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#### Abstract

Let $V$ and $V^{*}$ be a real reflexive Banach space and its dual space respectively. This paper is devoted to the abstract Cauchy problem for doubly nonlinear evolution equations governed by subdifferential operators with non-monotone perturbations of the form: $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))+B(t, u(t)) \ni f(t)$ in $V^{*}, 0<t<T$, $u(0)=u_{0}$, where $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}, \partial_{V} \varphi: V \rightarrow 2^{V^{*}}$ denote the subdifferential operators of proper, lower semicontinuous and convex functions $\psi^{t}, \varphi: V \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$, respectively, for each $t \in[0, T]$, and $f:(0, T) \rightarrow V^{*}$ and $u_{0} \in V$ are given data. Moreover, let $B$ be a (possibly) multi-valued operator from $(0, T) \times V$ into $V^{*}$. We present sufficient conditions for the local (in time) existence of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem as well as for the global existence. Our framework can cover evolution equations whose solutions might blow up in finite time and whose unperturbed equations (i.e., $B \equiv 0$ ) might not be uniquely solved in a doubly nonlinear setting. Our proof relies on a couple of approximations for the equation and a fixed point argument with a multi-valued mapping. Moreover, the preceding abstract theory is applied to doubly nonlinear parabolic equations.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $V$ and $V^{*}$ be a reflexive Banach space and its dual space, respectively, and let $H$ be a Hilbert space whose dual space $H^{*}$ is identified with itself such that

$$
V \hookrightarrow H \equiv H^{*} \hookrightarrow V^{*}
$$

with continuous and densely defined canonical injections. Let $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}$ (for each $t \in[0, T]$ ) and $\partial_{V} \varphi: V \rightarrow 2^{V^{*}}$ stand for the subdifferential operators of proper, lower semicontinuous and convex functions $\psi^{t}$ and $\varphi$, respectively, from $V$ into $(-\infty,+\infty]$. Moreover, let $B$ be a (possibly) multi-valued mapping from $(0, T) \times V$ into $V^{*}$ such that $B(t, \cdot)$ might be non-monotone in $V \times V^{*}$ for each fixed $t$. We discuss the existence of local and global (in time) strong solutions to the following Cauchy problem for a doubly nonlinear evolution equation:

$$
(\mathrm{CP})\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))+B(t, u(t)) \ni f(t) \text { in } V^{*}, \quad 0<t<T, \\
u(0)=u_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u^{\prime}(t)=d u(t) / d t$, and $f:(0, T) \rightarrow V^{*}$ and $u_{0} \in V$ are given.
Studies of evolution equations governed by subdifferential operators were initiated with the following simple case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{H} \varphi(u(t)) \ni 0, \quad 0<t<T \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a Hilbert space $H$ (see, e.g., Brézis [20]), and various generalized forms of (1. 1) have been studied by many authors to reinforce the applicability of theories of evolution equations to nonlinear PDEs. We particularly choose three directions of generalization among successful ones in applications to nonlinear PDEs.

1. Non-monotone perturbations. The development of perturbation theory for (1.1) is further extending the applicability of subdifferential approaches to nonlinear PDEs. Indeed, Navier-Stokes equation (see Ôtani-Yamada [43], Ôtani [41, 42]), Allen-Cahn equation and Cahn-Hilliard equation (see Kenmochi et al [34]) are reduced to the perturbation problem for (1.1) of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{H} \varphi(u(t))+B(t, u(t)) \ni f(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a possibly non-monotone operator $B:(0, T) \times H \rightarrow H$ in a Hilbert space $H$. In [41], Mitsuharu Ôtani first established an abstract theory on the existence of local and global (in time) strong solutions to Cauchy problems for (1.2), and his framework can cover nonlinear PDEs whose solutions possibly blow up in finite time, e.g., degenerate parabolic equations with blow-up terms (see also [39], [40]). Moreover, his abstract theory has been applied to various nonlinear parabolic equations and systems such as Navier-Stokes equation, Keller-Segel system, Allen-Cahn equation, cross-diffusion systems arising from biomathematics and so on.
2. Doubly nonlinear evolution equation. Barbu [14], Arai [10], Senba [48] and Colli-Visintin [24] investigated sufficient conditions for the existence of strong solutions to Cauchy problems for doubly nonlinear evolution equations in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{H} \psi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{H} \varphi(u(t)) \ni f(t) \text { in } H, \quad 0<t<T \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with two subdifferential operators $\partial_{H} \psi$ and $\partial_{H} \varphi$, and their results were applied to doubly nonlinear parabolic equations such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right)-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(\nabla u(x, t)) \ni f(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a : $\mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ are maximal monotone graphs, and $f: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function (see also [17], [23], [4], [8], [37], [45, Sect. 11], [11], [47], [38] and [46]).

Moreover, Grange-Mignot [30], Barbu [16] and Kenmochi-Pawlow [35] also studied other types of doubly nonlinear evolution equations such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{H} \varphi(u(t)) \ni f(t), v(t) \in \partial_{H} \psi(u(t)) \text { in } H, \quad 0<t<T \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see also [9], [25], [49], [36], [12], [53], [45, Sect. 11], [1, 2, 3], [5]).
3. Banach space framework. It helps our analysis of nonlinear PDEs to choose a proper function space as a base space of each setting. Indeed, one can find advantages of frameworks which admit a flexible choice of function spaces particularly in studies on doubly nonlinear parabolic equations, e.g., (1. 4) and the following

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u|^{p-2} u(x, t)-\Delta_{m} u(x, t)=f(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)
$$

where $p, m \in(1, \infty)$ and $\Delta_{m}$ denotes the so-called $m$-Laplacian given by $\Delta_{m} u(x)=$ $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{m-2} \nabla u(x)\right)$ (see Raviart [44], Tsutsumi [51]). However, evolution equations governed by subdifferential operators were originally studied only in Hilbert space settings. Hence several authors (e.g., Brézis [19], Kenmochi [32], Barbu [16] and Colli [23]) made attempts to establish $V-V^{*}$ frameworks which enable us to treat evolution equations in Banach spaces $V$ and their dual spaces $V^{*}$ (see also Akagi-Ôtani [6, 7, 8], Akagi [5], Aso et al [12]).

In order to cover a broader range of nonlinear PDEs, particularly, doubly nonlinear versions of various PDEs, e.g., Allen-Cahn equations and Navier-Stokes equations, it would be necessary to study (CP) with as general assumptions as possible. However, there seems to be no contribution to (CP) with three options, double nonlinearity, nonmonotone perturbations, Banach space framework. The purpose of the current paper is to present sufficient conditions for the local (in time) existence of strong solutions to (CP) as well as for the global existence. To do so, we overcome a couple of difficulties, e.g., the strong nonlinearity of the equation and the defect of useful properties of maximal monotone operators defined in Banach spaces (cf. maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces have fine properties such as the Lipschitz continuity of their resolvents and Yosida approximations).

