



Holonomic rank of A-hypergeometric differential-difference equations

Ohara, Katsuyoshi
Takayama, Nobuki

(Citation)

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 213(8):1536-1544

(Issue Date)

2009-08

(Resource Type)

journal article

(Version)

Accepted Manuscript

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90000952>



Holonomic rank of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference equations

Katsuyoshi Ohara* and Nobuki Takayama†

June 18, 2007

Abstract

We introduce \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference equation \mathbf{H}_A and prove that its holonomic rank is equal to the normalized volume of \mathcal{A} with giving a set of convergent series solutions.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we introduce \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference equation \mathbf{H}_A and study its series solutions and holonomic rank.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1,\dots,d,j=1,\dots,n}$ be a $d \times n$ -matrix whose elements are integers. We suppose that the set of the column vectors of A spans \mathbf{Z}^d and there is no zero column vector. Let a_i be the i -th column vector of the matrix A and $F(\beta, x)$ the integral

$$F(\beta, x) = \int_C \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i t^{a_i}\right) t^{-\beta-1} dt, \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_d), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d).$$

The integral $F(\beta, x)$ satisfies the \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential system associated to A and β “formally”. We use the word “formally” because, there is no general and rigorous description about the cycle C ([11, p.222]).

We will regard the parameters β as variables. Then, the function $F(s, x)$ on the (s, x) space satisfies differential-difference equations “formally”, which will be our \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system.

Rank theories of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential system have been developed since Gel'fand, Zelevinsky and Kapranov [4]. In the end of 1980's,

*Department of Computational Science, Kanazawa University

†Department of Mathematics, Kobe University

under the condition that the points lie on a same hyperplane, they proved that the rank of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential system $H_A(\beta)$ agrees with the normalized volume of A for any parameter $\beta \in \mathbf{C}^d$ if the toric ideal I_A has the Cohen-Macaulay property. After their result had been gotten, many people have studied on conditions such that the rank equals the normalized volume. In particular, Matusevich, Miller and Walther proved that I_A has the Cohen-Macaulay property if the rank of $H_A(\beta)$ agrees with the normalized volume of A for any $\beta \in \mathbf{C}^d$ ([5]).

In this paper, we will introduce \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system, which can be regarded as a generalization of difference equation for the Γ -function, the Beta function, and the Gauss hypergeometric difference equations. As the first step on this differential-difference system, we will prove our main Theorem 3 utilizing theorems on \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential equations, construction of convergent series solutions with a homogenization technique, uniform convergence of series solutions, and Mutsumi Saito's results for contiguity relations [9], [10], [11, Chapter 4]. The existence theorem 2 on convergent series fundamental set of solutions for \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential equation for generic β is the second main theorem of our paper. Finally, we note that, for studying our \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system, we wrote a program “yang” ([6], [8]) on a computer algebra system Risa/Asir and did several experiments on computers to conjecture and prove our theorems.

2 Holonomic rank

Let \mathbf{D} be the ring of differential-difference operators

$$\mathbf{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_d, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, S_1, \dots, S_d, S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1} \rangle$$

where the following (non-commutative) product rules are assumed

$$S_i s_i = (s_i + 1) S_i, \quad S_i^{-1} s_i = (s_i - 1) S_i^{-1}, \quad \partial_i x_i = x_i \partial_i + 1$$

and the other types of the product of two generators commute.

Holonomic rank of a system of differential-difference equations will be defined by using the following ring of differential-difference operators with rational function coefficients

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{C}(s_1, \dots, s_d, x_1, \dots, x_n) \langle S_1, \dots, S_d, S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1}, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$$

It is a \mathbf{C} -algebra generated by rational functions in $s_1, \dots, s_d, x_1, \dots, x_n$ and differential operators $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ and difference operators $S_1, \dots, S_d, S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1}$.

