



# Terminal velocity of a Taylor drop in a vertical pipe

Hayashi, Kosuke

Kurimoto, Ryo

Tomiyama, Akio

---

(Citation)

International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 37(3):241-251

(Issue Date)

2011-04

(Resource Type)

journal article

(Version)

Accepted Manuscript

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90001609>



# Terminal velocity of a Taylor drop in a vertical pipe

K. Hayashi<sup>a\*</sup>, R. Kurimoto<sup>a</sup>, A. Tomiyama<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Kobe University  
1-1, Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe, 657-8501, Japan

**Keywords:** Taylor drop; Taylor bubble; Terminal velocity; Interface tracking method

## Abstract

A scaling analysis based on the field equations for two phases and the jump conditions at the interface is carried out to deduce a balance of forces acting on a Taylor drop rising through stagnant liquid in a vertical pipe. The force balance is utilized to deduce a functional form of an empirical correlation of terminal velocity of the Taylor drop. Undetermined coefficients in the correlation are evaluated by making use of available correlations for two limiting cases, i.e. extremely high and low Reynolds number Taylor bubbles in large pipes. Terminal velocity data obtained by interface tracking simulations are also used to determine the coefficients. The proposed correlation expresses the Froude number  $Fr$  as a function of the drop Reynolds number  $Re_D$ , the Eötvös number  $Eo_D$  and the viscosity ratio  $\mu^*$ . Comparisons between the correlation, simulations and experimental data confirm that the proposed correlation is applicable to Taylor bubbles and drops under various conditions, i.e.,  $0.002 < Re_D < 4960$ ,  $4.8 < Eo_D < 228$ ,  $0 \leq \mu^* \leq 70$ ,  $1 < N < 14700$ , and  $-12 < \log M < 4$ , where  $N$  is the inverse viscosity number and  $M$  the Morton number.

## 1. Introduction

Large gas bubbles in gas-liquid two-phase slug flows in vertical pipes take bullet shapes. They are called Taylor bubbles (Davies and Taylor, 1950). Since large drops in

vertical pipes also take bullet shapes, they can be also referred to as Taylor drops.

Many studies have been carried out on the motion of a Taylor bubble, and various models for the terminal velocity,  $V_T$ , have been proposed. A review and comparisons between available  $V_T$  models for a Taylor bubble can be found in literature (Viana et al., 2003). Since the viscosity of the gas phase has no influence on  $V_T$ , these  $V_T$  models do not take into account the bubble viscosity. On the other hand, the drop viscosity affects  $V_T$  of the Taylor drop.

In contrast to the Taylor bubble, there are few studies on the Taylor drop. Goldsmith and Mason (1962) deduced a  $V_T$  model for Taylor drops at low drop Reynolds numbers,  $Re_D$ , in which the effects of the drop viscosity on  $V_T$  were accounted for. The original expression of Goldsmith's  $V_T$  model can be rearranged as follows:

$$V_T = \left[ \frac{f_1 - 1 + (f_1 f_2 - f_3)(\mu_D / \mu_C)}{1 + (f_2 + f_4)(\mu_D / \mu_C)} \right] \frac{\Delta \rho g D^2}{\mu_C} \quad (1)$$

$$f_1 = \frac{(R-h)^2}{8R^2} \left[ \frac{R^2 - (R-h)^2}{2(R-h)^2} - \ln \left( \frac{h}{R-h} \right) \right]$$

$$f_2 = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{R}{R-h} \right)^2 - 1 \right]$$

$$f_3 = 4 \ln \left( \frac{R}{R-h} \right)$$

$$f_4 = \left( \frac{R}{R-h} \right)^4 - 2 \left( \frac{R}{R-h} \right)^2 + 1$$

where  $\Delta\rho$  ( $=\rho_C - \rho_D$ ) is the density difference between the two phases,  $\rho$  the density,  $g$  the magnitude of the acceleration of gravity,  $D$  the pipe diameter,  $\mu$  the viscosity, and  $f_i$  ( $i = 1$  to  $4$ ) are functions of the pipe radius,  $R$ , and the thickness,  $h$ , of the liquid film between the drop and the pipe wall. The subscripts,  $C$  and  $D$ , denote the continuous and dispersed phases, respectively. The drop Reynolds number is defined by

$$Re_D = \frac{\rho_C V_T D}{\mu_C} \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) can be further rewritten in the following non-dimensional form:

$$Fr = \left[ \frac{f_1 - 1 + (f_1 f_2 - f_3) \mu^*}{1 + (f_2 + f_4) \mu^*} \right] N \quad (3)$$

where  $Fr$  is the Froude number,  $N$  the inverse viscosity number, and  $\mu^*$  the viscosity ratio:

$$Fr = \frac{V_T}{\sqrt{\Delta\rho g D / \rho_C}} \quad (4)$$

$$N = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_C \Delta\rho g D^3}}{\mu_C} \quad (5)$$

$$\mu^* = \frac{\mu_D}{\mu_C} \quad (6)$$

They also carried out experiments of Taylor drops and confirmed the validity of their model.

Mandal et al. (2007) measured terminal velocities of Taylor drops at high  $Re_D$  for various fluids. They confirmed that the terminal velocity can be evaluated by using the following  $V_T$  model for spherical-cap bubbles in infinite stagnant liquids (Joseph, 2003):

$$Fr = -\frac{8}{3} \frac{(1+8s)}{N} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{1 - 2s + \frac{16s}{Eo_D} + \frac{32}{N^2}(1+8s)^2} \quad (7)$$

where  $s$  is the deviation of the interface from perfect sphericity near the nose of a bubble, and  $Eo_D$  is the Eötvös number defined by

$$Eo_D = \frac{\Delta \rho g D^2}{\sigma} \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the surface tension. Their work implies that  $V_T$  of a Taylor drop at high  $Re_D$  is independent of  $\mu^*$ . Mandal et al. (2009) recently proposed another correlation of  $V_T$ , which is a combination of Brown's  $Fr$  model (Brown, 1965) and a function of  $Eo_D$  used in Viana's correlation for a Taylor bubble (Viana et al., 2003). Since the effect of  $\mu^*$  is not taken into account, this model is also applicable only to drops at high  $Re_D$ .