It is particularly noteworthy that the following unperturbed problems corresponding to (CP) might not be uniquely solved.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{V} \varphi(u(t)) \ni f(t) \text { in } V^{*}, 0<t<T, \quad u(0)=u_{0} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, a simple example of non-unique solutions was given in [23] even for the case where $V$ is a Hilbert space and $\psi^{t}$ is independent of $t$. Following a classical approach to perturbation problems, one employs mappings $\mathcal{S}_{T}: g \mapsto u$, which maps a function
$g:(0, T) \rightarrow V^{*}$ to the strong solution(s) $u$ of (1.6) with $f$ replaced by $f-g$ on $[0, T]$, and $\mathcal{F}_{T}: g \mapsto B(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ to obtain a strong solution $u_{*}:=\mathcal{S}_{T} g_{*}$ of (CP) with a fixed point $g_{*}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{T}$. However, since we cannot ensure the uniqueness of strong solutions of (1.6), $\mathcal{F}_{T}$ could be a multi-valued mapping. Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings have already been established, in particular, several authors extended Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorem to multi-valued mappings (see, e.g., [27], [22], [29]). Here we note that such fixed point theorems require the convexity of the set $\mathcal{F}_{T} g$ for every $g$; however, the convexity is not obvious in our case. In order to overcome such a difficulty, we introduce approximate problems for ( CP ) whose solutions can be constructed by the fixed point argument mentioned above. More precisely, the unperturbed problem corresponding to our approximation has a unique solution, so the fixed point argument can work well for the approximate problems. Furthermore, our unperturbed problem with approximation could be a new example of doubly nonlinear problems with the uniqueness of solutions (cf. [23]). Thus we can construct approximate solutions for (CP), and then, we derive the convergence of the approximate solutions to obtain a solution of (CP) (see $\S 4$ for more details).

We apply the preceding abstract theory to the initial-boundary value problems for doubly nonlinear parabolic equations of degenerate type such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-2} u_{t}(x, t)-\Delta_{m} u(x, t)-|u|^{q-2} u(x, t)=f(x, t) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, 1<m, p, q<\infty$ and $f: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given. Such doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations can be regarded as a special case of generalized Allen-Cahn equations due to Gurtin [31]. Indeed, the solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.7) corresponds to the order parameter at $(x, t)$ generated by a generalized gradient system $\mathcal{A}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) u^{\prime}(t)=-\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(u(t))$ of the free energy

$$
\mathcal{F}(u):=\frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{m} d x-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{q} d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, t) u(x) d x
$$

and the constitutive modulus $\mathcal{A}(u):=|u|^{p-2}$. Moreover, we also treat a semilinear equation with a nonlinear term involving the gradient of $u$, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-2} u_{t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)-|u|^{q_{1}-2} u(x, t) \pm|\nabla u(x, t)|^{q_{2}-1}=f(x, t) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1<q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$. It is noteworthy that (1.8) can be no longer written as a generalized gradient system, because of the gradient nonlinearity.

This paper consists of seven sections. In Section 2 we summarize without proofs the relevant material on maximal monotone operators and subdifferential operators. Section 3 is devoted to our main results on the existence of local and global (in time) strong solutions of (CP). Proofs of the main results will be given in Sections 4, 5 (for the local existence) and in Section 6 (for the global existence). Finally, in Section 7 we discuss applications of the preceding abstract theory to nonlinear PDEs.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, several standard facts on maximal monotone operators and subdifferential operators are given for later use.

Let $E$ and $E^{*}$ be a reflexive Banach space and its dual space with the norms $|\cdot|_{E}$ and $|\cdot|_{E^{*}}$, respectively, and the duality pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. According to [13], every reflexive Banach space can be equivalently renormed (along with its dual) to be strictly convex. Throughout this paper, we denote by $D(A)$ the domain of each operator $A: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$, and moreover, we denote by $A$ the graph of $A$, that is, $[u, \xi] \in A$ means $u \in D(A)$ and $\xi \in A(u)$.

An operator $A: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ is said to be monotone if $\left\langle\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\left[u_{1}, \xi_{1}\right],\left[u_{2}, \xi_{2}\right] \in A$, and the maximality of $A$ is known to be equivalent to the condition that the range of $F_{E}+A$ coincides with $E^{*}$, where $F_{E}$ denotes the duality mapping between $E$ and $E^{*}$, provided that $E$ and $E^{*}$ are strictly convex (see, e.g., [21], [15]). The following proposition is concerned with the closedness of maximal monotone operators in an appropriate topology (see [21]).
Proposition 2.1. Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space. Let $A: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be a maximal monotone operator and let $\left[u_{n}, \xi_{n}\right] \in A$ and $[u, \xi] \in E \times E^{*}$ be such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $E$ and $\xi_{n} \rightarrow \xi$ weakly in $E^{*}$. Moreover, suppose that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\xi_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle \leq\langle\xi, u\rangle
$$

Then it follows that $[u, \xi] \in A$ and $\left\langle\xi_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle\xi, u\rangle$.
We denote by $\Phi(E)$ the set of all proper, lower semicontinuous and convex functions $\phi$ from $E$ into $(-\infty,+\infty]$, where the "proper" means $\phi \not \equiv \infty$. For each $\phi \in \Phi(E)$, the effective domain $D(\phi)$ of $\phi$ is given as follows:

$$
D(\phi):=\{u \in E ; \phi(u)<\infty\}
$$

and the subdifferential operator $\partial_{E} \phi: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}} ; u \mapsto \partial_{E} \phi(u)$ of $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\partial_{E} \phi(u):=\left\{\xi \in E^{*} ; \phi(v)-\phi(u) \geq\langle\xi, v-u\rangle \text { for all } v \in D(\phi)\right\}
$$

with the domain $D\left(\partial_{E} \phi\right):=\left\{u \in D(\phi) ; \partial_{E} \phi(u) \neq \emptyset\right\}$. It is well known that every subdifferential operator is maximal monotone (see, e.g., [21], [15]).

Now, let $H$ be a Hilbert space whose dual space $H^{*}$ is identified with itself, and define the subdifferential operator $\partial_{H} \phi: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$ of $\phi \in \Phi(H)$ as follows:

$$
\partial_{H} \phi(u):=\left\{\xi \in H ; \phi(v)-\phi(u) \geq(\xi, v-u)_{H} \text { for all } v \in D(\phi)\right\}
$$

with the domain $D\left(\partial_{H} \phi\right):=\left\{u \in D(\phi) ; \partial_{H} \phi(u) \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}$ denotes the inner product of $H$. Then since $\partial_{H} \phi$ becomes maximal monotone, for $\lambda>0$, one can define the resolvent $J_{\lambda}: H \rightarrow D\left(\partial_{H} \phi\right)$ and the Yosida approximation $\left(\partial_{H} \phi\right)_{\lambda}: H \rightarrow H$ of $\partial_{H} \phi$ by

$$
J_{\lambda}:=\left(I+\lambda \partial_{H} \phi\right)^{-1}, \quad\left(\partial_{H} \phi\right)_{\lambda}:=\left(I-J_{\lambda}\right) / \lambda,
$$

where $I$ stands for the identity mapping of $H$. Furthermore, for $\lambda>0$, the MoreauYosida regularization $\phi_{\lambda}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $\phi \in \Phi(H)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}(u):=\inf _{v \in H}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \lambda}|u-v|_{H}^{2}+\phi(v)\right\} \quad \text { for all } u \in H \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition provides fine properties of resolvents, Yosida approximations and Moreau-Yosida regularizations in $H$ (see [18] for its proof).

Proposition 2.2. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $\phi \in \Phi(H)$. Then $\phi_{\lambda}$ is a Fréchet differentiable convex function from $H$ into $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the infimum in (2.1) is attained by $J_{\lambda} u$, where $J_{\lambda}$ denotes the resolvent of $\partial_{H} \phi$, i.e.,

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left|u-J_{\lambda} u\right|_{H}^{2}+\phi\left(J_{\lambda} u\right)=\frac{\lambda}{2}\left|\left(\partial_{H} \phi\right)_{\lambda}(u)\right|_{H}^{2}+\phi\left(J_{\lambda} u\right) .
$$

Furthermore, the following (i)-(iii) hold.
(i) $\partial_{H}\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)=\left(\partial_{H} \phi\right)_{\lambda}$, where $\partial_{H}\left(\phi_{\lambda}\right)$ is the subdifferential (Fréchet derivative) of $\phi_{\lambda}$.
(ii) $\phi\left(J_{\lambda} u\right) \leq \phi_{\lambda}(u) \leq \phi(u)$ for all $u \in H$ and $\lambda>0$.
(iii) $\phi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow \phi(u)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0_{+}$for all $u \in H$.