The commutation relations are defined by $\partial_i c(s, x) = c(s, x) \partial_i + \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_i}$, $S_i c(s, x) = c(s_1, \dots, s_i + 1, \dots, s_d, x) S_i$, $S_i^{-1} c(s, x) = c(s_1, \dots, s_i - 1, \dots, s_d, x) S_i^{-1}$.

Let I be a left ideal in \mathbf{D} . The holonomic rank of I is the number

$$\text{rank}(I) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}(s, x)} \mathbf{U} / (\mathbf{U}I).$$

In case of the ring of differential operators ($d = 0$), the definition of the holonomic rank agrees with the standard definition of holonomic rank in the ring of differential operators.

For a given left ideal I , the holonomic rank can be evaluated by a Gröbner basis computation in \mathbf{U} .

3 \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference equations

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, d, j=1, \dots, n}$ be an integer $d \times n$ matrix of rank d . We assume that the column vectors $\{a_i\}$ of A generates \mathbf{Z}^d and there is no zero vector. The \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system \mathbf{H}_A is the following system of differential-difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \partial_j - s_i \right) \bullet f &= 0 & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d & \text{ and} \\ \left(\partial_j - \prod_{i=1}^d S_i^{-a_{ij}} \right) \bullet f &= 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathbf{H}_A contains the toric ideal I_A . (use [12, Algorithm 4.5] to prove it.)

Definition 1. Define the unit volume in \mathbf{R}^d as the volume of the unit simplex $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$. For a given set of points $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ in \mathbf{R}^d , the normalized volume $\text{vol}(\mathcal{A})$ is the volume of the convex hull of the origin and \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 1. \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system \mathbf{H}_A has linearly independent $\text{vol}(A)$ series solutions.

The proof of this theorem is divided into two parts. The matrix A is called homogeneous when it contains a row of the form $(1, \dots, 1)$. If A is homogeneous, then the associated toric ideal I_A is homogeneous ideal [12]. The first part is the case that A is homogeneous. The second part is the case that A is not homogeneous.

Proof. (A is homogeneous.) We will prove the theorem with the homogeneity assumption of A . In other words, we suppose that A is written as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & * & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Gel'fand, Kapranov, Zelevinski gave a method to construct $m = \text{vol}(A)$ linearly independent solutions of $H_A(\beta)$ with the homogeneity condition of A ([4]). They suppose that β is fixed as a generic \mathbf{C} -vector. Let us denote their series solutions by $f_1(\beta; x), \dots, f_m(\beta; x)$. It is easy to see that the functions $f_i(s; x)$ are solutions of the differential-difference equations \mathbf{H}_A . We can show, by carefully checking the estimates of their convergence proof, that there exists an open set in the (s, x) space such that $f_i(s; x)$ is locally uniformly convergent with respect to s and x . Let us sketch their proof to see that their series converge as solutions of \mathbf{H}_A . The discussion is given in [4], but we need to rediscuss it in a suitable form to apply it to the case of inhomogeneous A .

Let B be a matrix of which the set of column vectors is a basis of $\text{Ker}(A : \mathbf{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^d)$ and is normalized as follows:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \\ & & * \end{pmatrix} \in M(n, n-d, \mathbf{Q}).$$

We denote by $b^{(i)}$ the i -th column vector of B and by b_{ij} the j -th element of $b^{(i)}$. Then the homogeneity of A implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = 0.$$

Let us fix a regular triangulation Δ of $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ following the construction by Gel'fand, Kapranov, Zelevinsky. Take a d -simplex τ in the triangulation Δ . If $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^n$ is admissible for a d -simplex τ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (*admissible* \Leftrightarrow for all $j \notin \tau$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{Z}$), and $A\lambda = s$ holds, then \mathbf{H}_A has a formal series solution

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{l \in L} \frac{x^{\lambda+l}}{\Gamma(\lambda+l+1)},$$

where $L = \text{Ker}(A : \mathbf{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^d)$ and $\Gamma(\lambda+l+1) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\lambda_i + l_i + 1)$ and when a factor of the denominator of a term in the sum, we regard the term

is zero. Put $\#\tau = n'$. Note that there exists an open set U in the s space such that $\lambda_i, i \in \tau$ lie in a compact set in $\mathbf{C}^{n'} \setminus \mathbf{Z}^{n'}$. Moreover, this open set U can be taken as a common open set for all d -simplices in the triangulation Δ and the associated admissible λ 's when the integral values λ_j ($j \notin \tau$) are fixed for all $\tau \in \Delta$.