These models, Eqs. (3) and (7), are applicable only to the viscous force dominant

(low  $Re_D$ ) regime and to the inertial force dominant (high  $Re_D$ ) regime, respectively. In addition, without the knowledge on the drop shape parameters,  $h$  and  $s$ , they are of no use.

The purpose of this study is to develop a  $Fr$  correlation for Taylor drops. A  $Fr$  correlation for Taylor bubbles has been already developed by the authors (Hayashi et al., 2010) by making use of a scaling analysis based on the local instantaneous field equations and the jump conditions at the interface (Tomiyama, 2004). By introducing the effect of  $\mu^*$  into the correlation, the correlation is extended so as to be applicable to Taylor drops. Coefficients in the extended correlation are determined using a database of Froude numbers obtained by using an interface tracking method (Hayashi et al., 2006; Hayashi and Tomiyama, 2007, 2009). Experiments of Taylor drops are also carried out to examine the validity of the interface tracking simulation.

## 2. Scaling analysis

### 2.1 Derivation of functional form of $Fr$

The local instantaneous field equations and the jump conditions for incompressible viscous fluids at a constant temperature are given by

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_k = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_k}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_k \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}_k = -\frac{1}{\rho_k} \nabla P_k + \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{\rho_k} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_k \quad (10)$$

$$(\mathbf{V}_D - \mathbf{V}_C) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$-(P_D - P_C - \kappa\sigma)\mathbf{n} + (\tau_D - \tau_C) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad (12)$$

where the subscript  $k$  denotes the phase ( $k = D$  and  $C$  for the dispersed and continuous phases, respectively),  $\mathbf{V}$  is the velocity,  $t$  the time,  $P$  the pressure,  $\mathbf{g}$  the acceleration of gravity,  $\tau$  the viscous stress tensor,  $\mathbf{n}$  the unit normal to the interface, and  $\kappa$  the curvature. Characteristic velocity, length and time scales for the problem of concern are  $V_T$ ,  $D$  and  $D/V_T$ , respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, the orders of magnitude of the viscous stress tensors can be estimated as

$$\tau_D \cong \mu_D V_T / (R - h) \quad (13)$$

$$\tau_C \cong \mu_C V_T / h \quad (14)$$

Substituting Eqs. (13), (14) and the characteristic scales into Eq. (10) yields

$$P_C \cong C_{C1} \rho_C V_T^2 + C_{C2} \rho_C g D + C_{C3} \mu_C V_T D / h^2 \quad (15)$$

$$P_D \cong C_{D1} \rho_D V_T^2 + C_{D2} \rho_D g D + C_{D3} \mu_D V_T D / (R - h)^2 \quad (16)$$

where  $C_{ki}$  ( $k = D$  or  $C$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are constants. The curvature,  $\kappa$ , in Eq. (12) is estimated as

$$\kappa \cong 1/(R - h) \quad (17)$$

Substituting Eqs. (15)-(17) into Eq. (12) gives the following force balance:

$$C_1 \rho_C V_T^2 D^2 + C_2 \rho_D V_T^2 D^2 + C_3 \mu_C V_T \frac{D^3}{h^2} + C_4 \mu_D V_T \frac{D^3}{(R-h)^2} + C_5 \sigma \frac{D^2}{R-h} + C_6 \Delta \rho g D^3 = 0 \quad (18)$$

where  $C_i$  ( $i = 1$  to  $6$ ) are constants. This equation indicates that the six different forces, i.e., inertial ( $F_{iC}$  and  $F_{iD}$ ), viscous ( $F_{\mu C}$  and  $F_{\mu D}$ ), surface tension ( $F_s$ ) and buoyancy ( $F_b$ ) forces, govern the dynamics of a Taylor drop. The relevant dimensionless groups,  $Fr$ ,  $N$ ,  $EO_D$ ,  $M$ ,  $Re_D$ ,  $\rho^*$  and  $\mu^*$ , are represented by using these forces:

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{F_{iC}}{F_b}} = \frac{V_T}{\sqrt{\Delta \rho g D / \rho_C}} \quad (\text{Froude number}) \quad (19)$$

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{F_{iC} F_b}{F_{\mu C}^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_C \Delta \rho g D^3}}{\mu_C} \quad (\text{inverse viscosity number}) \quad (20)$$

$$EO_D = \frac{F_b}{F_s} = \frac{\Delta \rho g D^2}{\sigma} \quad (\text{Eötvös number}) \quad (21)$$

$$M = \frac{F_{\mu C}^4 F_b}{F_s^3 F_{iC}^2} = \frac{g \mu_C^4 \Delta \rho}{\rho_C^2 \sigma^3} \quad (\text{Morton number}) \quad (22)$$



$$Re_D = \frac{F_{iC}}{F_{\mu C}} = \frac{\rho_C V_T D}{\mu_C} \quad (\text{drop Reynolds number}) \quad (23)$$

$$\rho^* = \frac{F_{iD}}{F_{iC}} = \frac{\rho_D}{\rho_C} \quad (\text{density ratio}) \quad (24)$$

$$\mu^* = \frac{F_{\mu D}}{F_{\mu C}} = \frac{\mu_D}{\mu_C} \quad (\text{viscosity ratio}) \quad (25)$$

Only five independent dimensionless groups can be deduced from the six forces. Furthermore the constraint given by Eq. (18) reduces the number of independent dimensionless groups from five to four. By selecting  $Re_D$ ,  $Eu_D$ ,  $\rho^*$  and  $\mu^*$  as the four independent variables, we can obtain the following fundamental functional form of  $Fr$  from Eq. (18):

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 \left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^2 \left(1 + c_5 \frac{D}{R-h} \frac{1}{Eu_D}\right)}{\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^2 (c_2 + c_3 \rho^*) + \frac{1}{Re_D} \left(1 + c_4 \frac{h^2}{(R-h)^2} \mu^*\right)}} \quad (26)$$

where  $c_i$  ( $i = 1$  to  $5$ ) are undetermined constants given by  $c_1 = -C_6/C_3$ ,  $c_2 = C_1/C_3$ ,  $c_3 = C_2/C_3$ ,  $c_4 = C_4/C_3$ , and  $c_5 = C_5/C_6$ . The effects of  $\mu^*$  vanish when the  $Re_D$  is much larger than the factor including  $\mu^*$ . As described above, the viscosity ratio does not affect  $Fr$  at high  $Re_D$  (Mandal et al., 2007). Hence the functional form of Eq. (26) is reasonable with respect to the  $\mu^*$  dependency.