Finally, we recall the chain rule for subdifferential operators in a Banach space setting, and it also plays important roles to deal with evolution problems (see [32], [23], [5]). Throughout this paper, for each $p \in(1, \infty)$, we denote by $p^{\prime}$ the Hölder conjugate of $p$, i.e., $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space and let $p \in(1, \infty)$. Let $\phi \in \Phi(E)$ and let $u \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; E)$ be such that $u(t) \in D\left(\partial_{E} \phi\right)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Suppose that there exists $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; E^{*}\right)$ such that $g(t) \in \partial_{E} \phi(u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Then the function $t \mapsto \phi(u(t))$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{I}:=\{t \in$ $[0, T] ; u(t) \in D\left(\partial_{E} \phi\right), u$ and $\phi(u(\cdot))$ are differentiable at $\left.t\right\}$. Then the set $[0, T] \backslash \mathcal{I}$ is negligible, i.e., its Lebesgue measure is zero, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \phi(u(t))=\left\langle h, u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \quad \text { for every } h \in \partial_{E} \phi(u(t)) \text { and } t \in \mathcal{I} .
$$

## 3 Main results

Let $V$ and $V^{*}$ be a real reflexive Banach space and its dual space, let $H$ be a real Hilbert space whose dual space $H^{*}$ is identified with itself such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \hookrightarrow H \equiv H^{*} \hookrightarrow V^{*} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with continuous and densely defined canonical injections. Here we set

$$
C_{H}:=\sup _{u \in V \backslash\{0\}} \frac{|u|_{H}}{|u|_{V}}>0
$$

Let $\psi^{t}, \varphi \in \Phi(V)$ and let $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}$ and $\partial_{V} \varphi$ be the subdifferential operators of $\psi^{t}$ and $\varphi$, respectively, for every $t \in[0, T]$ with $T>0$. Moreover, let $B$ be a mapping from $(0, T) \times V$ into $2^{V^{*}}$. We consider the following Cauchy problem.

$$
(\mathrm{CP})\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))+B(t, u(t)) \ni f(t) \text { in } V^{*}, \quad 0<t<T \\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:(0, T) \rightarrow V^{*}$ and $u_{0} \in V$ are given data. Here and henceforth, we are concerned with strong solutions of (CP) defined as follows.

Definition 3.1. For each $S \in(0, T]$, a function $u \in C([0, S] ; V)$ is said to be a strong solution of (CP) on $[0, S]$, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $u$ is a $V$-valued absolutely continuous function on $[0, S]$;
(ii) $u(0)=u_{0}$;
(iii) $u(t) \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right), u^{\prime}(t) \in D\left(\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\right)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$, and there exist sections $\eta(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), \xi(t) \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))$ and $g(t) \in B(t, u(t))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t)+\xi(t)+g(t)=f(t) \quad \text { in } V^{*} \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, S) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) the function $t \mapsto \varphi(u(t))$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, S]$.

Before describing our main results, let us introduce assumptions on $\psi^{t}, \varphi$ and $B$ for $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $T>0$. We first give assumptions on the coercivity and the boundedness of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}: V \rightarrow V^{*}$ as follows.
(A1) There exist constants $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2} \geq 0$ such that

$$
C_{1}|u|_{V}^{p} \leq \psi^{t}(u)+C_{2} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] \text { and } u \in D\left(\psi^{t}\right) .
$$

(A2) There exist a constant $C_{3} \geq 0$ and $m_{1} \in L^{1}(0, T)$ such that

$$
|\eta|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{3} \psi^{t}(u)+m_{1}(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \text { and all }[u, \eta] \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t} .
$$

Here we give a proposition, which will be used later.
Proposition 3.2. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and suppose that (A2) is satisfied. In addition, we assume that there exists a function $w:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}:=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{|w(t)|_{V}+\left|\psi^{t}(w(t))\right|\right\}<+\infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following (A2)' holds true:
$(\mathrm{A} 2)^{\prime}$ For all $\zeta \in(0,1)$, there exists $N_{\zeta} \in L^{1}(0, T)$ depending only on $\zeta, p, C_{3}, m_{1}, \mu_{0}$ such that

$$
(1-\zeta) \psi^{t}(u) \leq\langle\eta, u\rangle+N_{\zeta}(t) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] \text { and }[u, \eta] \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t} .
$$

In particular, if $m_{1} \equiv 0$ and $\mu_{0}=0$, then $N_{\zeta} \equiv 0$ for any $\zeta$; hence $\psi^{t}(u) \leq\langle\eta, u\rangle$.
Proof. Let $t \in[0, T]$ and $[u, \eta] \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}$ be fixed. By the definition of subdifferentials, it then follows that

$$
\psi^{t}(u)-\psi^{t}(w(t)) \leq\langle\eta, u-w(t)\rangle \leq\langle\eta, u\rangle+|\eta|_{V^{*}}|w(t)|_{V}
$$

for each $t \in[0, T]$. By (A2) and Young's inequality, for any $\zeta \in(0,1)$, there exists a constant $C_{\zeta} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\psi^{t}(u) \leq\langle\eta, u\rangle+\zeta \psi^{t}(u)+\zeta \frac{m_{1}(t)}{C_{3}}+C_{\zeta} \sup _{t \in[0, T]}|w(t)|_{V}^{p}+\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \psi^{t}(w(t))
$$

Hence setting $N_{\zeta}(t):=\zeta m_{1}(t) / C_{3}+C_{\zeta} \mu_{0}^{p}+\mu_{0}$, we obtain (A2) ${ }^{\prime}$, and moreover, we also notice that $N_{\zeta} \equiv 0$ if $m_{1} \equiv 0$ and $\mu_{0}=0$.

Remark 3.3. Mielke and Theil [37] studied the rate-independent processes generated by some energy formulation of doubly nonlinear evolution equations with dissipation functionals $\psi$ homogeneous of degree 1, i.e., $\psi(\alpha u)=\alpha \psi(u)$ for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $u \in V$. Unfortunately, our framework cannot handle their setting, which corresponds to the case $p=1$ in our assumptions, since this case is excluded.

We write $\left\{\psi^{t}\right\}_{t \in[0, T]} \in \Phi\left(V,[0, T] ; \alpha, \beta, \ell_{0}\right)$ for functions $\alpha, \beta:(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a non-decreasing function $\ell_{0}$ on $[0, \infty$ ) if the following (i) and (ii) are satisfied:
(i) $\psi^{t} \in \Phi(V)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$;
(ii) there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that for all $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ and $v_{0} \in D\left(\psi^{t_{0}}\right)$, we can take a function $v: I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right):=\left[t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}+\delta\right] \cap[0, T] \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v(t)-v_{0}\right|_{V} & \leq\left|\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \ell_{0}\left(\left|\psi^{t_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right|+\left|v_{0}\right|_{V}\right) \\
\psi^{t}(v(t)) & \leq \psi^{t_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)+\left|\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \ell_{0}\left(\left|\psi^{t_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right|+\left|v_{0}\right|_{V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right)$.
Particularly, (ii) ensures a smooth movement of the graph for $\psi^{t}$ in $t$, and this type of assumptions was well studied by several authors (see, e.g., $[32,33]$ ) to treat timedependent subdifferential operators. Then our third assumption reads,
(A3) There exist functions $\alpha, \beta \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ and a non-decreasing function $\ell_{0}$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\psi^{t}\right\}_{t \in[0, T]} \in \Phi\left(V,[0, T] ; \alpha, \beta, \ell_{0}\right)$.

Remark 3.4. The assumption (A3) ensures that the function $t \mapsto \psi^{t}(u(t))$ is measurable in $(0, T)$ whenever $u \in L^{1}(0, T ; V)$, and moreover, by (A3) one can always take a function $w:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ satisfying (3.3) (see [8] and [32]).

