



Order Flows, Fundamentals and Exchange Rates

Iwatsubo, Kentaro

Marsh, Ian W.

(Citation)

神戸大学経済学研究科 Discussion Paper, 1120

(Issue Date)

2011-09

(Resource Type)

technical report

(Version)

Version of Record

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/81003389>



Order Flows, Fundamentals and Exchange Rates

Kentaro Iwatsubo
Ian W. Marsh

September 2011
Discussion Paper No.1120

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
KOBE UNIVERSITY

ROKKO, KOBE, JAPAN

Order Flows, Fundamentals and Exchange Rates

Kentaro Iwatsubo and Ian W. Marsh¹

September 2011

Abstract: We examine the links between end-user order flows as seen by a major European commercial bank and macroeconomic fundamentals. We show that both exchange rate changes and flows are only weakly related to macroeconomic news announcements and hypothesise that “the cat is already out of the bag” by the time the news is announced. Instead, order flows of financial and corporate customers reflect in real time the evolution of macroeconomies. The actions of the banks receiving the order flows in turn reveal the information to the market as a whole which prices the exchange rate accordingly. By the time the news is announced, the exchange rate already contains the majority of the information.

JEL classification: F31

Keywords: Order flows; Fundamentals; Exchange rates; News; Microstructure.

¹ Contact author: Kentaro Iwatsubo, Kobe University (iwatsubo@econ.kobe-u.ac.jp), 2-1 Rokko Nada, Kobe, 657-8501 Japan. Tel. +81-78-6875.

Ian W. Marsh, Cass Business School (i.marsh@city.ac.uk), 106 Bunhill Row, London EC1Y 8TZ U.K. Tel. +44-20-7040-8600.

A number of studies use real-time data to analyze the link between economic fundamentals and exchange rates and they show that the exchange rates respond to the publicly announced macro news (Andersen et al., 2003; Ehrmann and Fratzscher, 2005). Furthermore, Dominguez and Panthaki (2006) find that both fundamentals-related and non-fundamentals-related news significantly influence intra-day exchange rate returns. However, these measures of news explain a relatively small fraction of the total exchange rate variation.

One reason is that the unexpected component of unscheduled news is hard to detect but could, potentially, account for the rest of the variation in exchange rates. This does not remove the basic puzzle of why exchange rates react so little to news about fundamentals economists think ought to be important in determining fair value.

An explanation may be that the information about pre-announced fundamentals is, to some degree, already incorporated in the exchange rates. The modern microstructure approach to exchange rates would suggest that this takes place by way of order flows (Evans and Lyons, 2005). Dealers observe private signals about so-far unannounced fundamentals through order flow from their clients, together with public signals through interbank order flows on broker systems like EBS or Reuters.

This paper explores whether pre-announced economic fundamentals are recognized by traders and these pieces of private information are priced in the exchange rates. If so, who are better informed about the not-yet-announced macro variable? When do they react to the development of economic fundamentals by changing their order flow? Among many potentially relevant macroeconomic fundamentals, what variables play a significant role in influencing the exchange rate? Our investigation contributes to the development of a new micro-theory of exchange rate determination.

This paper uses disaggregated end-user order flow data to assess the forecastability by investor groups of macro fundamentals and analyzes how the unannounced macro fundamentals are priced in exchange rates.

We run five sets of regressions. First, we regress exchange rate changes on news announcements regarding key macroeconomic variables. We show that exchange rates do not react much to announced changes in fundamentals or even to the surprise components of the announcements (based on survey expectations). Second, we regress flows on those same announcements. Again, we find little reaction in flows. This pair of results suggests that

neither the exchange rate nor trading in that market are much influenced by announcements, confirming one element of the disconnect puzzle outlined above and discussed in more detail below.

In our third set of regressions we test whether flows respond to developments in the macroeconomies. We find that different customers respond to different macroeconomic variables. In particular, financial intuitions are better informed than corporations about the development of macro fundamentals such as GDP, Industrial Production and CPI. On the other hand, corporations are well informed about the unemployment rate. These fundamental developments have not been announced publicly yet dealers can learn about them through the private signal contained in their customers' order flows. We therefore reverse the regressions and use order flows from different customer segments to 'predict' these unannounced macroeconomic developments. Finally, we test whether the market exchange rate prices the predicted fundamentals. Our results suggest that either the actions of our bank in setting prices and/or trading or a positive correlation between private signals² allows the market to set exchange rates that take fundamental developments into account even before those developments have been officially released via data announcements. The disconnect puzzle of the lack of response of exchange rates to macroeconomic announcements is because the cat is already out of the bag by the time announcements are made. The market has learned of the economic developments, at least in part through customer order flows, and has priced them accordingly.

The paper proceeds as follows. In the next section we review and attempt to link two rather separate literatures; the exchange rate disconnect literature starting with the work of Obstfeld and Rogoff (2000), and the rather smaller set of papers that consider the information content of order flow in foreign exchange markets. Section 2 describes our data set and outlines our hypotheses and methods. Section 3 reports and interprets our results, and the paper ends with a brief summary and conclusion.

² An informed investor might split a large order into several smaller ones placed with different banks, or several informed investors might simultaneously react to the same economic developments and place orders with their preferred banks. Either way, several banks are receiving order flows containing similar information at approximately the same time.

1. Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

1.1 Exchange rate disconnect

Meese and Rogoff (1983) took the leading macroeconomic models of the exchange rate available at the time and cast doubt on there being a reliable link between spot exchange rates and the fundamentals used in those models. The state-of-the-art of exchange rate modelling had hardly progressed by the new millennium when Obstfeld and Rogoff (2000) summarised the literature as demonstrating a disconnect between exchange rates and macroeconomic fundamentals.

One answer to the disconnect puzzle is that the link between fundamentals and exchange rates is too rich and dynamic to be easily captured by regression methods. Engel and West (2005) emphasise that it is expected fundamentals that impact prices rather than realised fundamentals, while Sarno and Valente (2009) argue that time-varying relations are natural in a world where risk premia and behaviour are changeable.

Andersen et al. (2003) show that the surprise component in news announcements moves exchange rates in the expected direction but the overall explanatory power of fundamental news events remains extremely low both because the magnitude of reactions to news is relatively small and because news announcements are infrequent. The exchange rate disconnect puzzle at macroeconomic frequencies of a month or more therefore matched by considerable unexplained exchange rate variation at higher frequencies. This has been termed the news puzzle.