The scaling analysis does not give the values of the coefficients in Eq. (26). Hence the coefficients must be determined using the knowledge on  $Fr$ .

## 2.2 $Fr$ correlation for Taylor bubbles

It can be postulated for a bubble in a liquid that  $\rho^* \approx 0$  and  $\mu^* \approx 0$ . Then Eq. (26) simplifies to

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 \left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^2}{c_2 \left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{Re_D}} \left(1 + c_s \frac{D}{(R-h)} \frac{1}{Eo_D}\right)} \quad (27)$$

Let us consider two limiting cases, i.e., the inertial force dominant ( $Re_D \rightarrow \infty$  and  $Eo_D \rightarrow \infty$ ) and the viscous force dominant ( $Re_D \rightarrow 0$  and  $Eo_D \rightarrow \infty$ ) cases to determine the coefficients,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , in Eq. (27). In the former case, Eq. (27) reduces to

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_2}} \quad (28)$$

Since the Froude number in the inertial force dominant regime is known to be 0.35 (Brown, 1965; Collins et al., 1978; Dumitrescu, 1943; Griffith and Wallis, 1961; Harmathy, 1960; Wallis, 1969; White and Beardmore, 1962), we obtain

$$\sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_2}} = 0.35 \quad (29)$$

Substituting a relation,  $Re_D = FrN$ , into Eq. (27) yields

$$c_2 \left( \frac{h}{D} \right)^2 Fr^2 + \frac{Fr}{N} = c_1 \left( \frac{h}{D} \right)^2 \left( 1 + c_5 \frac{D}{(R-h)} \frac{1}{Eo_D} \right) \quad (30)$$

According to Wallis (1969),  $Fr$  of a Taylor bubble in the viscous force dominant regime is given by

$$Fr = 0.01N \quad (31)$$

Substituting the above equation into Eq. (30) and taking the limit  $Eo_D \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$c_2 \left( \frac{h}{D} \right)^2 Fr^2 + 0.01 = c_1 \left( \frac{h}{D} \right)^2 \quad (32)$$

Since  $Fr$  vanishes at  $Re_D \rightarrow 0$ , Eq. (32) reduces to

$$c_1 \left( \frac{h}{D} \right)^2 = 0.01 \quad (33)$$

From Eqs. (29) and (33)

$$c_2 \left( \frac{h}{D} \right)^2 = 0.0816 \quad (34)$$

Substituting Eqs. (33) and (34) into Eq. (27) yields

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{0.01}{0.0816 + \frac{1}{Re_D}}} G \quad (35)$$

where

$$G = 1 + c_s \frac{D}{R-h} \frac{1}{Eo_D} \quad (36)$$

Then, taking the limit,  $Eo_D \rightarrow \infty$ , in Eq. (35) gives

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{0.01}{0.0816 + \frac{1}{Re_D}}} \quad (37)$$

Since the above equation is derived by making use of the two limiting cases,  $Re_D \rightarrow \infty$  and  $Re_D \rightarrow 0$ , its applicability to intermediate Reynolds numbers should be tested against experimental data of high Eötvös numbers,  $Eo_D > 100$ . As shown in Fig. 2, Eq. (37) agrees well with the experimental data (White and Beardmore, 1962; Wallis, 1969) not only at high and low Reynolds numbers,  $Re_D > 10^3$  and  $Re_D < 1$ , but also at intermediate Reynolds numbers,  $1 < Re_D < 10^3$ .

Then the remaining term to be determined in Eq. (35) is  $G$ . This term might be a function of two dimensionless groups, e.g.,  $Re_D$  and  $Eo_D$ . As can be understood from Eq.

(36), the most relevant dimensionless number is, of course, the Eötvös number. Hence, for simplicity, let us deduce an empirical correlation of  $G$  in terms of  $EO_D$ . Solving Eq. (35) for  $G$  gives

$$G = \left( \frac{Fr}{0.1} \right)^2 \left( 0.0816 + \frac{1}{Re_D} \right) \quad (38)$$

Figure 3 shows the values of  $G$  obtained by substituting White and Beardmore's data (1962) into the above equation. Though there is a small scatter in the data,  $G$  is well correlated with the following function:

$$G = \left( 1 + \frac{41}{EO_D^{1.96}} \right)^{-4.63} \quad (39)$$

By substituting Eq. (39) into Eq. (35), we obtain the following correlation of  $Fr$  for Taylor bubbles:

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{0.01}{0.0816 + Re_D^{-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{41}{EO_D^{1.96}} \right)^{-4.63}} \quad (40)$$

Substituting the relations,  $Re_D = FrN$  and  $N = (EO_D^3/M)^{1/4}$ , into Eq. (40) yields

$$0.0816 Fr^2 + \frac{M^{1/2}}{EO_D^{3/4}} Fr = 0.01 \left( 1 + \frac{41}{EO_D^{1.96}} \right)^{-4.63} \quad (41)$$

By taking the limit  $M \rightarrow 0$ , the above equation reduces to

$$Fr = 0.35 \left( 1 + \frac{41}{Eo_D^{1.96}} \right)^{-2.32} \quad (42)$$

This simplified equation is applicable to low viscosity systems such as vapor bubbles in water. It should be noted that the three limits,  $Re_D \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $M \rightarrow 0$ , lead to the same limiting case.