Suppose that (A3) is satisfied and define $\Psi: L^{p}(0, T ; V) \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ by

$$
\Psi(u):= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} \psi^{t}(u(t)) d t & \text { if }\left[t \mapsto \psi^{t}(u(t))\right] \in L^{1}(0, T) \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\Psi \in \Phi\left(L^{p}(0, T ; V)\right)$. Moreover, by Proposition 1.1 of [32], we can assure that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta \in \partial_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \Psi(u) \quad \text { if } \quad u \in L^{p}(0, T ; V), \eta \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \text { and }[u(t), \eta(t)] \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t} \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) .
\end{align*}
$$

As to $\varphi$, we employ the following compactness condition.
$(\Phi 1)$ There exist a reflexive Banach space $X$ and a non-decreasing function $\ell_{1}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $X$ is compactly embedded in $V$ and

$$
|u|_{X} \leq \ell_{1}\left(\varphi(u)+|u|_{H}\right) \quad \text { for all } u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) .
$$

We next introduce assumptions on the non-monotone operator $B$. Condition (B1) $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}$ provides some growth condition for $B(t, \cdot): V \rightarrow V^{*}$ with a constant $\varepsilon>0$. Condition (B2) can be regarded as a condition on the compactness and the closedness for the operator $\mathcal{B}: u \mapsto B(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ in the sense of multivalued operators. Moreover, to treat multi-valued operators $B:(0, T) \times V \rightarrow V^{*}$, we also impose (B3) so that the operator $\mathcal{B}$ will be well defined and convex-valued in a proper Bochner-Lebesgue space (see also Remark 3.5).
$(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon} D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \subset D(B(t, \cdot))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. There exist $m_{2}^{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and a nondecreasing function $\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following:

$$
|g|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon|\xi|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma}+\left.\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|\right|_{2} ^{\varepsilon}\left(|\varphi(u)|+|u|_{V}\right), \quad \sigma:=\min \left\{2, p^{\prime}\right\}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right), g \in B(t, u)$ and $\xi \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u)$.
(B2) Let $S \in(0, T]$ and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ be sequences in $C([0, S] ; V)$ and $L^{\sigma}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ with $\sigma:=\min \left\{2, p^{\prime}\right\}$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } C([0, S] ; V), \quad\left[u_{n}(t), \xi_{n}(t)\right] \in \partial_{V} \varphi \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, S), \\
& \sup _{t \in[0, S]}\left|\varphi\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|+\int_{0}^{S}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{S}\left|\xi_{n}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma} d t \text { is bounded for all } n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
g_{n}(t) \in B\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, S), \quad g_{n} \rightarrow g \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)
$$

Then $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is precompact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ and $g(t) \in B(t, u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$.
(B3) Let $S \in(0, T]$ and let $u \in C([0, S] ; V) \cap W^{1, p}(0, S ; H)$ be such that $\sup _{t \in[0, S]}|\varphi(u(t))|<$ $+\infty$. Suppose that there exists $\xi \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ such that $\xi(t) \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Then there exists a $V^{*}$-valued strongly measurable function $g$ such that $g(t) \in B(t, u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Moreover, the set $B(t, u)$ is convex for all $t \in(0, T)$ and $u \in D(B(t, \cdot))$.

Here we give a couple of remarks on $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}-(\mathrm{B} 3)$.
REMARK 3.5. (i) Let us show a couple of simpler (but more restrictive) alternatives to (B2).
(B2) ${ }_{1} B(t, u)=B(u)$ is single-valued and locally uniformly continuous from $V$ into $V^{*}$.

If (B2) is assumed, then for any sequence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $C([0, S] ; V)$, it follows that $g_{n}(t):=B\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \rightarrow B(u(t))$ strongly in $V^{*}$ uniformly on $[0, T]$. Hence (B2) follows. However, this condition could be somewhat restrictive in applications to PDEs.
(B2) ${ }_{2}$ For each $S \in(0, T)$, the operator $\mathcal{B}: u \mapsto B(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ is single-valued, continuous and compact from $L^{\infty}(0, S ; X) \cap W^{1, p}(0, S ; H)$ into $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$.

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in the assumption of (B2). Then by ( $\Phi 1$ ), we find that ( $u_{n}$ ) is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0, S ; X) \cap W^{1, p}(0, S ; H)$. Hence by (B2) ${ }_{2}$, up to a subsequence, we have $g_{n}:=B\left(\cdot, u_{n}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow B(\cdot, u)$ strongly in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$.
(ii) Condition (B3) is not necessary to be assumed under ( $\Phi 1$ ) and (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}$ if $X$ is separable and $B$ is single-valued and $\mathfrak{M}(0, T) \times \mathfrak{B}(X)$-measurable, where $\mathfrak{M}(0, T)$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets on $(0, T)$ and $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ is the Borel tribe generated by $X$. Indeed, the function $t \mapsto B(t, u(t))$ is $\mathfrak{M}(0, T)$-measurable for any $\mathfrak{M}(0, T)$-measurable function $u:(0, T) \rightarrow X$. Hence by $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}$, we deduce that $\mathcal{B}(u)$ belongs to $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$, provided that $u$ satisfies all assumptions in (B3). Moreover, $B(t, u)$ is always convex.
(iii) Suppose that both $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}$ and ( $\Phi 1$ ) are satisfied. Then we get, by ( $\Phi 1$ ),

$$
|u|_{V} \leq C|u|_{X} \leq C \ell_{1}\left(|\varphi(u)|+|u|_{H}\right)
$$

Hence we can derive the following (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ from $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}$ by putting $\ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}(x):=\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}(x+$ $\left.C \ell_{1}(x)\right)$.
$(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \subset D(B(t, \cdot))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. There exist $m_{2}^{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and a non-decreasing function $\ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following:

$$
|g|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon|\xi|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma}+\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(|\varphi(u)|+|u|_{H}\right), \quad \sigma:=\min \left\{2, p^{\prime}\right\}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right), g \in B(t, u)$ and $\xi \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u)$.
Hence we use $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ instead of $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}$ to prove main results stated below.
Now, our result on the local (in time) existence is stated as follows:
Theorem 3.6 (Local existence). Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $T>0$ be given. Suppose that (A1)(A3), ( $\Phi 1$ ), ( B 1$)_{\varepsilon}-(\mathrm{B} 3)$ are all satisfied with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ (the smallness of $\varepsilon$ is determined only from $p, C_{1}, C_{3}$ and $\left.C_{H}\right)$. Then, for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D(\varphi)$, there exists $T_{*}=T_{*}\left(\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}+\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) \in(0, T]$ such that (CP) admits at least one strong solution $u \in W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{*} ; V\right)$ on $\left[0, T_{*}\right]$ satisfying

$$
\eta, \xi, g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right), \quad \varphi(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T_{*}\right)
$$

where $\eta(t), \xi(t)$ and $g(t)$ denote the sections of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), \partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))$ and $B(t, u(t))$, respectively, as in (3.2) for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{*}\right)$.

A proof of Theorem 3.6 will be given in Sections 4 and 5; its outline will be also shown at the beginning of $\S 4$.