Engel and West (2005) rationalise the disconnect in a simple way. By embedding policy reaction functions in a standard model of uncovered interest parity with risk premia they derive a present value equation for the exchange rate. The related model in Engel, Mark and West (2007) suggests that short-run changes in exchange rates are primarily driven by changes in expectations about the future course of interest rates. In this class of models, a sufficiently small discount rate implies that the exchange rate ought to be essentially unpredictable based on current fundamentals. Instead, the current exchange rate ought to predict future fundamentals, a finding weakly borne out by empirical work.

1.2 Information in order flow

While the macroeconomic literature at first worried about the disconnect puzzle and then embraced it as consistent with more sophisticated models, the microstructure approach stumbled upon a variable that is highly correlated with high frequency exchange rate movements – order flow. For example, Evans and Lyons (2002) show that interbank order flows can explain between 40 and 70% of the daily variation in spot returns for major currencies. Interbank order flows result primarily from risk management activities of trading desks in response to order flows from their customers, and subsequent work has shown correlations between order flow from end-users and exchange rates consistent with the interbank results (Evans and Lyons, 2007; Marsh and O’Rourke, 2005).

Evans and Lyons (2007) attempt to bridge the gap between macro and microstructure by embedding microstructural currency trading in an open-economy DSGE macromodel. This model suggests that order flows contain information regarding macroeconomic developments but that the information is insufficient for dealers to infer the true state of the economy. Evans (2010) builds a model that combines elements of Evans and Lyons (2004) and Engel and West (2006) such that dealers extract information from order flow to infer the current state of the economy while recognising that policy-makers set short-term interest rates in response to changes in the macroeconomic environment.

Evans (2010) goes on to provide empirical support for his theoretical model by showing that customer order flow from Citibank between 1993 and mid-1999 provide incremental information regarding the real-time state of the macroeconomy over and above that contained in current and past data releases. Further, the information in these flows is price relevant in that exchange rates significantly react to that portion of flows that add value in understanding the current state of the economy.

Our paper seeks to build on the work of Evans by using order flow from a different bank over a more recent time period to confirm that order flow contains price relevant macroeconomic information. We consider in detail the time periods over which order flow might reflect fundamental information, testing whether order flow reacts to macroeconomic developments before they happen, as they happen or after they happen, a sub-set of the latter case being that order flows react to the news announcement. We show that for most key macroeconomic variables, order flow is contemporaneously correlated with the evolution of the macroeconomy, such that flows provide real-time information on what is happening in the

economy. Further, we show that it is largely the order flow of financial institutions that gives precise information about current fundamentals. We also identify fundamentals that are not reflected in order flows and find that, reassuringly, these are the variables whose announcement effects are largest, suggesting that the foreign exchange market only learns this information when it is publicly announced.

1.3 Hypotheses tested

The two literatures briefly surveyed above together suggest that exchange rates might react to macroeconomic developments at various points in time. In this sub-section we outline our regression approaches to testing these reactions.

Consider a generic macroeconomic variable, x , that is measured over a discrete interval (e.g. the CPI-based inflation rate). One observation on this variable might correspond to the j -day interval t_0 through t_j . Developments in this variable are not observable in real-time although agents in the economy can observe signals based on their own limited information sets. For example, while the evolution of the aggregate consumer price level is not observable in real-time, a firm has information about the evolution of the basket of goods that it trades. A subset of this firm's basket of goods is also a subset of the basket of goods measured to compute the consumer price index, and hence the firm observes in real-time a signal of the evolution of the consumer price index. Similarly, a financial firm is analysing public and private signals of the evolution of x based on its own imperfect information set. We assume that corporate and financial firms trade foreign exchange based on their interpretations of these public and private signals. The implication is that customer order flow ought to be contemporaneously correlated with macroeconomic developments. Ideally, we would observe order flow at the individual firm level. Unfortunately individual customer order flow data is not available for long enough time periods to be analysed in this framework. Instead we are forced to aggregate order flow into customer categories (corporate customers and financial customers in our data set) and we test the link between order flow and fundamentals by regressing order flow from customer segment m observed between t_0 and t_j on developments in i key macroeconomic variables x also measured between t_0 and t_j :

$$OF_{t_0,t_j}^m = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i x_{i,t_0,t_j} + \varepsilon_{t_0,t_j}^m \quad (1)$$

Significant coefficients in this regression would suggest that aggregate order flow from a customer segment is reacting in real-time to the relevant macroeconomic variables.

This order flow is seen by their foreign exchange dealer. Importantly, a dealer for a large bank observes order flow from many different customers. In effect the dealer is receiving many (private) signals about the private signals received by his many customers. The dispersed information held by the agents in the economy is then concentrated through order flow through one dealer. Of course, this aggregation is imperfect, but a central hypothesis of this paper is that the real-time order flow seen by a dealer contains information about the contemporaneous evolution of macro variables.

This is tested in the following section by reversing equation (1) outlined above and regressing variable x between t_0 and t_j on order flow from customer segment m observed in the interval t_0 to t_j :

$$x_{i,t_0,t_j} = \delta + \sum_{m=1}^k \theta_i^m OF_{t_0,t_j}^m + \varepsilon_{i,t_0,t_j} \quad (2)$$

Significant coefficients in the regression would indicate that a dealer can learn about variable x from the contemporaneous flows he observes. Note that this order flow is privately observed and constitutes an informational advantage for the dealer. Cheung, Chinn and Marsh (2004) report results from a survey of dealers that confirm access to a large customer base as the single most important competitive advantage that a dealing desk might have. Equation (2) tests whether the access to customer order flow gives a dealer an advantage because he can use it to infer developments in the real economy before they are publicly announced.

< Figure 1 about here >

The actual evolution of x over the period t_0 to t_j is publicly announced on day t_A . There is a reporting delay and so the announcement day is later than the end of the measurement interval. There is then the period t_{j+1} to t_{A-1} which we will call the pre-announcement interval, during which there is a realised value for variable x that is not public knowledge. Agents in the economy may still be learning about the value of x based on information flows during this pre-announcement interval, and so order flow in the pre-announcement period may still contain relevant information. This is tested by augmenting the above regression with order flow from customer segment m observed in the interval t_{j+1} to t_{A-1} .

$$x_{i,t0,tj} = \delta + \sum_{m=1}^k \theta_i^m OF_{t0,tj}^m + \sum_{m=1}^k \vartheta_i^m OF_{tj+1,tA-1}^m + \varepsilon_{i,t0,tj} \quad (3)$$

Since all signals before the announcement are noisy, the announcement is likely to contain a surprise element that causes the exchange rate to adjust. The noisier the signals (both public and private), the less ability the dealer has to infer the evolution of fundamentals and so the larger the likely surprise element. One part of the literature on the effect of news on the exchange rate relies on econometric forecasts to generate expectations. These papers usually implicitly assume that the expectations are based on public information since only public information is included in the forecasting regressions. Papers that use expectations data from surveys loosen this constraint.