Equation (40) agrees with most of  $Fr$  data obtained by White and Beardmore (1962) to within  $\pm 10\%$  errors (Hayashi et al., 2010). The accuracy is, however, not so good at intermediate Morton numbers. This shortcoming can be overcome by introducing a correction into Eq. (40) while taking into account the nonlinear effects, e.g.,

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{0.0089}{0.0725 + \frac{1}{Re_D}(1 - 0.11Re_D^{0.33})} \left( 1 + \frac{41}{Eo_D^{1.96}} \right)^{-4.63}} \quad (43)$$


where the values of the coefficients,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , are also slightly changed to improve the accuracy. This equation is compared with White and Beardmore's experimental data (1962) in Fig. 4. Good agreements between the data and Eq. (43) are obtained for a wide range of the dimensionless groups,  $Eo_D$  and  $M$ .

The coefficients,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$ , in Eq. (26) must be determined based on the knowledge on the effects of  $\rho^*$  and  $\mu^*$  on  $Fr$  of Taylor drops. There are, however, no databases of  $Fr$  for various values of  $\rho^*$  and  $\mu^*$ . The effects of  $\rho^*$  and  $\mu^*$  on  $Fr$  were, therefore,


investigated by making use of an interface tracking method.




**Fig. 1.** Large drop in a pipe



**Fig. 2.** Relation between  $Re_D$  and  $Fr$  for  $Eo_D > 100$



**Fig. 3.** Function  $G$



**Fig. 4.** Comparison between Eq. (43) and White and Beardmore's data (1962)

### 3. Numerical method

The following mass and momentum equations based on the one-field formulation for an incompressible two-phase flow are adopted (Hirt and Nicholds, 1981; Scardovelli and Zaleski, 2000):

$$\nabla \cdot V = 0 \quad (44)$$



$$\rho_M \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} \right] = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \mu_M [\nabla \mathbf{V} + (\nabla \mathbf{V})^T] + \rho \mathbf{g} + \sigma \kappa \mathbf{n} \delta \quad (45)$$

where  $\delta$  is the delta function and the superscript  $T$  denotes the transpose. The mixture density,  $\rho_M$ , is given by

$$\rho_M = (1 - \alpha)\rho_D + \alpha\rho_C \quad (46)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the cell-averaged volume fraction of the continuous phase. The harmonic mean of the viscosity should be used rather than the arithmetic mean to correctly deal with the continuity of the viscous stresses. Hence the mixture viscosity,  $\mu_M$ , is given by

$$\frac{1}{\mu_M} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\mu_D} + \frac{\alpha}{\mu_C} \quad (47)$$

The density and viscosity of each phase are assumed to be constant. The computational cell is filled with the continuous phase when  $\alpha = 1$ , and with the dispersed phase when  $\alpha = 0$ . A cell with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  contains an interface. The interface motion is calculated by solving the following advection equation of  $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \alpha \mathbf{V} = \alpha \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \quad (48)$$

Equations (44) and (45) are solved by using the modified SOLA (solution algorithm) (Tomiya and Hirano, 1994). An interface tracking method based on a

volume-of-fluid method, which we call the NSS (non-uniform subcell scheme) (Hayashi et al., 2006), is used to solve Eq. (48). The amount of fluid volumes transferred from an interface cell to its neighbor cells is accurately calculated by making use of non-uniform subcells which are temporarily introduced only in the interface cells. A local level set function is also computed in the interface cells, by which the surface tension force in Eq. (45) is accurately evaluated. The NSS can be implemented not only in Cartesian coordinates but also in cylindrical and general curvilinear coordinates. Since all the Taylor drops dealt with in this study are axisymmetric, the two-dimensional  $(r, z)$  cylindrical coordinate system is used. The details of the NSS can be found in Hayashi et al. (2006) and Hayashi and Tomiyama (2007, 2009).

## 4. Validation

### 4.1 Experimental setup

Terminal velocities and shapes of single Taylor drops were measured to obtain experimental data for comparisons with simulations. The experiments were carried out at atmospheric pressure and room temperature (the temperature of the liquid and drops were kept at  $298 \pm 0.5$  K). The experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 5. It consists of the vertical pipe and the square tanks. Four pipes of  $D = 11.0, 20.1, 26.1$  and  $30.8$  mm were used to alter the values of  $Eu_D$ . The pipe length was 530 mm. The pipes and the tanks were made of transparent acrylic resin.

Silicon oils of various viscosities (Sin-etsu silicon, KF96-10, 30, 100, 300, 500, 1000 and KF96-5000) and glycerol-water solutions were used for the dispersed and continuous phases, respectively. The density, viscosity, surface tension and temperature were measured using a densimeter (Ando Keiki Co., Ltd., JIS B7525), a viscometer


(Rion Co., Ltd., Viscotester VT-03E), capillary tubes (glass tube, 1.02 mm i.d.) and a digital thermometer (Sato Keiryoki MFG. Co., Ltd., SK-1250MC), respectively. Fluid properties were measured at least five times. Uncertainties estimated at 95 % confidence in measured  $\rho$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  were 0.021, 0.53 and 4.0 %, respectively. The measured values of these fluid properties agreed well with the data given in literature (Ishikawa, 1968). The values in literature were, therefore, used in calculations of the dimensionless numbers and in numerical simulations. Various fluid systems shown in Appendix were used.

A small amount of silicon oil was stored in the hemispherical glass cup. A single drop was released by rotating the cup. The visualization of the drop motion and shape using a high-speed video camera (Integrated Design Tools Inc., Motionscope M3, frame rate = 20 - 400 frame/s, spatial resolution  $\approx$  0.095 mm/pixel) confirmed that drops were axisymmetric and rose rectilinearly along the pipe axis.