As for the global (in time) existence, we have:
Theorem 3.7 (Global existence). Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $T>0$ be fixed. Suppose that (A1)-(A3), ( $\Phi 1$ ), (B2), (B3) and the following (B4) ${ }_{\varepsilon}$ are satisfied with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ (the smallness of $\varepsilon$ is determined only from $p, C_{1}, C_{3}$ and $C_{H}$ ).
$(\mathrm{B} 4)_{\varepsilon} D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \subset D(B(t, \cdot))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. There exists $m_{3}^{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}(0, T)$ satisfying the following:

$$
|g|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon|\xi|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma}+\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|\left\{|\varphi(u)|+|u|_{V}^{p}+1\right\}, \quad \sigma:=\min \left\{2, p^{\prime}\right\}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right), g \in B(t, u)$ and $\xi \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u)$.
Then, for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D(\varphi)$, there exists a strong solution $u \in$ $W^{1, p}(0, T ; V)$ of $(\mathrm{CP})$ on $[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta, \xi, g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right), \quad \varphi(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}(0, T), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(t), \xi(t)$ and $g(t)$ denote the sections of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), \partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))$ and $B(t, u(t))$, respectively, as in (3.2) for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$.

Furthermore, the global existence is assured for small data $u_{0}$ and $f$ in a proper sense by employing the following (B5) and (B6) $)_{\varepsilon}$ instead of (B4) $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}$.
(B5) There exist a positive constant $C_{4}$ and non-decreasing functions $\ell_{i}(i=4,5)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{s \rightarrow+0} \ell_{i}(s)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{4} \varphi(u) \leq\langle\xi+g, u\rangle+\ell_{4}(\varphi(u)) \varphi(u),  \tag{3.6}\\
& |u|_{V}^{p} \leq \ell_{5}(\varphi(u)) \varphi(u), \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right), \xi \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u), g \in B(t, u)$.
$(\mathrm{B} 6)_{\varepsilon}$ There exists a non-decreasing function $\ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{s \rightarrow+0} \ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}(s)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon|\xi|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}(\varphi(u)) \varphi(u) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \cap D(B(t, \cdot)), \xi \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u), g \in B(t, u)$.
Theorem 3.8 (Global existence for small data). Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $T>0$ be fixed. Suppose that $\psi^{t}(0) \equiv 0$, (A1)-(A3), ( $\Phi 1$ ), (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}-(\mathrm{B} 3)$ and (B5), (B6) $)_{\varepsilon}$ are all satisfied with $C_{2}=0, m_{1} \equiv 0$ and a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ (the smallness of $\varepsilon$ is determined only from $p, C_{1}, C_{3}$ and $\left.C_{H}\right)$. Then there exists $\delta>0$ independent of $T$ such that for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D(\varphi)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\star}+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)<\delta$, where $\|f\|_{\star}$ is given by

$$
\|f\|_{\star}:= \begin{cases}\sup _{t \in[1, T]} \int_{t-1}^{t}|f(\tau)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d \tau & \text { if } 1 \leq T  \tag{3.9}\\ \int_{0}^{T}|f(\tau)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d \tau & \text { if } 0<T<1\end{cases}
$$

the Cauchy problem (CP) admits a strong solution $u \in W^{1, p}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ on $[0, T]$ and (3. 5) holds true.

REmARK 3.9. We can assume that $\psi^{t} \geq 0$ and $\varphi \geq 0$ without any loss of generality in our proofs of the main results. Indeed, putting $\overline{\hat{\psi}}^{t}:=\psi^{t}+C_{2}$ and using (A1), we find that $\hat{\psi}^{t} \geq 0, D\left(\hat{\psi}^{t}\right)=D\left(\psi^{t}\right), D\left(\partial_{V} \hat{\psi}^{t}\right)=D\left(\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\right)$ and $\partial_{V} \hat{\psi}^{t}=\partial_{V} \psi^{t}$. As for $\varphi$, from the fact that $\varphi \in \Phi(V)$ and $(\Phi 1)$, the extension by infinity $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\varphi$ onto $H$ (see also (4.1) below) belongs to $\Phi(H)$. Hence there exist $u^{*} \in H$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(u) \geq\left(u^{*}, u\right)_{H}+\mu$ for all $u \in H$ (see, e.g., Proposition 2.1 of [15, p. 51]). Thus we have $\hat{\varphi}(u):=\varphi(u)-\left(u^{*}, u\right)_{H}-\mu \geq 0$ for all $u \in V$, and moreover, it holds that $D(\hat{\varphi})=D(\varphi)$, $D\left(\partial_{V} \hat{\varphi}\right)=D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right)$ and $\partial_{V} \hat{\varphi}=\partial_{V} \varphi-u^{*}$. Therefore the evolution equation of (CP) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\partial_{V} \hat{\psi}^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{V} \hat{\varphi}(u(t))+B(t, u(t)) \ni \hat{f}(t):=f(t)-u^{*} .
$$

Moreover, (A1)-(A3), (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}-(\mathrm{B} 4)_{\varepsilon}$ and ( $\Phi 1$ ) are all satisfied with $\psi^{t}$ and $\varphi$ replaced by $\hat{\psi}^{t}$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ respectively. In particular, if (B5) is satisfied, it then follows from (3.7) that $\varphi \geq 0$ without any replacement of $\varphi$.

In the rest of this paper, we denote by $C$ a non-negative constant, which does not depend on the elements of the corresponding space or set and may vary from line to line.

## 4 Approximate problems for (CP)

Our proof of Theorem 3.6 is divided into two steps. In the first step, we propose approximate problems for ( CP ) and construct their solutions by employing Kakutani-Fan's fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings. To do so, we first define the extension of $\varphi$ onto $H$ as follows:

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(u):= \begin{cases}\varphi(u) & \text { if } u \in V  \tag{4.1}\\ +\infty & \text { if } u \in H \backslash V\end{cases}
$$

Then the assumption ( $\Phi 1$ ) yields $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Phi(H)$. We now introduce approximate problems for (CP) as follows:

$$
(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda u^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))+B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u(t)\right) \ni f(t) \text { in } V^{*}, \quad 0<t<T \\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $J_{\lambda}$ and $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}$ denote the resolvent and the Yosida approximation of $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}$ respectively. Before discussing the existence of strong solutions for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}$, we first prove in $\S 4.1$ the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for the following unperturbed problems with an arbitrary function $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ :

$$
(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda u^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))+g(t) \ni f(t) \text { in } V^{*}, \quad 0<t<T \\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We next define the solution operator $\mathcal{S}_{T}: L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right) \rightarrow W^{1, p}(0, T ; V)$, which maps $g$ into the unique strong solution $u$ of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ on $[0, T]$. In order to prove the existence of local (in time) strong solutions for (CP) ${ }_{\lambda}$, we find a fixed point of the mapping $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}: L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right) \rightarrow 2^{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)} ; g \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g$ given by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g:=\left\{h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right) ; h(t) \in B\left(t, J_{\lambda}\left(\mathcal{S}_{T_{0}} g\right)(t)\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

for some $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ independent of $\lambda$. Indeed, for every fixed point $g_{*}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$, the strong solution $u_{*}:=\mathcal{S}_{T_{0}} g_{*}$ of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{*}}$ satisfies $B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u_{*}(t)\right) \ni g_{*}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. Hence $u_{*}$ also becomes a strong solution of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}$ on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. The detail of our proof for the existence of fixed points of $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$ will be given in $\S 4.2$.

The second step is devoted to the limiting procedure of strong solutions $u_{\lambda}$ for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow+0$. To do so, we establish a priori estimates for $u_{\lambda}$ (see $\S 5$ ).

Remark 4.1. For the case where $V=V^{*}=H$ is a Hilbert space (see [11]), one can more easily prove the uniqueness of strong solutions for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$. Indeed, $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ can be rewritten into

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\left(\lambda I+\partial_{H} \psi^{t}\right)^{-1}\left(f(t)-g(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))\right) \quad \text { in } H, \quad 0<t<T
$$

and we observe that the mapping $u \mapsto\left(\lambda I+\partial_{H} \psi^{t}\right)^{-1}\left(f(t)-g(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u)\right)$ becomes Lipschitz continuous in $H$ for every $t \in[0, T]$. Hence the uniqueness of strong solutions follows immediately. However, for the case where $V$ is not a Hilbert space, the mapping $\left(\lambda I+\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\right)^{-1}: V^{*} \rightarrow V$ is no longer Lipschitz continuous.