Put $L' = \{(k_1, \dots, k_{n-d}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n-d} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} \in \mathbf{Z}^n\}$. Then, L' is \mathbf{Z} -submodule of \mathbf{Z}^{n-d} and $L = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} \mid k \in L'\}$. In other words, L can be parametrized with L' . Without loss of the generality, we may suppose that $\tau = \{n-d+1, \dots, n\}$. Then, we have

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{l \in L} \frac{x^{\lambda+l}}{\Gamma(\lambda+l+1)} = \sum_{k \in L'} \frac{x^{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

Note that the first $n-d$ rows of B are normalized. Then, we have

$$\lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b_{ij} + 1 = \lambda_j + k_j + 1 \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-d)$$

Since $1/\Gamma(0) = 1/\Gamma(-1) = 1/\Gamma(-2) = \dots = 0$, the sum can be written as

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{\substack{k \in L' \\ \lambda_j + k_j + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \\ (j=1, \dots, n-d)}} \frac{x^{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

Moreover, when we put

$$\begin{aligned} k'_j &= \lambda_j + k_j, & (j = 1, \dots, n-d) \\ \lambda' &= \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)} \\ \hat{\lambda} &= (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-d}) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)}$$

Hence, the sum $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) &= \sum_{\substack{k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \\ k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-d}}} \frac{x^{\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)}} \cdot x^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)} \\ &= x^{\lambda'} \sum_{\substack{k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \\ k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-d}}} \frac{(x^{b^{(1)}})^{k'_1} \cdots (x^{b^{(n-d)}})^{k'_{n-d}}}{\Gamma(\lambda' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)}\end{aligned}$$

Note that our series with the coefficients in terms of Gamma functions agree with those in [11, §3.4], which do not contain Gamma functions, by multiplying suitable constants. Hence we will apply some results on series solutions in [11] to our discussions in the sequel.

Lemma 1. *Let $(k_i) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^m$ and $(b_{ij}) \in M(m, n, \mathbf{Q})$. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k_i b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = 0$$

and parameters $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ belongs to a compact set K . Then there exists a positive number r , which is independent of λ , such that the power series

$$\sum_{\substack{k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \\ k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-d}}} \frac{(x^{b^{(1)}})^{k'_1} \cdots (x^{b^{(n-d)}})^{k'_{n-d}}}{\Gamma(\lambda' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

is convergent in $|x^{b^{(1)}}|, \dots, |x^{b^{(n-d)}}| < r$.

The proof of this lemma can be done by elementary estimates of Γ functions. See [7, pp.18–21] if readers are interested in the details. Since

$$k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$$

it follows from Lemma 1 that there exists a positive constant r such that the series converge in

$$|x^{b^{(1)}}|, \dots, |x^{b^{(n-d)}}| < r \tag{3.1}$$

for any s in the open set U . We may suppose $r < 1$. Take the log of (3.1). Then we have

$$b^{(k)} \cdot (\log |x_1|, \dots, \log |x_n|) < \log |r| < 0 \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n-d\} \tag{3.2}$$

Following [4], for the simplex τ and r , we define the set $C(A, \tau, r)$ as follows.

$$C(A, \tau, r) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \exists \varphi \in \mathbf{R}^d, \psi_i - (\varphi, a_i) \begin{cases} > -\log |r|, & i \notin \tau, \\ = 0, & i \in \tau, \end{cases} \right\}$$

The condition (3.2) and $(-\log |x_1|, \dots, -\log |x_n|) \in C(A, \tau, r)$ is equivalent (see [3, section 4] as to the proof).