Successive images of drops in the pipe were taken by using the high-speed video camera at 350 mm above the bottom of the pipe to obtain experimental data only at terminal conditions. The pipe was enclosed with the acrylic duct. The gap between the pipe and the duct was filled with the same glycerol-water solution as that in the pipe to reduce optical distortion of drop images. A LED light source (Hayashi Watch-Works, LP-2820) was used for backward illumination.

The sphere-volume equivalent diameters,  $d$ , of drops were calculated from the drop images using an image processing method (Tomiyama et al., 2002). An example of drop images is shown in Fig. 6. The velocity of a drop was computed from two binary images by measuring the difference in elevation of the noses of the two drop images. The measured  $V_T$  showed that the drops reached their terminal conditions at the measuring

section.



**Fig. 5.** Experimental apparatus



**Fig. 6.** Raw and binary images of a Taylor drop

## 4.2 Experimental results

All the  $Fr$  data obtained by 34 runs are shown in Fig. 7. The values of  $Fr$  and drop shapes are given in Appendix. The experimental data obtained by Goldsmith and Mason (1962) and Mandal et al. (2007) are also plotted in the figure. Goldsmith and Mason dealt with only low Reynolds number drops, in other words, low  $Fr$  drops. The ranges


of dimensionless groups examined by them are  $14 < Eo_D < 50$ ,  $1 < N < 12$ ,  $\log M = 0.76$  and  $3.4$ ,  $\mu^* = 0.0012$  and  $0.075$ ,  $1.2 < \rho^* < 1.5$ ,  $0.004 < Re_D < 1.1$ , and  $0.004 < Fr < 0.091$ . On the other hand, Mandal's experimental data were only for drops in low viscosity systems. The ranges of dimensionless groups are  $4.8 < Eo_D < 228$ ,  $1430 < N < 14300$ ,  $-11 < \log M < -9.3$ ,  $0.69 < \mu^* < 1.2$ ,  $0.66 < \rho^* < 0.88$ ,  $78 < Re_D < 4960$ , and  $0.05 < Fr < 0.349$ . Since the drop viscosity dissipates the kinetic energy,  $Fr$  may decrease as  $\mu^*$  increases. However, their data largely scatter and several data exceed the values of  $Fr$  evaluated with Eq. (43) at  $\log M = -11$ . Taylor drops in low viscosity systems and those in large pipes tend to oscillate due to the Rayleigh-Taylor instability. This may be a reason why Mandal's data show a large scatter. Figure 7 also shows that the present experiments cover a wide range of dimensionless groups, i.e.,  $7.2 < Eo_D < 92$ ,  $7.9 < N < 270$ ,  $-4.7 < \log M < -0.4$ ,  $0.017 < \mu^* < 19$ ,  $0.74 < \rho^* < 0.81$ ,  $0.029 < Re_D < 74$ , and  $0.0037 < Fr < 0.284$ , and therefore, they fill the gap between Goldsmith's and Mandal's data.

Figures 8-10 are measured  $Fr$  at  $\log M = -0.45$ ,  $-2.5$  and  $-4.7$ , respectively. Equation (43) is also drawn in the figures as the reference case of  $\mu^* = 0$ . The effects of  $\mu^*$  on  $Fr$  can be understood from these figures: (I)  $Fr$  monotonously decreases with increasing  $\mu^*$ , (II) the influence of  $\mu^*$  vanishes when  $\mu^*$  is very small, and (III)  $\mu^*$  does not have much influence on  $Fr$  at low  $M$ . The tendency (II) supports the model of Goldsmith and Mason, and the term in the square brackets in Eq. (3) must decrease with increasing  $\mu^*$  because of the tendency (I). Then the tendency (III) qualitatively agrees with the observation of Mandal et al. that  $Fr$  of a drop at low  $M$  is independent of  $\mu^*$ .


The Froude numbers at  $Eo_D \simeq 12$ ,  $39$  and  $91$  are plotted against  $\mu^*$  in Fig. 11 to make clear the effects of  $\mu^*$ .  $Fr$  decreases with increasing  $\mu^*$ , and the magnitude of the

gradient,  $dFr/d\mu^*$ , decreases as  $\mu^*$  increases.


Since the density ratio is about 0.8 for all the experiments, the effects of  $\rho^*$  cannot be discussed. These are, therefore, investigated by making use of the numerical simulation.




**Fig. 7.** Froude numbers measured in the present study and  $Fr$  data collected from literature (Goldsmith and Mason, 1962; Mandal et al., 2007)




**Fig. 8.** Froude numbers at  $\log M = -0.45$



**Fig. 9.** Froude numbers at  $\log M = -2.5$



**Fig. 10.** Froude numbers at  $\log M = -4.7$



**Fig. 11.** Froude numbers at various  $\mu^*$


### 4.3 Simulation

Figure 12 shows a computational domain and the initial condition. The drop was initially set at the center of the computational domain. The initial drop shape was a cylinder with two hemispheres attached to the top and bottom of the cylinder. The drop length was  $L$  and the thickness,  $h$ , of the liquid film was  $0.2R$ . The ratio of the sphere-volume equivalent diameter,  $d$ , to the pipe diameter,  $D$ , was 1.25. The domain size was  $(R, 4L)$ . The top and bottom boundaries were uniform inflow and continuous outflow, respectively. The right boundary was a wall moving downward at the drop terminal velocity. The left was an axisymmetric boundary. Uniform computational cells of  $\Delta r = \Delta z = R / 32$  were used. The total number of cells was 18,816 ( $=32 \times 588$ ). The time step,  $\Delta t$ , ranged from  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-2}$  ms which satisfied the condition of numerical stability.

Numerical simulations with a higher spatial resolution,  $\Delta r = \Delta z = R / 64$ , were carried out at several conditions to investigate the grid dependence. Terminal velocities predicted with the higher resolution agreed well with those predicted with the lower resolution. The relative error was about 1 %. Hence the resolution of  $\Delta r = R / 32$  was sufficient for obtaining good predictions of  $V_T$ .