The announcement resolves all uncertainty about the value of x (except to the extent that revisions to an initial provisional estimate are likely) but this does not necessarily resolve the implications of the value of x for the exchange rate. We would expect to see (i) an adjustment of the exchange rate to the surprise element of the news announcement, (ii) order flow following the announcement as agents react to the surprise element and (iii) subsequent exchange rate adjustments and order flow as the market prices the implication of the news announcement for the exchange rate. Our data are sampled at the daily frequency and so we cannot separate these various reactions. However we would expect to see contemporaneous correlations manifest by significance in regressions of the log change in the exchange rate or order flow on news announcements.

As detailed in the survey of the disconnect literature, however, the key finding in regressions of exchange rate changes on news is that the explanatory power is very low. To anticipate our results, we also find that news announcements explain only a tiny percentage of the variation in the spot euro-dollar exchange rate over our sample. Two resolutions to this puzzle suggest themselves. Either the macroeconomic variables we analyse are simply not relevant to exchange rate determination (an extreme answer to the disconnect puzzle) or the actual news announcement is largely irrelevant for exchange rate determination because the variable is already incorporated in the exchange rate. Within the microstructure approach, order flow is the mechanism by which macroeconomic developments might be learned by the market before they are publicly announced. We test the second potential resolution by regressing exchange rate changes (Δs) on the fitted values of fundamentals from regression (3) above.

$$\Delta s_{t0,tj} = \omega + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \hat{x}_{i,t0,tj} + \varepsilon_{t0,tj} \quad (4)$$

These fitted values are predictions of the variables that the dealer could generate based solely on his private order flow. In reality, the information set available in making predictions is much larger and so these predictions are very basic. However, these regressions tell us whether that part of order flow that is correlated with fundamental x and observed before the value of x is announced publicly has already impacted the exchange rate. Statistical significance, and more importantly a reasonable explanatory power would suggest that the market has priced in fundamentals before they are announced through the impact of order flow on the exchange rate.

2. Data

2.1 Order flow and exchange rates data

The order flow data used in this paper come from the Royal Bank of Scotland (RBS), beginning on 1 October 2001 and ending 29 June 2004 giving a total of 658 observations accounting for national holidays. During this period, RBS was among the top ten global foreign exchange banks. Customer order flow data are obviously highly confidential and so the data description provided here is necessarily less detailed than usual. However, we hope that readers still get a feel for the nature of the flows across this bank's foreign exchange desks.

The RBS maintains a 24-hour foreign exchange trading service for its customers. The customer order flow data are aggregated over a 24-hour window from the opening of the Sydney market through the close of the US market (which approximates to midnight to midnight Greenwich Mean Time). The data include all spot transactions entered into by customers against the bank. The data do not include forward deals or deals between the bank and other banks via the inter-dealer markets. In this paper we focus on customer order flow data in the most liquid market, the euro-U.S. dollar.

The order flow is further disaggregated according to the counterparty classification assigned by the bank. There are four categories of customer: non-financial corporates (denoted Corp), unleveraged financials such as mutual funds, leveraged financials including hedge funds and

other financials. The final category is rather heterogeneous but will include the trades of smaller banks that do not have access to the interbank dealer network and trades of central banks. Since the motives and relevance of this final category is not well understood we do not consider this set of flows individually below, although it is still included in the total measure of flows. We also aggregate the leveraged and unleveraged financial institutions into one category which we call ‘Financials’ (denoted Fin). The leveraged category is relatively small, particularly at the start of our sample, and its use as a standalone segment is questionable. Our results are robust to aggregating the two profit-maximising categories of financial customers or just using the unleveraged category alone.

Where possible we use the USD/EUR exchange rate data from Global Treasury Information Services, quoted at 18:00 NY (22:00 GMT). For missing data due to the US national holidays, we used instead the London fixing rate at 16:00 GMT, obtained from WM/Reuters. Both sets of rates are sourced from Datastream.

We also use other financial variables in our analysis. We collect LIBOR overnight interest rates at the 17:00 fixing in London from the British bankers Association and we use S&P500 and Euro STOXX stock price indices. All series are sourced from Datastream.

Table 1 contains some descriptive statistics of the key series analysed. Key points from this are that that flows from different counterparty classifications typically are not highly cross-correlated, and neither are they highly auto-correlated. FX returns, conversely, are strongly negatively autocorrelated as are changes in interest rate differentials. All series are comfortably stationary.

< Table 1 here >

< Descriptive Statistics >

2.2 Macroeconomic data and expectations data

We collect the data on macroeconomic news and market participants’ expectations from Bloomberg. The data set includes expected, announced and revised macroeconomic variables. Bloomberg’s expectations are calculated as the mean from a survey of forecasts made by market participants. One strong point of Bloomberg is its popularity and perceived relevance among FX traders – there are no dealing rooms without Bloomberg feeds. There

are often doubts about the value of survey-based measures of expectations, usually due to the lack of incentives for participants to give accurate responses, and so it is important to use survey data from a source with a high perceived value among respondents. The start date of Bloomberg's survey is relatively recent compared to other survey corporations, and the survey is therefore less used in academic work, however it covers our sample period and its closeness to dealers is a significant strength especially in assessing the reaction of exchange rates and flows to announcements. Most previous studies examining reactions of high-frequency bond prices and exchange rates to macroeconomic announcements utilize the International Money Market Studies (MMS) data, which are collected by InformaGM, on expected and announced macroeconomic news. MMS is a telephone survey conducted weekly and published on the Thursday of the week prior to the announcement date. Hence MMS's survey does not reflect the revision of market participants' expectations just before the announcements and is relatively stale. By contrast, the Bloomberg survey covers between 30 and 40 respondents who can revise their forecasts any time until each announcement. Expectations based upon this survey are likely, then, to be as up-to-date as possible.

We analyse 17 scheduled macroeconomic announcements, seven for Europe and ten for the United States, listed in the Appendix. However, we only report results based on eight main announcements in the text for compactness. The full sets of results are available on request. The eight macro variables we analyse in detail are CPI, GDP, industrial production and unemployment announcements for the euro-area and U.S.

We define news about a macroeconomic variable in two ways: the actual (or percentage) change in the variable which includes both the anticipated and surprise component of the announcement, and the surprise component. We measure the surprise component as the difference between the actual and expected value of the variable, standardized by the standard deviation of the news, as is normal in this literature.