Since Δ is a regular triangulation of A , $\bigcap_{\tau \in \Delta} C(A, \tau, r)$ is an open set. Therefore, when s lies in the open set U and $-\log |x|$ lies in the above open set, the $\text{vol}(A)$ linearly independent solutions converge. \square

Let us proceed on the proof for the inhomogeneous case. We suppose that A is not homogeneous and has only non-zero column vectors. We define the homogenized matrix as

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{d1} & \cdots & a_{dn} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(d+1, n+1, \mathbf{Z}).$$

For $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbf{C}^d$ and a generic complex number s_0 , we put $\tilde{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d)$. We suppose that $\tau = \{n-d+1, \dots, d, d+1\}$ is a $(d+1)$ -simplex. Let us take an admissible λ for τ such that $\tilde{A}\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ as in the proof of the homogeneous case. Put $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Consider the solution of the hypergeometric system for \tilde{A}

$$\tilde{\phi}_\tau(\tilde{\lambda}; \tilde{x}) = \sum_{k' \in L' \cap S} \frac{\tilde{x}^{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

and the series

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{k' \in L' \cap S} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{ij}}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{ij} + 1)}$$

($\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$). Here, the set S is a subset of L' such that an integer in $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$ does not appear in the arguments of the Gamma functions in the denominator. We note that L' for \tilde{A} and L' for A agree, which can be proved as follows. Let (k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) be in the kernel of \tilde{A} in \mathbf{Q}^{n+1} . Since \tilde{A} contains the row of the form $(1, \dots, 1)$, then $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ implies that k_{n+1} is an integer. The conclusion follows from the definition of L' .

Definition 2. We call $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$ the *dehomogenization* of $\tilde{\phi}_\tau(\tilde{\lambda}; \tilde{x})$.

Intuitively speaking, the dehomogenization is defined by “forgetting” the last variable x_{n+1} associated Γ factors. See Example 1.

Formal series solutions for the hypergeometric system for inhomogeneous A do not converge in general. However, we can construct $\text{vol}(A)$ convergent series solutions as the dehomogenization of a set of series solutions for \tilde{A} hypergeometric system associated to a regular triangulation on \tilde{A} induced by a “nice” weight vector $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$, which we will define. Put $\tilde{w} = (1, \dots, 1, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Since the Gröbner fan for the toric variety $I_{\tilde{A}}$ is a polyhedral fan, the following fact holds.

Lemma 2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\tilde{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) := \tilde{w} + \varepsilon\tilde{v}$ lies in the interior of a maximal dimensional Gröbner cone of $I_{\tilde{A}}$. We may also suppose $\tilde{v}_{n+1} = 0$.*

Proof. Let us prove the lemma. The first part is a consequence of an elementary property of the fan. When I is a homogeneous ideal in the ring of polynomials of $n + 1$ variables, we have

$$\text{in}_{\tilde{u}}(I) = \text{in}_{\tilde{u}+t(1, \dots, 1)}(I) \quad (3.3)$$

for any t and any weight vector \tilde{u} . In other words, \tilde{u} and $\tilde{u} + t(1, \dots, 1)$ lie in the interior of the same Gröbner cone.

When the weight vector $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon\tilde{v}$ lies in the interior of the Gröbner cone, we define a new \tilde{v} by $\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_{n+1}(1, \dots, 1)$. Since the initial ideal does not change with this change of weight, we may assume that $\tilde{v}_{n+1} = 0$ for the new \tilde{v} . \square

Since the Gröbner fan is a refinement of the secondary fan and hence $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$ is an interior point of a maximal dimensional secondary cone, it induces a regular triangulation ([12] p.71, Proposition 8.15). We denote by Δ the regular triangulation on \tilde{A} induced by $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$. For a d -simplex $\tau \in \Delta$, we define $b^{(i)}$ as in the proof of the homogeneous case. Since the weight for \tilde{a}_{n+1} is the lowest, $n + 1 \in \tau$ holds. We can change indices of $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n$ so that $\tau = \{n - d + 1, \dots, n + 1\}$ without loss of generality.