Another domain size,  $(R, 7L)$ , was also tested. The result confirmed that the influence of the top and bottom boundaries on predictions of  $V_T$  was negligibly small even with the domain size of  $(R, 4L)$ .






**Fig. 12.** Computational domain


#### 4.4 Comparisons

Comparisons between measured and predicted  $Fr$  are shown in Fig. 13. The simulations well reproduce the measured  $Fr$  for the wide range of dimensionless groups. The predicted Froude numbers are directly compared with the measured  $Fr$  in Fig. 14, which shows a very good agreement between them. Measured and predicted drop shapes are compared in Fig. 15. The predicted drop shapes also agree very well with the experiments.


The good agreement assures that the NSS can be used to investigate the effects of dimensionless groups on  $Fr$ .



**Fig. 13.** Comparison of  $Fr$  in the  $Eo_D$ - $Fr$  diagram



**Fig. 14.** Comparison between measured and predicted  $Fr$



**Fig. 15.** Comparisons between measured and predicted shapes (left: measured, right: predicted). (a)  $(\log M, Eo_D, \mu^*, d/D) = (-0.45, 12, 0.11, 1.24)$ ; (b)  $(-0.45, 12, 1.1, 1.27)$ ; (c)  $(-0.45, 39, 1.1, 1.07)$ ; (d)  $(-2.5, 10, 1.1, 1.19)$ ; (e)  $(-4.7, 26, 1.1, 1.01)$ ; (f)  $(-4.7, 8, 3.8, 1.34)$ ; (g)  $(-4.7, 8, 1.1, 1.24)$

## 5. Effects of $\rho^*$ and $\mu^*$

Simulations were carried out at several values of  $\rho^*$  to investigate the effects of  $\rho^*$  on  $Fr$ . The other dimensionless groups were set at  $(\log M, Eo_D, \mu^*) = (-1.0, 50, 1.0)$  and  $(\log M, Eo_D, \mu^*) = (-3.0, 20, 1.0)$ . Predicted Froude numbers are plotted against  $\rho^*$  in Fig. 16, which clearly shows that  $\rho^*$  has no influence on  $Fr$ . Hence the coefficient  $c_3$  in Eq. (26) must be zero, and the equation becomes a function of  $Re_D$ ,  $Eo_D$  and  $\mu^*$ :

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 \left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^2}{c_2 \left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^2 + \frac{H}{Re_D}} \left(1 + c_5 \frac{D}{(R-h)} \frac{1}{Eo_D}\right)} \quad (49)$$

where

$$H = 1 + c_4 \left(\frac{h}{R-h}\right)^2 \mu^* \quad (50)$$

The Froude number defined by Eq. (19) can be regarded as a combination of two dimensionless groups,  $V_T/(gD)^{1/2}$  and  $\rho^*$ , i.e.  $Fr = V_T/[(1-\rho^*)gD]^{1/2}$ . Hence the dimensionless terminal velocity,  $V_T/(gD)^{1/2}$  which is frequently used as the Froude number for a Taylor bubble, is proportional to  $(1-\rho^*)^{1/2}$ , i.e.  $V_T/(gD)^{1/2} = (1-\rho^*)^{1/2} f(Re_D, Eo_D, \mu^*)$ .

Comparing Eq. (49) with Eq. (27) gives the following expression

$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{0.0089}{0.0725 + \frac{H}{Re_D}(1 - 0.11Re_D^{0.33})} \left(1 + \frac{41}{Eo_D^{1.96}}\right)^{-4.63}} \quad (51)$$

in which the effect of the drop viscosity is accounted for. As can be understood from Eq. (50),  $H$  should be a function of the viscosity ratio. Let us, therefore, deduce an empirical correlation of  $H$  in terms of  $\mu^*$ .

Interface tracking simulations were carried out at various values of  $M$ ,  $Eo_D$  and  $\mu^*$ , i.e.  $-8 < \log M < 4$ ,  $9.8 < Eo_D < 200$  and  $0 < \mu^* \leq 70$ , to obtain the values of  $H$ . Solving Eq. (51) for  $H$  gives

$$H = \frac{Re_D}{1 - 0.11Re_D^{0.33}} \left[ \frac{0.0089}{Fr^2} \left(1 + \frac{41}{Eo_D^{1.96}}\right)^{-4.63} - 0.0725 \right] \quad (52)$$


Substituting the numerical results into Eq. (52), we obtained the values of  $H$  as shown in Fig. 17. Since computed  $H$  scattered a lot due to the estimation error of Eq. (43), the

values of  $H$  obtained at the same value of  $\mu^*$  were averaged.


The functional form of  $H$  should satisfy the following requirements to reproduce the experimental fact: (1)  $H(\mu^*=0) = 1$ , (2)  $H$  increases with  $\mu^*$ , and (3)  $H$  becomes constant as  $\mu^* \rightarrow \infty$ . Referring to the numerical results and to the functional form of Eq. (3), we adopted the following functional form satisfying the above requirements:

$$H = \frac{1 + c_6 \mu^*}{1 + c_7 \mu^*} \quad (53)$$

where  $c_6$  and  $c_7$  are constants and  $c_6 > c_7$ . These constants were determined based on the data shown in Fig. 17, i.e.  $c_6 = 1.9$  and  $c_7 = 0.31$ .