3 Results and Interpretation

In this section we run sets of regressions with three aims in mind. First, we want to demonstrate that the exchange rate and flow disconnect puzzles hold for our data set. Second, we want to better understand the determinants of order flow. In particular, financial institutions might reasonably be expected to have followed some well-known FX trading

strategies which ought then be able to explain their flows. Similarly, as outlined above, all customer types ought to be reacting to or even anticipating key macroeconomic developments and with the hindsight of econometricians we test whether real-time developments in macro variables can explain flows. Third, and central to the hypotheses outlined above, we test whether the dealers who privately observe their customers' order flows and the dealer community that aggregates these flows can learn enough from them to price macroeconomic developments before they are publicly announced.

3.1 Preliminaries

We begin by establishing the relevance of our order flow data. End-user order flow is hard to come by and analyses of customer order flows are relatively rare. The bulk of the literature relies on data from one (exceptionally good) source, namely Citibank (see Evans and Lyons, 2005). Other papers have used flows from smaller European banks (Osler et al., 2010; Bjonnes et al., 2005). Our data are from RBS, at the time a leading player in FX markets with a global footprint. These data have been used in analyses of foreign exchange intervention (Marsh, 2011) and to consider the information content of order flow (Marsh and O'Rourke, 2005).

< Table 2 here >

< Order flow and contemporaneous exchange rate changes >

In Table 2 we establish that one of the key relationships in FX microstructure holds for this data. We note, in line with the literature, that there are significant contemporaneous correlations between order flow and exchange rate changes but that these correlations bear different signs. Flows from profit-maximising financial institutions are positively correlated with exchange rate changes, such that net buying pressure on the euro from financials coincides with an appreciation of the euro, while the correlation between corporate customer order flows and the exchange rate is negative. These correlations are very similar irrespective of whether the flows are included in the regression one at a time or all together. They are also unaffected by the inclusion of other explanatory variables such as interest rates or other asset returns. Proponents of the microstructure approach contend that these

correlations result from the information content of flows, although this contention is largely driven by theoretical modelling and few papers have analysed the driving forces behind customer order flows. We turn to this issue in subsection 3.3.

3.2 Does news matter for exchange rates?

In this section we establish that one of the key puzzles in international finance also hold for our data set. The exchange rate disconnect puzzle concerns the lack of relations between exchange rate changes and fundamentals (however defined) over short to medium horizons. A corollary of that puzzle is the news puzzle – exchange rates often do not react much to data releases concerning major macroeconomic fundamentals, even if there are relatively large surprise components to the announcement.

< Table 3 here >

< Exchange rate changes and news >

Table 3 reports results from regressing exchange rate changes on news announcements. We use both the actual announcement, usually in terms of percentage change, and the surprise component of the announcement as discussed above. The key findings are that (i) some news announcements are statistically significant but (ii) news announcements do not explain much of the variation in exchange rates. Negative autocorrelation in exchange rate returns is quite significant but developments in interest rate differentials have no explanatory power. Columns III and VI indicate that order flows are significantly contemporaneously correlated with exchange rate changes after the addition of extra explanatory variables.

3.3 Determinants of order flow

Order flows are strongly correlated with contemporaneous exchange rate changes and, given their position on the right hand side of most regressions in the microstructure literature, the usual view is that flows are causal for exchange rates. In passing, we note that there is no evidence of Granger causality in either direction between flows and exchange rates for our dataset, although interestingly there is evidence that corporate customer order flows react to

lagged financial customer order flows consistent with the liquidity provision hypothesis of Bjornes et al. (2005) (see Table 4).

< Table 4 here >

< Granger Causality >

The FX microstructure literature has long argued that order flows are only the proximate cause of exchange rate changes. Order flow is then the mechanism by which the real driving forces impact the exchange rate. This subsection examines the causes of customer order flow as seen by RBS. Since the focus of the paper is the relevance of macro fundamentals these will play a dominant role, but we want to expand beyond this to control for other potential determinants. As we have order flow from two customer segments that are very likely to be driven by different factors we add potential explanatory variables suggested by recent developments in foreign exchange markets.

The literature on foreign exchange determination has recently taken on a new life once it was realised that certain trading strategies appear to provide considerable profits, possibly also once these profits are risk adjusted. The carry trade has been dominant among these strategies with momentum trading following in rather distant second place. The portfolio approach to carry trading suggests investing in a portfolio of n currencies offering the highest yield, and funding this portfolio by borrowing the m currencies with the lowest yield from a restricted universe of all tradable currencies. On a portfolio basis, neither the dollar nor the euro would have been much used as part of the carry trade during our sample period as their interest rates were usually fairly average and so not in either extreme portfolio. However many investment houses instead traded several bilateral currency pairs, going long the higher yielding currency, to maximise the diversification impacts of carry trading and it is conceivable that some euro-dollar flows might have been affected by this version of the carry trade. To this end we include both the interest rate differential and the change in the differential as potential determinants of (financial customer) order flows. Similarly, we include lagged exchange rate changes as a proxy for the drivers of momentum trading. Most momentum trading in foreign exchange markets seems to be of the trend-following variety, so we would expect a positive correlation between lagged currency appreciations and inflows into that currency.

Although our unconditional autocorrelation tests in Table 1 did not suggest a role for own lagged order flow, we include lags now as Bjornes et al. (2005) suggest that corporate customers provide liquidity to financial customers, implying that there may be a lead-lag relationship between flows from different customer groups. As noted, this is supported by the Granger causality results reported in Table 4.

Since financial customer order flow includes unleveraged institutions such as mutual funds, it is likely that FX flows from some customers is driven not by exchange rate factors but by expected or realised developments in underlying assets. Interest rates are already included in the regression so we also add changes in the S&P500 and EuroStoxx stock indices to capture equity market developments.

Initially, we consider the role of fundamentals by including macro news announcements as explanatory variables. We might not expect corporate flows to react at high frequency to news announcements, and indeed a central hypothesis of this paper is that they will have already reacted to the economic developments in real time and so in advance of the news announcement. However, a corporate customer might respond to macro news to the extent that it provides information on the development of the wider economy that is relevant to the firm (for example, by allowing more accurate predictions of the future state of the economy than could be made through an analysis of information directly observed by the firm itself). It is less contentious that financial flows might react to new information releases, again because the investment positioning of the firm is based on noisy signals and a data release may necessitate a repositioning.

< Table 5 here >

< Order flow determinants – news >

Table 5 contains the results of sets of regressions aimed at explaining order flows. It is fair to say that these results are disappointing. While some variables are occasionally significant, the overall fit of the regressions is very poor. In particular, the announcement variables are largely insignificant and provide very poor fit. We find very similar results if the announced change in the macroeconomic variables is used instead of simply the surprise component.