Let us prove that the dehomogenized series $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$ converge. It follows from a characterization of the support of the series [11, Theorem 3.4.2] that we have

$$\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + \lambda \right) \geq \tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \cdot \lambda, \quad \forall k' \in L' \cap S.$$

Here, S is a set such that $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$ does not appear in the denominator of the Γ factors. Take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and we have

$$\tilde{w} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} \geq 0, \quad \forall k' \in L' \cap S.$$

From Lemma 2, $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \in C(\tilde{A}, \tau)$ holds and then

$$\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \cdot b^{(i)} \geq 0.$$

Similarly, by taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\tilde{w} \cdot b^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij} = 0$, the inequality $b_{i,n+1} \leq 0$ holds for all i .

Since $k'_1 \geq -\lambda_1, \dots, k'_{n-d} \geq -\lambda_{n-d}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b_{i,n+1}$$

Note that the right hand side is a non-negative number. Suppose that λ_{n+1} is negative. In terms of the Pochhammer symbol we have $\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} - m) = \Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})(-\lambda_{n+1} + 1; m)^{-1}(-1)^m$, then we can estimate the $(n+1)$ -th gamma factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} + 1) \right| &= |\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} + 1)| \cdot \left| \left(-\lambda_{n+1}; - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} \right) \right|^{-1} \\ &\leq c' |\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} + 1)| \cdot \left| \left(-\lambda_{n+1}; - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b_{i,n+1} \right) \right|^{-1} \\ &= c \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Here, c' and c are suitable constants.

When $\lambda_{n+1} \geq 0$, there exists only finite set of values such that $\lambda_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} \geq 0$. Then, we can show the inequality (3.4) in an analogous way.

Now, by (3.4), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{ij} + 1)} \right| \leq c \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)} \right|$$

We note that the right hand side is the coefficient of the series solution for the homogeneous system for \tilde{A} and the series converge for $(-\log|x_1|, \dots, -\log|x_{n+1}|) \in C(\tilde{A}, \tau, r)$ ($r < 1$) uniformly with respect to \tilde{s} in an open set.

Put $x_{n+1} = 1$. Since $-\log|x_{n+1}| = 0$ and $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \in \{y \mid y_{n+1} = 0\}$, we can see that

$$\bigcap_{\tau \in \Delta} C(\tilde{A}, \tau, r) \cap \{y \mid y_{n+1} = 0\}$$

is a non-empty open set of \mathbf{R}^n . Therefore the dehomogenized series $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$ converge in an open set in the (s, x) space.

Theorem 2. *The dehomogenized series $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$ satisfies the hypergeometric differential-difference system \mathbf{H}_A and they are linearly independent convergent solutions of \mathbf{H}_A when λ runs over admissible exponents associated to the initial system induced by the weight vector $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. Since $A\lambda = s$, it is easy to show that they are formal solutions of the differential-difference system \mathbf{H}_A . We will prove that we can construct m linearly independent solutions. We note that the weight vector $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = (1, \dots, 1, 0) + \varepsilon v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is in the neighborhood of $(1, \dots, 1, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and in the interior of a maximal dimensional Gröbner cone of $I_{\tilde{A}}$.

It follows from [11, p.119] that the minimal generating set of $\text{in}_{(1, \dots, 1, 0)} I_{\tilde{A}}$ does not contain ∂_{n+1} . Since

$$\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}} = \text{in}_v(\text{in}_{(1, \dots, 1, 0)} I_{\tilde{A}})$$

does not contain ∂_{n+1} , we have

$$M = \langle \text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}} \rangle = \langle \text{in}_{w(\varepsilon)} I_A \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n+1}].$$