**Fig. 16.** Effect of  $\rho^*$  on  $Fr$



**Fig. 17.** Function  $H$

## 6. Froude number correlation for Taylor drops

Substituting Eq. (53) and the constants,  $c_6$  and  $c_7$ , into Eq. (51) gives the following correlation for Taylor drops:




$$Fr = \sqrt{\frac{0.0089 \left(1 + \frac{41}{Eo_D^{1.96}}\right)^{-4.63}}{0.0725 + \frac{1}{Re_D} \left(\frac{1 + 1.9\mu^*}{1 + 0.31\mu^*}\right) (1 - 0.11Re_D^{0.33})}} \quad (54)$$

This correlation is compared with the numerical predictions in Fig. 18. The correlation well reproduces the tendencies of  $Fr$ , i.e.  $Fr$  increases with  $N$  and  $Eo_D$ , while it decreases as  $\mu^*$  increases. The agreement between Eq. (54) and the predictions is good. Hence the function of  $H$ , Eq. (53), well expresses the effects of  $\mu^*$  on  $Fr$ . Figure 19 shows  $Fr$  in terms of  $Eo_D$ ,  $M$  and  $\mu^*$ . Since  $Re_D$  is much larger than  $H$  for  $Eo_D > 30$  and  $\log M = -8$ , the viscosity ratio does not have much influence on  $Fr$ . In this case, Eq. (42) can be used even if  $\mu^* \neq 0$ .  $Fr$  decreases with increasing  $\mu^*$  for  $\log M > -8$  even at high Froude numbers. The effects of  $\mu^*$  on  $Fr$  become significant as  $M$  increases because of

the decrease in  $Re_D$ .


The correlation is compared with the simulations and the experiments in Fig. 20, which includes 358, 34, 25 and 5 data of the simulations, the present experiments, Mandal's data and Goldsmith's data, respectively. The ranges of dimensionless groups are  $4.8 < Eo_D < 228$ ,  $1 < N < 14700$ ,  $-12 < \log M < 4$ ,  $0.0 < \mu^* \leq 70$ , and  $0.002 < Re_D < 4960$ . Most of the data obtained in this study lie within  $\pm 10$  % errors. The agreement between the correlation and Goldsmith's data is good. Though several Mandal's data do not agree with Eq. (54) due to the scatter of their data shown in Fig. 7, Eq. (54) well reproduces most of their data.

Equation (54) reduces to Eq. (43) when  $\mu^* = 0$ . Hence Eq. (54) is applicable not only to Taylor drops but also to Taylor bubbles.




**Fig. 18.** Comparisons of  $Fr$  between predictions (symbols) and Eq. (54)





**Fig. 19.** Equation (54) on the  $Fr$ - $Eo_D$  plane



**Fig. 20.** Comparisons of  $Fr$  between measurements, predictions and Eq. (54)

## 7. Conclusions

A correlation of Froude number,  $Fr$ , for Taylor drops rising through stagnant liquids in vertical pipes was proposed. The fundamental functional form of the correlation,  $Fr = f(Re_D, Eo_D, \rho^*, \mu^*)$ , was deduced by making use of a scaling analysis based on the field equations of two phases and the jump conditions at the interface, where  $Re_D$  is the drop

Reynolds number,  $Eo_D$  the Eötvös number,  $\rho^*$  the density ratio and  $\mu^*$  the viscosity ratio. Coefficients in the correlation were determined using experimental and numerical data. By taking the limits,  $\rho^* \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mu^* \rightarrow 0$ , the correlation becomes applicable to Taylor bubbles in liquids. The coefficients relating with the effects of  $Re_D$  and  $Eo_D$  on  $Fr$  were, therefore, determined by making use of the knowledge on  $Fr$  of Taylor bubbles. Then, the effects of  $\rho^*$  and  $\mu^*$  were investigated by using an interface tracking method. The simulation showed that  $\rho^*$  has no influence on  $Fr$ , whereas  $Fr$  monotonously decreases with increasing  $\mu^*$ . The remaining terms in the correlation were determined based on the numerical predictions. As a result, the correlation, Eq. (54), was obtained. Comparisons between the proposed correlation, the measurements and the interface tracking simulations demonstrated that the correlation is applicable to Taylor drops for a wide range of the dimensionless groups, i.e.,  $4.8 < Eo_D < 228$ ,  $1 < N < 14700$ ,  $-12 < \log M < 4$ ,  $0 \leq \mu^* \leq 70$ , and  $0.002 < Re_D < 4960$ , where  $N$  is the inverse viscosity number and  $M$  the Morton number.

## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (grant-in-aid for scientific research (B), No. 21360084). The authors would like to express our thanks to Mr. Yasuki Yoshikawa for his assistance in the experiments and simulations.

## Appendix

Fluid systems used in the present experiments are summarized in Table A1. Silicon oils of various viscosity and pipes of  $D = 11, 20, 26$  and  $31$  mm were used to deal with

various viscosity ratios and Eötvös numbers. The ranges of dimensionless groups tested were  $7.2 < Eo_D < 92$ ,  $7.9 < N < 270$ ,  $-4.7 < \log M < -0.4$ ,  $0.017 < \mu^* < 19$ , and  $0.74 < \rho^* < 0.81$ .

Table A2 and Figure A1 show the measured  $Fr$  and drop shapes obtained in the present experiments. These experimental data might be useful for validation of interface tracking methods.


**Table A1.** Experimental conditions

Case	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$\rho_C$ [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]		1220			1190						1240			
$\rho_D$ [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	955	965	970	935	955	965	915	935	955	965	970	970	970	975
$\mu_C$ [mPa·s]		85			25						262			
$\mu_D$ [mPa·s]	29	97	485	9.4	29	97	4.6	9.4	29	97	291	485	970	4875
$\sigma$ [mN/m]	31	31	31	38	32	37	29	29	29	29	28	28	29	28
$D$ [m]	11,	20,26	,31	11,	20	,26				11,	20	,31		
$\log M$		-2.5			-4.7						-0.45			
$\rho^*$	0.78	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.79
$\mu^*$	0.34	1.1	5.7	0.37	1.1	3.8	0.02	0.04	0.11	0.37	1.1	1.9	3.7	19
$Eo_D$	$\approx 10$ ,	33,55	,77	$\approx 8$ ,	26	,44				$\approx 12$ ,	39	,91		