Similarly, our ability to explain financial customer order flows is unchanged if we expand the number of announcements included in the regression. This expansion of the number of announcement examined does increase the adjusted R² figure for corporate flows to 3.2% but most of the significant coefficients on news announcements bear the “wrong” sign, suggesting that corporate customers buy the euro on bad news for the euro economy or good news for the US economy. This rather odd behaviour is also found in King et al. (2010) when analysing the impact of flows in the Canadian Dollar. We noted above that exchange rates do not react much to news announcements and the microstructure parallel of this is that order flows do not seem to react much either (or at least does so in an inconsistent way).

There is some evidence that stock market returns and changes in interest rate differentials matter for financial customer order flows. The significant coefficient on lagged financial flows in the corporate flows regression also supports the notion that corporate supply liquidity for financials – in the words of Sager and Taylor (2006), financials are push customers and corporate are pull customers. Nevertheless, our ability to explain order flows of corporate or financial customers using this set of explanatory variables is very limited.

As outlined above, one of the key hypotheses in this paper is that customer order flow is responding in real time to macroeconomic developments. Corporate clients are not, in the main, trading foreign exchange for profit but instead as a by-product of their corporate activities. The evolution of the real economy is then potentially a major force behind flows from corporate customers. As the markets in which they operate develop we might expect they will trade in foreign exchange markets. Similarly, financial customers are continually updating their assessments of the economy and taking positions accordingly. Hence we would also expect their flows to be reacting to real-time economic developments. This simple concept lies behind the dispersed information approach of micro FX. As individual companies trade based on the evolution of their own microeconomies, order flow through the foreign exchange market aggregates the microeconomic information into something approximating a macroeconomic signal for the dealers observing the flow.

Given the poor performance of our other explanations of order flow, we now concentrate on whether flows react contemporaneously to macroeconomic developments. We run regressions at a monthly frequency for most macroeconomic variables, but use a quarterly frequency for GDP series. We regress order flow on contemporaneous changes in macro fundamentals as in equation (1) above.

< Table 6 here >

< Order flow and current fundamentals >

These results are marginally more encouraging. Although the goodness of fit measures are still quite low there is frequent statistical significance and most of the coefficient values take the expected signs. For financial customers, increases in European inflation, industrial production and GDP growth, increases in the U.S unemployment rate, and decreases in U.S. industrial production all result in contemporaneous flows into the euro. Flows of corporate customers appear to be driven by unemployment rates – increases in U.S. unemployment and decreases in European unemployment resulting in contemporaneous inflows for the euro.

3.4 Learning from order flow

Table 6 suggests that order flows of our bank's customers are responding in real time to macroeconomic developments. These order flows are private signals of the evolution of the macroeconomy seen by bank dealers. In this section we ask first whether the dealers can extract this information from this set of private signals, and second whether the extracted information is priced into the exchange rate.

We begin by reversing the above analysis and regress economic developments on order flows as in equation (2) above. Significant coefficients in this regression would suggest that a dealer observing these (private) customer order flows could make inference about contemporaneous developments in the economy. We then also include order flows from the period t_{j+1} until the day before the announcement date in the regression to test whether information is contained in non-synchronous order flows (equation (3)). Results are presented in Table 7.

< Table 7 here >

< Order flow and fundamentals >

These findings are encouraging. Order flow from financial customers is significantly correlated with contemporaneous macroeconomic developments six of the eight variables analysed. Coefficient signs are generally as expected, with the exception of euro area CPI. Interestingly, and mirroring the results of Table 6, while financial customer order flows appear to contain little information that could be extracted regarding unemployment rates in either the U.S. or Euro area, corporate flows are significantly correlated with changes in unemployment.

Goodness of fit measures are very high for the quarterly GDP series but we have relatively few observations in our sample. Regressions for the monthly series display less explanatory power but considering the very small information set these forecasts are being made upon the performance is not unreasonable.

In unreported results we also included order flow from the month before t_0 to test whether there is information in lagged order flow about future fundamentals. We found no significance. The results therefore indicate that in the main it is only contemporaneous flows observed by our bank that contain information valuable for understanding economic developments. Neither leads nor lags of order flows are consistently significant. Evans and Lyons (2007) argue that order flows can predict fundamentals several months ahead. Our results suggest that order flows can also be used to infer the contemporaneous evolution of the economy.

Finally, we turn to perhaps the key results in the paper. Our point of departure was that news announcements have little impact on exchange rates (or, it emerges, on order flows). We hypothesised that this might be because exchange rates had already responded to economic developments in real-time since flows contain strong enough signals to dealers that they can infer the evolution of the key macro variables. Table 7 suggests that there is information content in the flows and now we test whether exchange rates react to these signals.

< Tale 8 here >

< Pricing of fundamentals >

Following Evans (2010) we adopt a two stage procedure to test whether inferred fundamentals are priced using regression (4) above. The two stage strategy is necessary

because the explanatory variables in equation (4) are themselves estimated and so there is potentially an errors-in-variables problem. Our estimation strategy is as follows. In the first stage regression, the change in macro fundamental variable is regressed on contemporaneous order flows by financial institutions and corporations to obtain the estimated value of the macro fundamental (equivalent to the regressions reported in the first row for each variable in Table 7). The second regression uses the estimate as an instrumental variable to estimate the impact of the fundamental variable on daily exchange rate change.

Four of our eight key macroeconomic variables appear to be contemporaneously priced into exchange rates. For three of these variables – Euro CPI and GDP and US industrial production – all of the pricing is derived from financial customer order flows. The fourth variable – US GDP – prices information in both corporate and financial order flows. Interestingly, while corporates appear to produce flows that are correlated with unemployment rate developments, particularly in the euro area, and in contrast with financial customers whose flows are uncorrelated this does not appear to be priced into exchange rates. In Table 3 above we note that the response of the exchange rate to unemployment news in the euro area is highly significant. It appears that dealers have some relevant information about unemployment developments but are not using it efficiently. Conversations with dealers suggest that they anticipate any information in flows to come primarily from their financial customers, and the importance of corporate order flows is downplayed. Our results indicate that this view is largely correct since it is financial customer flows that contain the most information. However, by ignoring flows from corporate customers, dealers are perhaps missing out on some relevant information.

The variables not contemporaneously priced into exchange rates are exactly the ones which have large announcement effects. Column III of Table 3 indicates that the surprise components of Euro industrial production and unemployment rate affect exchange rates most significantly on the day of announcement, while column VI suggests that changes in US CPI have significant announcement effects. None of these three are contemporaneously priced according to Table 8.