### 4.1 Unperturbed problem

In this subsection, the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions are proved for the unperturbed problems $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$.
THEOREM 4.2. Let $T>0$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$ be fixed. Suppose that (A1)-(A3) and ( $\Phi 1$ ) are satisfied. Then, for each $\lambda \in(0, \infty), f, g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D(\varphi)$, the Cauchy problem $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ admits a unique strong solution $u \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; H)$ on $[0, T]$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda} u(\cdot) \in C([0, T] ; V) \cap W^{1, p}(0, T ; H), \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}(0, T), \quad \eta \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)
$$

where $\eta(t)$ denotes the section of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ such that $\lambda u^{\prime}(t)+\eta(t)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))+g(t)=$ $f(t)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$.

Proof. We first prove the uniqueness part. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be strong solutions for (CP) $)_{\lambda, g}$ on $[0, T]$ and put $w:=u_{1}-u_{2}$. We then see that

$$
\lambda w^{\prime}(t)+\eta_{1}(t)-\eta_{2}(t)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}(t)\right)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}(t)\right) \ni 0
$$

where $\eta_{i}(t):=f(t)-g(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{i}(t)\right)-\lambda u_{i}^{\prime}(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)(i=1,2)$. Multiplying this by $w^{\prime}(t)$, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\left\langle\eta_{1}(t)-\eta_{2}(t), w^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=-\left(\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}(t)\right)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}(t)\right), w^{\prime}(t)\right)_{H} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}|w(t)|_{H}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}$, we have

$$
\lambda\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}|w(t)|_{H}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{d}{d t}|w(t)|_{H} \leq\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}|w(t)|_{H}
$$

Therefore integrating this over $(0, t)$, we get

$$
|w(t)|_{H} \leq|w(0)|_{H}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \int_{0}^{t}|w(\tau)|_{H} d \tau \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T]
$$

which together with Gronwall's inequality implies

$$
|w(t)|_{H} \leq|w(0)|_{H} \exp \left(\frac{t}{\lambda^{2}}\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Thus the uniqueness of strong solutions follows, provided that $\lambda>0$.
Remark 4.3. Several criteria have been provided for the uniqueness of solutions in [24] and [23] (see also [37]). However, (CP) $)_{\lambda, g}$ could not be classified into their categories. We emphasize that $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ is truly doubly nonlinear, i.e., both operators acting on $u(t)$ and $u^{\prime}(t)$, respectively, are nonlinear and not self-adjoint, but its solution is unique.

As for the existence of strong solutions for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$, we further introduce the following approximate problems in $H$ :

$$
(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{n}}^{H}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda u_{n}^{\prime}(t)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\psi}^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)+g_{n}(t) \ni f_{n}(t) \text { in } H, \quad 0<t<T, \\
u_{n}(0)=u_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\tilde{\psi}^{t}$ denotes the extension of $\psi^{t}$ onto $H$ defined as in (4.1), and $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ are approximate sequences in $C([0, T] ; H)$ such that

$$
f_{n} \rightarrow f \text { and } g_{n} \rightarrow g \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Here we remark that (A1) implies $\tilde{\psi}^{t} \in \Phi(H)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, so that $\partial_{H} \tilde{\psi}^{t}$ becomes maximal monotone in $H$. Then $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{n}}^{H}$ can be rewritten into

$$
u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=F_{n}\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right), \quad u_{n}(0)=u_{0}
$$

with the mapping $F_{n}:[0, T] \times H \rightarrow H$ defined by

$$
F_{n}:(t, u) \mapsto\left(\lambda I+\partial_{H} \tilde{\psi}^{t}\right)^{-1}\left(f_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u)\right) .
$$

Then since $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}$ and $\left(\lambda I+\partial_{H} \tilde{\psi}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ are Lipschitz continuous in $H$, so is $F_{n}(t, \cdot)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. By Lemma 2.9 of [8], we can deduce from (A3) that the function $t \mapsto F_{n}(t, u)$ is continuous in $[0, T]$ for all $u \in H$. Hence the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions $u_{n} \in C^{1}([0, T] ; H)$ for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{n}}^{H}$ on $[0, T]$ are ensured by Cauchy-LipschitzPicard's existence theorem with obvious modifications (see, e.g., Corollary 1.1 of [20]). Furthermore, as in [8, p. 694], we can prove that $u^{\prime}$ is a $V$-valued weakly continuous function on $[0, T]$.

We next establish a priori estimates for $u_{n}$ in the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. There exists a constant $M \geq 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all strong solutions $u_{n}$ of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{n}}^{H}$ on $[0, T]$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \quad \leq M\left\{\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+C_{2} T+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+\| f_{n}-\left.g_{n}\right|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)} ^{p^{\prime}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with a constant $M=M\left(p, C_{1}\right)$ depending only on $p$ and $C_{1}$.
Proof. Multiplying $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{n}}^{H}$ by $u_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ and using Proposition 2.3, we get

$$
\lambda\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\left\langle\eta_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle+\frac{d}{d t} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)=\left\langle f_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle,
$$

where $\eta_{n}(t):=f_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)-\lambda u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \in \partial_{H} \tilde{\psi}^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \subset \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)$, for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Then, by virtue of (A2)' with $\zeta=1 / 2$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \leq N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)+c_{0}\left(\left|f_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+C_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(p, C_{1}\right)$ depending only on $p$ and $C_{1}$. Thus

$$
\lambda\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \leq N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)+c_{0}\left(\left|f_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+C_{2}\right) .
$$

Integrating this over $(0, t)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right|_{H}^{2} d \tau+\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{t} \psi^{\tau}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau+\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+c_{0}\left(\left\|f_{n}-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+C_{2} T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$, since Proposition 2.2 gives $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}\right) \leq \tilde{\varphi}\left(u_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)$.
Lemma 4.5. There exist constants $C$ and $C_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|_{V}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V}^{p} d t & \leq C,  \tag{4.3}\\
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t & \leq C,  \tag{4.4}\\
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\eta_{n}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t & \leq C,  \tag{4.5}\\
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|_{H} & \leq C_{\lambda}, \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\lambda$, but $C_{\lambda}$ may not.

Proof. By (A1) and (4. 2), we get $\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V}^{p} d t \leq C$. Moreover, we note that

$$
\left|u_{n}(t)\right|_{V}=\left|u_{0}\right|_{V}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left|u_{n}(\tau)\right|_{V} d \tau \leq\left|u_{0}\right|_{V}+\int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right|_{V} d \tau
$$

which implies (4.3).
Since the resolvent $J_{\lambda}$ is non-expansive in $H$, it follows that $\left|J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t+h)-J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H} \leq$ $\left|u_{n}(t+h)-u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}$ for all $t, t+h \in[0, T]$, which implies

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \leq C
$$

Moreover, as in the proof of (4. 3), we also derive that $\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H} \leq C$.
By virtue of the assumption (A2),

$$
\left|\eta_{n}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{3} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)+m_{1}(t)
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Thus (4. 2) also implies (4. 5).
Moreover, since $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}$ is Lipschitz continuous in $H$, we can deduce that

$$
\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|_{H} \leq C_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}+1\right),
$$

which together with (4.3) yields (4. 6).
From these a priori estimates, we can derive the following convergences.
Lemma 4.6. There exist a subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\{n\}, u \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; H)$ and $\eta \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(4.7) & u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow u & \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; H), \\
(4.8) & \eta_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \eta & \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right), \\
(4.9) & \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot)) & \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; H), \\
(4.10) & J_{\lambda} u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot) \rightarrow J_{\lambda} u(\cdot) & \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}(0, T ; H), \tag{4.11}
\end{array}
$$

