.6*	84.9±7.0*	8.98 ^a	<0.001
Sex, male, %	48.8	45.2	35.0	1.74	0.42
BMI, kg/m ²	20.9±4.8	21.3±4.9	19.6±6.6	1.46 ^a	0.24
Clinical parameters					
NYHA class	2/20/44/34	1/22/44/33	0/3/42/55	10.86	0.09
I/II/III/IV, %					
LVEF, %	45.2±14.8	44.2±16.3	52.0±14.9	2.56 ^a	0.08
BNP, pg/mL	670.6±530.8	879.4±814.5	1124.8±1103.3	2.85 ^a	0.06
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.0±1.8	12.1±2.5	8.8±2.7*†	36.10 ^a	<0.001
eGFR at admission, ml/min/1.73 m ²	75.5±13.7	45.4±7.5*	17.0±7.4*†	394.3 ^a	<0.001
eGFR at discharge, ml/min/1.73 m ²	61.2±16.0	45.2±23.6*	23.4±12.2*†	38.1 ^a	<0.001
Acute management, %	22.0	22.9	25.6	0.17	0.92
Comorbidity, %					
Hypertension	90.2	89.2	79.5	2.69	0.26
Diabetes	41.5	31.3	35.9	1.26	0.53
Ischemic heart disease	57.5	41.0	53.8	3.62	0.16

Valvular disease	32.5	38.6	33.3	0.57	0.75
Atrial fibrillation	37.5	57.8	41.0	5.70	0.06
Orthopedic disease	31.7	43.4	41.0	1.59	0.45
Neurological disease	17.1	15.7	17.9	0.11	0.95
Respiratory disease	24.4	14.5	15.4	2.02	0.64
Medication					
Diuretic	94.7	97.5	97.1	0.65	0.72
β-Blockers	76.3	66.3	54.3	3.95	0.14
ACEI/ARB	56.1	51.2	32.5	5.27	0.07
Mobility					
RMI at discharge	9.0±2.3	7.7±2.4	6.5±1.6	12.9 ^a	<0.001
Cognitive function					
KDS at discharge, normal/I/II/III/IV, %	66/25/7/2/0	57/20/16/7/0	30/35/18/15/2	17.0	0.03
Rehabilitation					
progress (days)					
Initiation of walking exercise	4.6±3.0	6.7±6.8	7.5±6.7	2.64 ^a	0.08
Length of hospital stay	16.6±5.7	19.1±9.4	21.6±8.4*	3.56 ^a	0.03

Values are mean ± SD or %.

ACEI angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, *ARB* angiotensin receptor blocker, *BMI* body mass index, *BNP* brain natriuretic peptide, *eGFR* estimated glomerular filtration rate, *KDS* Karasawa Dementia Scale, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *NYHA* New York Heart Association, *RF* renal function, *RMI* = Rivermead Mobility Index

^a F value. $p \leq 0.05$: * vs high RF, † vs moderate RF.

Table 2 Two-way ANCOVA adjusted for age

	Mean square	f Value	p Value
Motor FIM			
Term	1917.7	14.6	<0.01
Group	3827.0	6.2	<0.01
Interaction	339.3	2.6	0.04
Cognitive FIM			
Term	55.0	2.7	0.07
Group	467.6	16.2	<0.01
Interaction	11.7	0.6	0.62

ANCOVA analysis of covariance, *FIM* Functional Independence Measure

Table 3 Motor and cognitive FIM in difference of renal function

	Admission	One week	Two weeks
Motor FIM score			
High RF	35.6±15.9	55.8±18.7*	73.0±17.6*
Moderate RF	34.9±15.4	52.5±18.1*	64.0±17.1*†
Low RF	29.1±15.7	43.3±18.4*†‡	57.2±17.3*†‡
Cognitive FIM score			
High RF	27.0±9.1	29.7±7.3*	31.0±6.5*
Moderate RF	27.5±8.8	29.4±7.1*	30.8±6.3*
Low RF	24.7±9.1	26.1±7.3	26.9±6.6†‡

Values are mean ± SD.

p ≤0.05: * Recovery at one-week intervals; † vs high RF; ‡ vs moderate RF.

FIM Functional Independence Measure, *RF* renal function