4 Summary and Conclusions

This paper is motivated by two robust empirical observations. First, there is a disconnect between fundamentals and exchange rates insofar as very little of the variation in exchange rates can be explained by announcements about key macroeconomic developments. Second, there are strong links between order flows from end-users in the foreign exchange market and spot exchange rates. The microstructure approach to exchange rates suggests that the customer order flows contain information about current and expected future developments in the macroeconomies, and that this information is extracted and priced into exchange rates through the trading mechanism.

Our paper tests this hypothesis, demonstrating that there is a contemporaneous correlation between the real-time evolution of major macroeconomic variables and customer order flows as seen by a major European commercial bank. Further, this information can be extracted by the dealer to derive real-time information on macro developments. Finally, this information is rapidly priced into spot exchange rates. The lack of response of exchange rates to the subsequent announcement of these macroeconomic developments is because the cat is already out of the bag. The market has learned of many of these economic developments, at least partially because of customer order flows, and has priced them accordingly.

We conclude that the dispersed information microstructure theories of Evans and Lyons (2007) and Evans (2010) find empirical support. Customer order flow is a mechanism by which the foreign exchange market learns about the state of the economy. Since this learning takes place in real-time, exchange rate changes anticipate the information content of delayed macroeconomic news announcements.

Acknowledgement

This paper was completed while Iwatsubo was visiting Cass Business School. We are grateful to seminar participants at Osaka University for helpful comments, and the foreign exchange team at Royal Bank of Scotland for providing the order flow data and for informative discussions. Iwatsubo is thankful for financial support from JSPS Excellent Young Researcher Overseas Visit Program and Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B21730253). All errors are our own.

Appendix

17 Scheduled Macro Announcements (EMU 7, US 10)

	Title	Frequency	Source	Units
EMU	CPI	Monthly	ECB	% change mom
EMU	Consumer Confidence	Monthly	EU Commission	Index
EMU	GDP (seasonal adjusted)	Quarterly	ECB	% change qoq
EMU	Industrial Production	Monthly	ECB	% change mom
EMU	M3 (seasonal adjusted)	Monthly	ECB	% change mom
EMU	PPI	Monthly	ECB	% change mom
EMU	Unemployment Rate	Monthly	ECB	% rate
US	Change in Manufact. Payrolls	Monthly	BLS	Change in thousands
US	Change in Nonfarm Payrolls	Monthly	BLS	Change in thousands
US	CPI	Monthly	BLS	% change mom
US	GDP	Quarterly	BEA	% change qoq
US	Housing Starts	Monthly	Census	Millions
US	Industrial Production	Monthly	FRB	% change mom
US	Retail Sales Less Autos	Monthly	Census	% change mom
US	Trade Balance	Monthly	BEA	\$ billion
US	U. of Michigan Confidence	Twice a Month	Univ. of Michigan	Index
US	Unemployment Rate	Monthly	BLS	% rate

References

- Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F., Vega, C., 2003. Micro effects of macro announcements: real-time price discovery in foreign exchange. *American Economic Review* 391, 38-62.
- Bjonnes, G. H., Rime, D., Solheim, H., 2005. Liquidity provision in the overnight foreign exchange market. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 24, 175-196.
- Cheung, Y.-W., Chinn, M. D., Marsh, I.W., 2004. How do UK-based foreign exchange dealers think their market operations? *International Journal of Finance and Economics* 9(4), 289-306.
- Dominguez, K., Panthaki, F., 2006. What defines 'news' in foreign exchange markets? *Journal of International Money and Finance* 25, 168-198.
- Engel, C., Mark, N., West, K., 2007. Exchange rate models are not as bad as you think. NBER Macroeconomics Annual 2007, 381-441.
- Engel, C., West, K., 2005. Exchange rates and fundamentals. *Journal of Political Economy* 3, 485-517.
- Engel, C., West, K., 2006. Taylor rules and the Deutschmark-Dollar real exchange rate. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 5, 1175-1194.
- Evans, M., 2010. Order flows and the exchange rate disconnect puzzle. *Journal of International Economics* 80, 58-71.
- Evans, M., Lyons, R., 2002. Order flow and exchange rate dynamics. *Journal of Political Economy* 110 (1), 170-180.
- Evans, M., Lyons, R., 2004. A new micro model of exchange rate dynamics. Working Paper 10379. In National Bureau of Economic Research. June.
- Evans, M., Lyons, R., 2005. Meese-Rogoff redux: micro-based exchange-rate forecasting. *American Economic Review* 95 (2), 405-413.
- Evans, M., Lyons, R., 2007. Exchange rate fundamentals and order flow. Working Paper 13151. In National Bureau of Economic Research. June.
- Ehrmann, M., Fratzscher, M., 2005. Exchange rates and fundamentals: new evidence from real-time data. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 24, 317-341.
- King, M., Lucio, S., Sojli, E., 2010. Timing exchange rates using order flow: the case of the Loonie. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 34 (12), 2917-2928.
- Marsh, I.W., 2011. Order flow and central bank interventions: an empirical analysis of recent Bank of Japan actions in the foreign exchange market. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 30 (2), 377-392.

- Marsh, I.W., O'Rourke, C., 2005. Customer order flows and exchange rate movements: is there really informational content? Cass Business School Working Paper.
- Meese, R. A., Rogoff, K., 1983. Empirical exchange rate models of the seventies: do they fit our of sample? *Journal of International Economics* 14, 3-24.
- Obstfeld, M., Rogoff, K., 2000. The six major puzzles in international macroeconomics: is there a common cause? NBER Macroeconomics Annual 2000, 339-390.
- Osler, C., Mende, A., Menkhoff, L., 2010. Price discovery in currency markets. Brandeis University Working Paper.
- Sager, M., Talyor, M.P., 2006. Under the microscope: The structure of the foreign exchange market. *International Journal of Finance and Economics* 11, 81-95.
- Sarno, L., Valente, G., 2009. Exchange rates and fundamentals: footloose or evolving relationship? *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 7(4), 786-830.

Table 1. Basic Statistics

This table presents basic statistics for the daily USD/EUR return, financial customer order flow (OF_F), corporate customer order flow (OF_C), total customer order flow (OF_Total) and the overnight interest differential expressed as the difference between Euro and US annualized interest rates. Panel A shows the descriptive statistics and Panel B presents the correlations for the variables. Mean, maximum, minimum, and standard deviations of exchange returns are presented in percentage points. Order flow shows net buying of EUR in USD by each trader group. JB-Prob is the P-value for the null hypothesis of the Jarque-Bera test for normality. AR(1)-Prob is the P-value for the null hypothesis that the first-order autocorrelation is zero. ADF is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic with a null of non-stationarity. The sample period is 10/1/2001-6/29/2004, or 658 daily observations.