Here, we define $w(\varepsilon)$ with $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = (w(\varepsilon), 0)$. Put $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n+1})$. From [11, Theorem 3.1.3], for generic $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{C}^d$, the initial ideal $\text{in}_{(-\tilde{w}(\varepsilon), \tilde{w}(\varepsilon))} H_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{\beta})$ is generated by $\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})$ and $\tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\beta}$. Let us denote by $T(M)$ the standard pairs of M . From [11, Theorem 3.2.10], the initial ideal

$$\langle \text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}}, \tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\beta} \rangle \tag{3.5}$$

has $\#T(M) = \text{vol}(\tilde{A})$ linearly independent solutions of the form

$$\{\tilde{x}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M)\}$$

Here, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is defined by $\tilde{\lambda}_i = a_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\forall i \notin T$ and $\tilde{A}\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\beta}$. Note that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is admissible for the d -simplex T .

Since we have

$$\langle \text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}} \rangle = \langle \text{in}_{w(\varepsilon)} I_A \rangle$$

the difference between

$$\langle \text{in}_{w(\varepsilon)} I_A, A\theta - \beta \rangle \quad (3.6)$$

and (3.5) is only

$$\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n + \theta_{n+1} - \beta_0$$

and other equations do not contain x_{n+1}, ∂_{n+1} .

For any $(\partial^a, T) \in T(M)$, we have $n+1 \in T$. Therefore, the two solution spaces (3.6) and (3.5) are isomorphic under the correspondence

$$x^\lambda \mapsto \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \quad (3.7)$$

Here, we put $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda, \lambda_{n+1})$ and λ_{n+1} is defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \lambda_{n+1} - \beta_0 = 0$$

It follows from [11, Theorem 2.3.11 and Theorem 3.2.10] that

$$\{\tilde{x}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M)\}$$

are \mathbf{C} -linearly independent. Therefore, from the correspondence (3.7), the functions

$$\{x^\lambda \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M)\},$$

of which cardinality is $\text{vol}(A)$, are \mathbf{C} -linearly independent. Hence, series solutions with the initial terms

$$\left\{ \frac{x^\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M) \right\}$$

are \mathbf{C} linearly independent, which implies the linear independence of series solutions with these starting terms [11]. We have completed the proof of the theorem and also that of Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 3. *The holonomic rank of H_A is equal to the normalized volume of A .*

Proof. First we will prove $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \leq \text{vol}(A)$. It follows from the Adolphson's theorem ([1]) that the holonomic rank of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric system $H_A(\beta)$ is equal to the normalized volume of A for generic parameters β . It implies that the standard monomials for a Gröbner basis of the \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric system $H_A(s)$ in $\mathbf{C}(s, x)\langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ consists of $\text{vol}(A)$ elements. We note that elements in the Gröbner basis can be regarded as an element in the ring of differential-difference operators with rational function coefficients \mathbf{U} . We denote by ∂_j and r_j the creation and annihilation operators. The existence of them are proved in [10, Chapter 4]. Then, we have

$$H_j = \partial_j - \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{-a_{ij}} \in \mathbf{H}_A$$

and

$$B_j = r_j - \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{a_{ij}} \in \mathbf{H}_A, \quad r_j \in \mathbf{C}(s, x)\langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle.$$

Since the column vectors of A generate the lattice \mathbf{Z}^d , we obtain from B_j 's and H_j 's elements of the form $S_i - p(s, x, \partial)$, $S_i^{-1} - q(s, x, \partial) \in \mathbf{H}_A$. It implies the number of standard monomials of a Gröbner basis of \mathbf{H}_A with respect to a block order such that $S_1, \dots, S_n > S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_n^{-1} > \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ is less than or equal to $\text{vol}(A)$.