**Table A2.** Measured Froude numbers

Run	$Eo_D$	$N$	$\log M$	$\mu^*$	$Re_D$	$Fr$
1	10	24	-2.5	0.34	0.70	0.029
2	34	60	-2.5	0.34	13.7	0.23
3	9.7	24	-2.5	1.1	0.41	0.017
4	32	58	-2.5	1.1	8.7	0.15
5	55	87	-2.5	1.1	20.2	0.23
6	76	111	-2.5	1.1	31.0	0.28
7	9.6	23	-2.5	5.7	0.21	0.0088
8	32	58	-2.5	5.7	5.3	0.092
9	54	86	-2.5	5.7	13.8	0.16
10	75	110	-2.5	5.7	22.0	0.20
11	9.4	79	-4.9	0.37	6.0	0.075
12	8.6	76	-4.7	1.1	3.1	0.040
13	29	188	-4.7	1.1	55.3	0.29
14	7.2	75	-4.9	3.9	1.2	0.016
15	24	184	-4.9	3.9	36.2	0.20
16	41	273	-4.9	3.9	73.6	0.27
17	12	8.2	-0.45	0.11	0.14	0.017
18	39	20	-0.45	0.11	2.4	0.12
19	91	38	-0.45	0.11	9.04	0.24
20	13	8.8	-0.40	0.017	0.23	0.027
21	12	8.5	-0.44	0.036	0.19	0.022
22	11	8.1	-0.45	0.37	0.096	0.012
23	38	20	-0.45	0.37	1.7	0.086

24	11	8.0	-0.42	1.1	0.064	0.0080
25	38	20	-0.42	1.1	1.2	0.059
26	90	37	-0.42	1.1	5.1	0.14
27	12	20	-0.41	1.9	0.053	0.0067
28	39	37	-0.41	1.9	0.98	0.050
29	91	24	-0.41	1.9	4.3	0.12
30	11	8.0	-0.46	3.7	0.041	0.0051
31	37	20	-0.46	3.7	0.83	0.042
32	88	37	-0.46	3.7	4.0	0.11
33	11	7.9	-0.46	19	0.029	0.0037
34	37	20	-0.46	19	0.71	0.036



**Fig. A1.** Drop shapes

## References

Brown, R. A. S., 1965, The Mechanics of Large Gas Bubbles in Tubes, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 42, 217-223.

Collins, R., DeMoraes, F. F., Davidson, J. F., Harrison, D., 1978. The Motion of a Large Gas Bubble Rising through Liquid Flowing in a Tube, J. Fluid Mech. 89, 497-514.

Davies, R. M., Taylor, G. I., 1950. The Mechanics of Large Bubbles Rising through Liquids in Tubes, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 200, 375-390.

Dumitrescu, D. T., 1943. Stromung and Einer Luftbluse in Senkrechten rohr, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 23, 139-149.

Griffith, P., Wallis, G. B., 1961. Two-Phase Slug Flow, J. Heat Transfer 83, 307-320.

Harmathy, T. Z., 1960. Velocity of Large Drops and Bubbles in Media of Infinite or Restricted Extent. A.I.Ch.E. J. 6, 281–288.

Goldsmith, H. L., Mason, S. G., 1962. The Movement of Single Large Bubbles in Closed Vertical Tubes, J. Fluid Mech. 10, 42-58.

Hayashi, K., Sou, A., Tomiyama, A., 2006. A Volume Tracking Method based on Non-Uniform Subcells and Continuum Surface Force Model using a Local Level Set Function, Comp. Fluid Dynamics J. 15, 225–232.

Hayashi, K., Tomiyama, A., 2007. Interface Tracking Simulation of Bubbles and Drops in Complex Geometries, *Multiphase Sci. Tech.* 19, 121–140.

Hayashi, K., Tomiyama, A., 2009. Drag Correlation of Fluid Particles Rising through Stagnant Liquids in Vertical Pipes at Intermediate Reynolds Numbers, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 64, 3019-3028.

Hayashi, K., Kurimoto, R., Tomiyama, A., 2010. Dimensional Analysis of Terminal Velocity of Taylor Bubble in a Vertical Pipe, *Multiphase Sci. Tech.* 22, in printing

Hirt, C. W., Nicholds, B. D., 1981. Volume of Fluid (VOF) Method for the Dynamics of Free Boundaries. *J. Comp. Phys.* 39, 201–225

Ishikawa, T., 1968. *Kongou Ekinendo No Riron*, Maruzen (*in Japanese*)

Joseph, D., 2003. Rise Velocity of a Spherical Cap Bubble, *J. Fluid Mech.* 488, 213-223.

Mandal, T. K., Das, G., Das, P. K., 2007. Prediction of Rise Velocity of a Liquid Taylor Bubbles in a Vertical Pipe, *Phys. Fluids* 19, #128109, 4 pages.

Mandal, T. K., Das, G., Das, P. K., 2009. Liquid Taylor Bubbles Rising in a Vertical Column of a Heavier Liquid: An Approximate Analysis, *J. Fluid Eng.* 131, #011303, 7

pages.

Scardovelli, R. and Zaleski, S., 2000. Direct Numerical Simulation of Free-Surface and Interfacial Flow. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 31, 567–603.

Tomiyama, A., Hirano, M., 1994. An Improvement of the Computational Efficiency of the SOLA Method, *JSME Int. J., Ser. B.* 37, 821-826.

Tomiyama, A., Celata, G. P., Hosokawa, S., Yoshida, S., 2002. Terminal Velocity of Single Bubbles in Surface Tension Force Dominant Regime. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* 28, 1497-1519.

Tomiyama, A., 2004. Drag, Lift and Virtual Mass Forces Acting on a Single Bubble. *Proc. 3rd Int. Sym. Two-Phase Flow Modelling and Experimentation*, CD-ROM.

Viana, F., Pardo, R., Yanez, R., Trallero, J. L., Joseph D., 2003. Universal Correlation for the Rise Velocity of Long Gas Bubbles in Round Pipes, *J. Fluid Mech.* 494, 379-398.

Wallis, G. B., 1969. *One-Dimensional Two-Phase Flow*, McGraw-Hill.

White, E. T., Beardmore, R. H., 1962. The Velocity of Rise of Single Cylindrical Air Bubbles through Liquids Contained in Vertical Tubes, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 17, 351-361.