Panel A. Descriptive statistics						
	FX return	OF_F	OF_C	OF_TOTAL	i _{eu} -i _{us}	$\Delta(i_{eu}-i_{us})$
Mean	0.04	-6.88E+05	-2.76E+06	-2.24E+06	1.30	0.00
Maximum	1.92	3.01E+08	1.90E+08	4.23E+08	2.43	0.84
Minimum	-2.02	-2.71E+08	-2.14E+08	-3.33E+08	0.29	-0.97
Std. Dev.	0.64	4.37E+07	4.42E+07	7.64E+07	0.31	0.13
Skewness	-0.15	-0.06	0.04	-0.02	0.33	0.32
Kurtosis	3.44	12.82	6.26	6.47	3.16	17.16
JB-Prob	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
AR(1)	-0.11	-0.01	-0.01	0.02	0.90	-0.14
AR(1)-Prob	0.00	0.72	0.81	0.59	0.00	0.00
ADF	-29.69	-25.45	-25.42	-24.34	-6.65	-20.05
Panel B. Correlations						
FX return	1.00					
OF_F	0.19	1.00				
OF_C	-0.10	0.04	1.00			
OF_TOTAL	0.01	0.50	0.48	1.00		
i _{eu} -i _{us}	0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.03	1.00	
$\Delta(i_{eu}-i_{us})$	-0.01	-0.06	-0.03	0.00	0.20	1.00

Table 2. Order Flow and Exchange Rates

This table reports the results of regressing daily changes in the USD/EUR exchange rate on order flows and other potential explanatory variables. The coefficient on order flows shows the percentage change in exchange rates for a net purchases of EUR by one USD by financial, corporate and total customers. Although not presented, standard errors are estimated using an autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity consistent matrix of residuals. ***, **, and * denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively. The sample period is 10/1/2001-6/29/2004.

	I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
OF_F	2.74E-09	***					2.81E-09	***	2.81E-09	***	2.72E-09	***
OF_C			-1.49E-09	**			-1.59E-09	**	-1.59E-09	**	-1.66E-09	**
OF_TOTAL					1.12E-10							
i _{eu} -i _{us}									0.052		0.065	
$\Delta(i_{eu}-i_{us})$									-0.017		-0.079	
order flow _f (-1)											9.01E-10	*
order flow _c (-1)											1.64E-11	
EURUSD return (-1)											-0.115	***
R ²	0.035		0.011		0.000		0.047		0.048		0.063	
Adj. R ²	0.034		0.009		-0.001		0.045		0.042		0.052	

Table 3. Exchange Rates and News

This table reports the results of regressing the daily change in exchange rates on macroeconomic news announcements and other explanatory variables. In columns I-III the surprise element of the news announcement is included in the regression, while in columns IV-VI the announced change in the variable is included. Although not presented, standard errors are estimated using an autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity consistent matrix of residuals. ***, **, and * denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively. The sample period is 10/1/2001-6/29/2004.

		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
		Announced news surprises						Changes in news announcements					
EMU	CPI	-0.205	**	-0.198	**	-0.164	*	0.284		0.278		0.261	
EMU	GDP	-0.080		-0.073		-0.115		0.012		0.030		-0.065	
EMU	Industrial Production	0.243	**	0.237	**	0.214	**	0.124	**	0.126	**	0.105	*
EMU	Unemployment Rate	-0.113	*	-0.127	*	-0.135	***	-1.274		-1.398		-1.391	
US	CPI	-0.215		-0.217		-0.224	*	-1.383	***	-1.371	***	-1.306	***
US	GDP	-0.073		-0.071		-0.104		0.003		0.004		0.005	
US	Industrial Production	0.060		0.068		0.002		0.139		0.167		0.139	
US	Unemployment Rate	0.166	*	0.159	*	0.150		0.472		0.474		0.340	
	OF_F					2.64E-09	***					2.70E-09	***
	OF_C					-1.63E-09	**					-1.50E-09	**
	i _{eu} -i _{us}			0.059		0.069				0.049		0.047	
	$\Delta(i_{eu}-i_{us})$			-0.134		-0.095				-0.141		-0.107	
	order flow _f (-1)			-2.99E-10		-7.73E-11				-2.28E-10		-1.23E-10	
	order flow _c (-1)			4.99E-10		7.33E-10				5.94E-10		7.37E-10	
	EURUSD return (-1)			-0.116	***	-0.113	***			-0.120	***	-0.113	***
	R ²	0.022		0.036		0.084		0.022		0.037		0.075	
	Adj. R ²	0.011		0.018		0.062		0.011		0.019		0.055	

Table 4. Granger Causality

The table displays the results of Granger Causality tests among exchange rate return (USD/EUR), financial customer order flow (OF_F) and corporate customer order flows (OF_C). The number of lags is determined to be three by Schwartz Information criteria. The sample period is 10/1/2001-6/29/2004.

Null Hypothesis:	F-stat.	P-value
OF_F does not Granger Cause USD/EUR	1.383	0.247
USD/EUR does not Granger Cause OF_F	0.054	0.984
OF_C does not Granger Cause USD/EUR	0.349	0.790
USD/EUR does not Granger Cause OF_C	0.180	0.910
OF_C does not Granger Cause OF_F	0.971	0.406
OF_F does not Granger Cause OF_C	3.751	0.011

Table 5. Order Flow Determinants: Announced News Surprises

This table presents the explanatory power of macroeconomic news and other macro variables for the daily order flows for the sample period 10/1/2001-6/29/2004. Order flows by financial institutions (OF_F), that by corporations (OF_C), and total order flow (OF_total) are considered. Although not presented, standard errors are estimated using an autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity consistent matrix of residuals. ***, **, and * denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.