Second, we will prove $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \geq \text{vol}(A)$. We suppose that $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) < \text{vol}(A)$ and will induce a contradiction. For the block order $S_1, \dots, S_d > S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1} > \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$, we can show that the standard monomials T of a Gröbner basis of \mathbf{H}_A in \mathbf{U} contains only differential terms and $\#T < \text{vol}(A)$ by the assumption. Let T' be the standard monomials of Gröbner basis $G(s)$ of $H_A(s)$ in the ring of differential operators with rational function coefficients $D(s)$. Note that $\#T' = \text{vol}(A)$. Then T is a proper subset of the set T' . For $r \in T' \setminus T$, it follows that

$$\partial^r \equiv \sum_{\alpha \in T} c_\alpha(x, s) \partial^\alpha \quad \text{mod } \mathbf{H}_A.$$

From Theorem 2, we have convergent series solutions $f_1(s, x), \dots, f_m(s, x)$ of \mathbf{H}_A , where $m = \text{vol}(A)$. So,

$$\partial^r \bullet f_i = \sum_{\alpha \in T} c_\alpha(x, s) \partial^\alpha \bullet f_i \quad (3.8)$$

Since $f_1(s, x), \dots, f_m(s, x)$ are linearly independent, the Wronskian standing

for T'

$$W(T'; f)(x, s) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(s; x) & \cdots & f_m(\beta; x) \\ \partial^\delta f_1(s; x) & \cdots & \partial^\delta f_m(\beta; x) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{vmatrix} \quad (\partial^\delta \in T' \setminus \{1\})$$

is non-zero for generic number s . However $r \in T'$ and (3.8) induce the Wronskian $W(T'; f)(s, x)$ is equal to zero.

Finally, by $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \leq \text{vol}(A)$ and $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \geq \text{vol}(A)$, the theorem is proved. \square

Example 1. Put $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This is *Airy type integral* [11, p.223].

The matrix \tilde{A} is homogeneous. For $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = (1, 1, 1, 0) + \frac{1}{100}(1, 0, 0, 0)$, the initial ideal $\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})$ is generated by $\partial_1^2, \partial_1 \partial_2, \partial_1 \partial_3, \partial_2^3$. Note that the initial ideal does not contain ∂_4 . We solve the initial system $(\tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{s}) \bullet g = 0, (\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})) \bullet g = 0$. The standard pairs (∂^a, T) for $\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})$ are $(\partial_1^0 \partial_2^1, \{3, 4\}), (\partial_1^0 \partial_2^0, \{3, 4\}), (\partial_1^0 \partial_2^2, \{3, 4\})$. Hence, the solutions for the initial system are $x_1^0 x_2^1 x_3^{(s_1-2)/3} x_4^{s_0-1-(s_1-2)/3}, x_1^0 x_2^0 x_3^{s_1/3} x_4^{a_0-s_1/3}, x_1^0 x_2^2 x_3^{(s_1-4)/3} x_4^{s_0-2-(s_1-4)/3}$ ([11]). Therefore, the \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system $\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{A}}$

has the following series solutions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_1(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x}) &= x_4^{s_0} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_4} \right) \left(\frac{x_3}{x_4} \right)^{\frac{s_1-2}{3}} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -1 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left(x_1 x_3^{-1/3} x_4^{-2/3} \right)^{k_1} \left(x_2 x_3^{-2/3} x_4^{-1/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! (k_2 + 1)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 - k_1 - 2k_2 + 1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3s_0 - s_1 - 2k_1 - k_2 + 2}{3}\right)} \\
\tilde{\phi}_2(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x}) &= x_4^{s_0} \left(\frac{x_3}{x_4} \right)^{\frac{s_1}{3}} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left(x_1 x_3^{-1/3} x_4^{-2/3} \right)^{k_1} \left(x_2 x_3^{-2/3} x_4^{-1/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 - k_1 - 2k_2 + 3}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3s_0 - s_1 - 2k_1 - k_2 + 3}{3}\right)} \\
\tilde{\phi}_3(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x}) &= x_4^{s_0} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_4} \right)^2 \left(\frac{x_3}{x_4} \right)^{\frac{s_1-4}{3}} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -2 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left(x_1 x_3^{-1/3} x_4^{-2/3} \right)^{k_1} \left(x_2 x_3^{-2/3} x_4^{-1/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! (k_2 + 2)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 - k_1 - 2k_2 - 1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3s_0 - s_1 - 2k_1 - k_2 + 1}{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$L' = \{(k_1, k_2) \mid k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, k_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{(k_1, k_2) \mid k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, k_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\}.$$

The matrix A is not homogeneous and by dehomogenizing the series solution for \tilde{A} we obtain the following series solutions for the \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference system \mathbf{H}_A .