Hence we have $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ and $\lambda u^{\prime}(t)+\eta(t)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))+g(t)=f(t)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, there exist $u \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; H)$ and $\eta \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ such that (4.7)-(4.8) hold, and moreover, there exist $\xi \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)$ and $v \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; H)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow \xi & \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; H),  \tag{4.12}\\
J_{\lambda} u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot) \rightarrow v & \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}(0, T ; H) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.4, we see that

$$
\varphi\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right) \leq \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \leq C\left\{\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+1+\left\|f_{n}-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}}^{p^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

for each $t \in[0, T]$, which together with (4.4) and ( $\Phi 1$ ) implies that $\left\{J_{\lambda} u_{n}(\cdot)\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0, T ; X) \cap W^{1, p}(0, T ; H)$. Therefore since $X$ is compactly embedded in $V$, and $V$ is continuously embedded in $H$, Theorem 5 of [50] ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda} u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot) \rightarrow v \quad \text { strongly in } C([0, T] ; V) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence since $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \in \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right)$, by Proposition 1.1 of [32] and Proposition 2.1 of Section 2, we can derive from (4.12) and (4.14) that $\xi(t) \in \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}(v(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$.

Now, it remains to prove that $v(t)=J_{\lambda} u(t)$ and $\xi(t)=\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. To this end, from the definition of resolvents and Yosida approximations (see §2), we have $J_{\lambda} u_{n^{\prime}}(t)+\lambda \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n^{\prime}}(t)\right)=u_{n^{\prime}}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Passing to the limit as $n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, we can deduce that $v(t)+\lambda \xi(t)=u(t)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$, and therefore, since $\xi(t) \in \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}(v(t))$, we can deduce that $v(t)=J_{\lambda} u(t)$ and $\xi(t)=\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.3, it follows that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot))=\left(\xi(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right)_{H} \in L^{1}(0, T)
$$

which implies $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$.
We next verify that the limit $u$ satisfies the initial condition $u(0)=u_{0}$, and moreover, the pointwise convergence of $u_{n^{\prime}}$ at each $t \in[0, T]$ is also derived in the following lemma.
Lemma 4.7. The limit $u$ of $u_{n^{\prime}}$ obtained in Lemma 4.6 by choosing the subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ satisfies

$$
u(t) \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { strongly in } V \text { as } t \rightarrow+0 .
$$

Furthermore, for each $t \in[0, T]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n^{\prime}}(t) \rightarrow u(t) \quad \text { weakly in } V \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (4. 3), for any $q \in(1, \infty)$, we can take a subsequence $\left\{n^{q}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $u_{n^{q}} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $L^{q}(0, t ; V)$ for all $t \in(0, T)$. Hence we have, by (4.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(0, t ; V)} & \leq \liminf _{n^{q} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n^{q}}-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(0, t ; V)} \\
& =\liminf _{n^{q} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} u_{n^{q}}^{\prime}(s) d s\right|_{V}^{q} d \tau\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{q+p^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / q} t^{1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $q \in(1, \infty)$. Therefore passing to the limit as $q \rightarrow \infty$, since $u \in C([0, T] ; V)$, we can deduce that, for each $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left|u(t)-u_{0}\right|_{V} \leq \sup _{\tau \in[0, t]}\left|u(\tau)-u_{0}\right|_{V}=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(0, t ; V)} \leq C t^{1 / p^{\prime}}
$$

which implies that $u(t) \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $V$ as $t \rightarrow+0$.

Moreover, since $u(0)=u_{n^{\prime}}(0)=u_{0}$, we get, by (4.7),

$$
\left\langle w, u_{n^{\prime}}(t)-u(t)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle w, u_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\tau)-u^{\prime}(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for all } w \in V^{*} \text { and } t \in[0, T]
$$

which implies (4. 15).
Finally, we prove that $\eta(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ to close our proof of Theorem 4.2. Multiply $\eta_{n}(t)$ by $u_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ and integrate this over $(0, T)$. By Proposition 2.3, it then follows from $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g_{n}}^{H}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\eta_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t-\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(T)\right)+\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}\right)-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\eta_{n^{\prime}}(t), u_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f(t)-g(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t-\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(T))+\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}\right)-\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f(t)-g(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))-\lambda u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\eta(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (4.7) and (4.8) implies $\eta \in \partial_{L^{p}(0, T ; V)} \Psi\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ (see Proposition 2.1). Consequently, we can deduce from (3.4) that $\left[u^{\prime}(t), \eta(t)\right] \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. This completes our proof for Theorem 4.2.

### 4.2 Perturbed problem

This subsection is devoted to proving the existence of local (in time) strong solutions for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}$. As was mentioned in the beginning of Section 4, we shall obtain a fixed point $g_{*}$ of the mapping $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}: L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right) \rightarrow 2^{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)}$ for some $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ independent of $\lambda$ by using the following Kakutani-Fan's fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings (see Corollary 2 to Theorem 6.3 of [22, p. 75] for more detail):

Proposition 4.8. Let $K$ be a non-empty compact convex subset of a locally convex topological vector space $E$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an upper semicontinuous mapping from $K$ into $2^{E}$ such that $\mathcal{T} x$ is a closed convex subset of $E$ and $\mathcal{T} x \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ has a fixed point $x_{*} \in K$, that is, $\mathcal{T} x_{*} \ni x_{*}$.

We also emphasize that $T_{0}$ is independent of $\lambda$, and this fact plays a crucial role in the limiting process, which will be described in $\S 5$.

Now our goal of this subsection is the following:

Theorem 4.9. Let $T>0$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$ be fixed. Suppose that (A1)-(A3), ( $\Phi 1$ ) and $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}-(\mathrm{B} 3)$ are all satisfied with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ (the smallness of $\varepsilon$ is determined only from $p, C_{1}, C_{3}$ and $\left.C_{H}\right)$. Then for any $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in D(\varphi)$, there exists $T_{0}=T_{0}\left(\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}\right)>0$ such that for each $\lambda \in(0,1]$, the Cauchy problem $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}$ admits at least one strong solution $u \in W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{0} ; V\right) \cap$ $W^{1,2}\left(0, T_{0} ; H\right)$ on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda} u(\cdot) \in C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right] ; V\right) \cap W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{0} ; H\right) \\
& \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T_{0}\right), \quad \eta, g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(t)$ and $g(t)$ stand for the sections of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ and $B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u(t)\right)$, respectively, such that $\lambda u^{\prime}(t)+\eta(t)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))+g(t)=f(t)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Repeating the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we can immediately derive the following lemma.
Lemma 4.10. There exists a constant $M \geq 0$ such that for all $S \in(0, T]$ and $g \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$, every strong solution $u$ of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ on $[0, S]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{0}^{S}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{S} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))  \tag{4.16}\\
& \quad \leq M\left\{\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+C_{2} S+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{L^{1}(0, S)}+\|f-g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with a constant $M=M\left(p, C_{1}\right)$ depending only on $p$ and $C_{1}$.
By Theorem 4.2, (B1) ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and (B3), we can assert that $\mathcal{F}_{S} g$ is non-empty for every $S \in(0, T]$ and $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$. We next prove the closedness of the graph of $\mathcal{F}_{S}$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$.
Lemma 4.11. Let $S \in(0, T]$ be arbitrarily given. Let $\left[g_{n}, h_{n}\right] \in \mathcal{F}_{S}$ be such that $g_{n} \rightarrow g$ and $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ strongly in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then it follows that $[g, h] \in \mathcal{F}_{S}$.