		OF_F	OF_C	OF_total	OF_F	OF_C	OF_total
		Announced news surprises			Changes in news announcements		
EMU	CPI	-1.40E+07	-6.28E+06	-1.93E+07	-5.84E+06	-2.22E+07	-6.02E+07
EMU	GDP	-1.06E+06	-6.27E+06	-3.63E+06	2.79E+07 **	-1.23E+07	5.56E+06
EMU	Industrial Production	5.71E+06	-3.37E+06	-4.32E+06	5.53E+06	-3.88E+06	-2.91E+06
EMU	Unemployment Rate	2.36E+06	-2.22E+06	3.51E+06	-6.69E+07	-9.70E+07	-1.38E+08
US	CPI	2.83E+06	1.77E+06	6.08E+06	-2.47E+06	3.08E+07	3.95E+07
US	GDP	-8.79E+06	3.35E+05	-9.97E+06	-1.14E+06	-1.14E+06	-1.93E+06
US	Industrial Production	1.62E+06	-1.94E+07 **	-2.00E+07 *	-1.31E+07	-4.48E+07 ***	-4.02E+07 **
US	Unemployment Rate	6.29E+06 *	-6.19E+06	1.76E+06	2.30E+07	-5.18E+07	-2.29E+07
	EU Stoxx return	3.93E+06 ***	3.14E+05	1.59E+06	3.89E+06 ***	3.19E+05	1.67E+06
	S&P 500 return	-9.34E+05	1.13E+06	1.58E+06	-8.53E+05	1.08E+06	1.35E+06
	i _{eu} -i _{us}	1.12E+06	-1.05E+06	-7.64E+06	4.92E+05	-8.82E+05	-8.08E+06
	$\Delta(i_{eu}-i_{us})$	-1.62E+07 *	-1.11E+07	5.74E+06	-1.73E+07 *	-7.35E+06	7.38E+06
	order flow _f (-1)	-0.039	0.007		-0.037	0.006	
	order flow _c (-1)	-0.010	0.091 **		-0.004	0.094 **	
	order flow _{total} (-1)			0.048			0.045
	EURUSD return (-1)	-3.06E+06	-3.67E+04	-8.39E+06 *	-3.31E+06	2.47E+05	-8.31E+06 *
	R ²	0.028	0.022	0.018	0.028	0.033	0.019
	Adj. R ²	0.005	-0.001	-0.004	0.005	0.010	-0.003

Table 6. Order Flow Reactions to Current Macro Fundamentals

This table presents the explanatory power of changes in macro variables for one-month cumulative order flow for the sample period 10/1/2001-6/29/2004. Explanatory power is examined using the order flow by financial institutions (OF_F), corporations (OF_C), and total order flow (OF_Total). Flows are cumulative through the period corresponding to the measured change for each macro variable. Although not presented, standard errors are estimated using an autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity consistent matrix of residuals. ***, **, and * denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.

		OF_F	OF_F	OF_C	OF_C	OF_total	OF_total
EMU	CPI	1.53E+08 ***	2.46E+08 **	-1.50E+08	-1.32E+08	5.21E+08 **	5.75E+08 **
EMU	Industrial Production	3.08E+07 **		-2.92E+07		6.10E+07	
EMU	GDP		6.97E+07 *		1.20E+08		2.62E+08
EMU	Unemployment Rate	7.90E+07	-5.67E+07	-1.40E+09 **	-7.31E+08	1.29E+08	1.61E+08
US	CPI	1.98E+07	2.27E+06	6.60E+07	3.13E+07	-1.82E+08	-2.16E+08
US	Industrial Production	-6.49E+07 *		-2.05E+07		-8.63E+07	
US	GDP		-2.31E+07 *		1.16E+07		-4.44E+06
US	Unemployment Rate	1.30E+08 *	1.56E+08	3.20E+08 ***	3.57E+08 *	4.10E+08	5.44E+08 **
	R ²	0.206	0.351	0.259	0.178	0.262	0.286
	Adj. R ²	0.015	0.173	0.066	0.047	0.069	0.092

Table 7. Extracting Macroeconomic Information from Order Flows

This table presents the results of regressing changes in each economic variable on contemporaneous cumulated order flows from financial institutions (OF_F) and corporate (OF_C). In the second row we also include pre-announcement order flows in the regressions. Although not presented, standard errors are estimated using an autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity consistent matrix of residuals. ***, **, and * denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.

		Current OF_F		Current OF_C		Pre- announcement OF_F		Pre- announcement OF_C		R ²	Adj. R ²
EMU	CPI	5.42E-10	**	-2.38E-11						0.103	0.039
EMU	CPI	5.28E-10	***	-2.55E-11		1.90E-10		-1.47E-10		0.118	-0.023
EMU	GDP	1.27E-09	***	3.53E-10						0.563	0.438
EMU	GDP	1.04E-09		2.15E-10		4.45E-10		-7.23E-10		0.651	0.301
EMU	Industrial Production	1.51E-09	*	-9.77E-10						0.057	-0.013
EMU	Industrial Production	4.07E-12		-8.95E-10		1.00E-09	*	-4.03E-10		0.059	-0.104
EMU	Unemployment Rate	-4.20E-11		-9.97E-11	***					0.107	0.044
EMU	Unemployment Rate	-4.76E-11		-9.61E-11	**	-3.36E-11		-2.17E-11		0.129	-0.011
US	CPI	2.17E-10	*	-1.11E-11						0.018	-0.052
US	CPI	2.23E-10	*	-4.06E-11		5.89E-10		1.69E-10		0.110	-0.032
US	GDP	-9.51E-09	***	6.83E-09	***					0.711	0.629
US	GDP	-7.03E-09	***	8.21E-09	**	-3.68E-09		2.39E-09	*	0.772	0.544
US	Industrial Production	-1.12E-09	**	1.23E-10						0.121	0.060
US	Industrial Production	-9.61E-10	***	-2.29E-10		8.53E-10	***	-3.18E-10		0.169	0.037
US	Unemployment Rate	8.99E-11		1.88E-10	*					0.052	-0.013
US	Unemployment Rate	4.63E-11		1.92E-10		-3.70E-10		3.95E-10		0.084	-0.056

Table 8. Pricing of Macro Fundamentals

This table presents the results of the regressions exploring whether pre-announced macro fundamentals are priced following the estimation strategy by Evans (2010). In the first stage regression, the change in macro fundamental variable is regressed on contemporaneous order flows by financial institutions and corporations to obtain the estimated value of the macro fundamental (as reported in Table 7). The second regression reported here uses the estimate as an instrumental variable to estimate the impact of the fundamental variable on daily exchange rate change. The standard errors are corrected for heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation using an MA error process. ***, **, and * denote significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.

		FX return		R ²
EMU	CPI	6.416	**	0.059
EMU	GDP	3.295	*	0.051
EMU	Industrial Production	1.554		0.019
EMU	Unemployment Rate	6.984		0.008
US	CPI	14.778		0.010
US	GDP	-0.548	**	0.043
US	Industrial Production	-3.161	*	0.086
US	Unemployment Rate	-3.169		0.004

Figure 1. Timeline

The evolution of the fundamental variable between t_0 and t_j is announced at t_A . Changes in the fundamental variable could result in contemporaneous order flow (“Current OF”), or future order flow (“Pre-announcement order flow”), and the anticipation of these changes could affect lagged order flow. We allow any of these flows to affect foreign exchange returns. The evolution of the fundamental might also directly affect returns. The news puzzle aspect of the disconnect puzzle is driven by the relatively small effect that the announcement has on FX returns.