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(\lambda, x) &= x_2 x_3^{\frac{s_1-2}{3}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -1 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left(x_1 x_3^{-1/3} \right)^{k_1} \left(x_2 x_3^{-2/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! (k_2 + 1)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 - k_1 - 2k_2 + 1}{3}\right)} \\
\phi_2(\lambda, x) &= x_3^{\frac{s_1}{3}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left(x_1 x_3^{-1/3} \right)^{k_1} \left(x_2 x_3^{-2/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 - k_1 - 2k_2 + 3}{3}\right)} \\
\phi_3(\lambda, x) &= x_2^2 x_3^{\frac{s_1-4}{3}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -2 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left(x_1 x_3^{-1/3} \right)^{k_1} \left(x_2 x_3^{-2/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! (k_2 + 2)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1 - k_1 - 2k_2 - 1}{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\phi_k(x)$ is the dehomogenization of $\tilde{\phi}_k(x)$.

Finally, let us present a difference Pfaffian system for A . It can be derived by using Gröbner bases of \mathbf{H}_A and has the following form:

$$S_1 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ x_3 \partial_3 \bullet f \\ S_1 \bullet f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{s_1 x_1}{6x_2} & \frac{3x_1 x_3 - 4x_2^2}{6x_2 x_3} & \frac{2(s_1 - 1)x_2 + x_1^2}{6x_2} \\ \frac{s_1}{2x_2} & -\frac{3}{2x_2} & -\frac{x_1}{2x_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ x_3 \partial_3 \bullet f \\ S_1 \bullet f \end{pmatrix}.$$

References

- [1] A.Adolphson, Hypergeometric functions and rings generated monomials. *Duke Mathematical Journal* **73** (1994), 269–290.
- [2] K.Aomoto and M.Kita, *Hypergeometric Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1994. (in Japanese)
- [3] L.J.Billera, P.Filliman, B.Sturmfels, Constructions and complexity of secondary polytopes, *Advances in Mathematics* **83** (1990), 155–179.
- [4] I.M.Gel’fand, A.V.Zelevinsky, M.M.Kapranov, Hypergeometric functions and toral manifolds. *Functional Analysis and its Applications* **23** (1989), 94–106.
- [5] L. F. Matusevich, E. Miller, and U. Walther, Homological Methods for Hypergeometric Families, *Journal of American Mathematical Society* **18** (2005), 919–941. [math.AG/0406383](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406383)
- [6] K.Ohara, Risa/Asir Package for Non-commutative Gröbner Bases and its Applications, *Sûriken Kôkyûroku* **1395** (2004), 45 – 49.
- [7] K.Ohara, N.Takayama, Holonomic rank of \mathcal{A} -hypergeometric differential-difference equations (in Japanese), in “Computational Analysis of Hypergeometric Differential Equations” Research report of Grant-in-Aid for scientific research (KAKENHI) **15340045** 28–22, 2007.
<http://www.math.kobe-u.ac.jp/HOME/taka/2007/kaken-hg-all.pdf>
- [8] The OpenXM project, a project to integrate mathematical software systems, 1998-2007, <http://www.OpenXM.org/>
- [9] M.Saito, Parameter shift in normal generalized hypergeometric systems. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* **44** (1992), 523–534.

- [10] M.Saito, B.Sturmfels, N.Takayama, N., Hypergeometric polynomials and integer programming. *Compositio Mathematica*, **155** (1999), 185–204.
- [11] M.Saito, B.Sturmfels, N.Takayama, *Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations*, Springer, 2000.
- [12] B.Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Series Volume 8, American Mathematical Society, 1996