Proof. Let $u_{n}:=\mathcal{S}_{S} g_{n}$ and let $\eta_{n}(t):=f(t)-g_{n}(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)-\lambda u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)$. Then by Lemma 4.10, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{0}^{S}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{S} \psi^{t}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)  \tag{4.17}\\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+1+\left\|f-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}\right\} \leq C
\end{align*}
$$

which also implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, S]}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|_{V}+\int_{0}^{S}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V}^{p} d t \leq C, \sup _{t \in[0, S]}\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|_{H} \leq C_{\lambda},  \tag{4.18}\\
& \int_{0}^{S}\left|\eta_{n}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t \leq C,  \tag{4.19}\\
& \sup _{t \in[0, S]}\left|J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}+\int_{0}^{S}\left|\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \leq C . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by $(\Phi 1)$, the sequence $\left\{J_{\lambda} u_{n}(\cdot)\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0, S ; X)$. Thus just as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, by virtue of Theorem 5 of [50], there exists a subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $J_{\lambda} u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot) \rightarrow J_{\lambda} u(\cdot)$ strongly in $C([0, S] ; V)$ as $n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, and moreover, we can also obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow u & \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}(0, S ; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, S ; H), \\
u_{n^{\prime}}(t) \rightarrow u(t) & \text { weakly in } V \text { for each } t \in[0, S], \\
\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(\cdot)) & \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, S ; H), \\
J_{\lambda} u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot) \rightarrow J_{\lambda} u(\cdot) & \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}(0, S ; H), \\
\eta_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \eta & \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $u \in W^{1, p}(0, S ; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, S ; H)$ and $\eta \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$. Furthermore, since $g_{n} \rightarrow g$ strongly in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$, we get $\eta(t)=f(t)-g(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))-\lambda u^{\prime}(t) \in$ $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Therefore $u$ becomes a strong solution of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ on $[0, S]$. Hence we have $u=\mathcal{S}_{S} g$.

Now we recall the assumptions that $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ strongly in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ and $h_{n}(t) \in$ $B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Therefore noting that (4.17) gives

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \varphi\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right) \leq \sup _{t \in[0, S]} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \leq C
$$

and that $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \in \partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right) \subset \partial_{V} \varphi\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right)$, we can derive that $h(t) \in$ $B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$ from (4. 18), (4. 20) and (B2). Consequently, we can deduce that $[g, h] \in \mathcal{F}_{S}$.

Thus we have:
Lemma 4.12. The following (i)-(iii) hold true.
(i) Let $R \geq\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}}^{p^{\prime}}+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|m_{1}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+T\left(C_{2}+1\right)$ be fixed. Then there exists a constant $T_{0}=T_{0}\left(\|f\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}+R+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}\right) \in(0, T]$ independent of $\lambda \in(0,1]$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}} \subset B_{R}^{T_{0}}$, where

$$
B_{R}^{T_{0}}:=\left\{g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right) ; \int_{0}^{T_{0}}|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t \leq R\right\}
$$

(ii) Let $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}:=\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}}\right)}$ be the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}}$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} Q_{R}^{T_{0}} \subset Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$, and $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ is compact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$;
(iii) The restriction of $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$ to $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ is an upper-semicontinuous mapping from $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ into $2^{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)}$.

Proof. Proof of (i). Let $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ be a number which will be determined later. Let $g \in B_{R}^{T_{0}}$ and let $u=\mathcal{S}_{T_{0}} g$, i.e., $u$ is a strong solution of $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda, g}$ on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. We can then derive from ( CP$)_{\lambda, g}$ that

$$
\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))\right|_{V^{*}} \leq|f(t)|_{V^{*}}+|g(t)|_{V^{*}}+\lambda\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}+|\eta(t)|_{V^{*}}
$$

where $\eta(t):=f(t)-g(t)-\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))-\lambda u^{\prime}(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$. Putting $\sigma:=\min \left\{2, p^{\prime}\right\}$, we get, by (A2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))\right|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma} d t \\
& \leq c_{1}\{ \\
& \left\{\int_{0}^{T_{0}}|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t+\int_{0}^{T_{0}}|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t+\lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\left|m_{1}\right|_{L^{1}\left(0, T_{0}\right)}+T_{0}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}=c_{1}\left(p, C_{3}, C_{H}\right)$ is a constant depending only on $p, C_{3}$ and $C_{H}$.
Let $h \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g$ be arbitrarily given, that is, $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$ and $h(t) \in B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. Since $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t)) \in \partial_{V} \varphi\left(J_{\lambda} u(t)\right)$, by (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and Lemma 4.10, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T_{0}}|h(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t \\
& \leq \varepsilon c_{1}\{ \\
&\left\{\int_{0}^{T}|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t+\int_{0}^{T}|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t+\lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\left|m_{1}\right|_{L^{1}\left(0, T_{0}\right)}+T_{0}\right\} \\
&+\left(\int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t\right) \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]}\left\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(u(t))+\left|J_{\lambda} u(t)\right|_{H}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon c_{2}\left\{\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+R+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|m_{1}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right| L_{L^{1}(0, T)}+T\left(C_{2}+1\right)\right\} \\
&+\left(\int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t\right) \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(C\left\{\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+R+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}+1\right\}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{2}=c_{2}\left(p, C_{1}, C_{3}, C_{H}\right)$ is a constant depending only on $p, C_{1}, C_{3}$ and $C_{H}$. Here we also remark that the constant $C$ above is independent of $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and $T_{0}$. We set $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon c_{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\varepsilon c_{2}\left\{\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}}^{p^{\prime}}+R+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|m_{1}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+T\left(C_{2}+1\right)\right\} \leq R / 2$. Since $m_{2}^{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}(0, T)$, we can take $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ independent of $\lambda$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T_{0}}\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t\right) \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(C\left\{\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}+R+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}+1\right\}\right) \leq R / 2
$$

It then follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{T_{0}}|h(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t \leq R
$$

which proves (i).
Proof of (ii). Since $B_{R}^{T_{0}}$ is convex and closed in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$, (i) gives

$$
Q_{R}^{T_{0}}:=\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}}\right)} \subset \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(B_{R}^{T_{0}}\right)}=B_{R}^{T_{0}} .
$$

Hence it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} Q_{R}^{T_{0}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}} \subset Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$.
It now remains to prove that $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ is compact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$. To this end, we claim that $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}}$ is precompact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$. Indeed, let $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}}$. Then (i) implies that $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$. We can take a sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ in $B_{R}^{T_{0}}$ such that $h_{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g_{n}$, i.e., $h_{n}(t) \in B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u_{n}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$, where $u_{n}:=\mathcal{S}_{T_{0}} g_{n}$. Since $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$, by Lemma 4.10, we can derive that $\left\{J_{\lambda} u_{n}(\cdot)\right\}$ and $\left\{\varphi\left(J_{\lambda} u_{n}(\cdot)\right)\right\}$ are bounded in $W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{0} ; H\right)$ and $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$, respectively, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $\left\{J_{\lambda} u_{n}(\cdot)\right\}$ is precompact in $C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right] ; V\right)$. Moreover, by (CP) $\lambda_{\lambda, g_{n}}$, we find that $\left\{\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}(\cdot)\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\sigma}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$. Thus (B2) implies that $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ is precompact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$, and so is $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} B_{R}^{T_{0}}$. Therefore by Mazur's theorem (see, e.g, (C.4) Theorem of $[29$, p. 603] $), Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ becomes compact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$.

Proof of (iii). Applying Lemma 4.11 with $g_{n} \equiv g$ and $S=T_{0}$, we can deduce that the set $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g$ is closed in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$. Hence by virtue of Lemma 4.11 and the following proposition (see Proposition 6.2 of [22, p. 77] for its proof), it follows from (ii) that $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$ is upper semicontinuous from $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ into $2^{Q_{R}^{T_{0}}}$.
Proposition 4.13. Let $K$ and $K_{1}$ be two compact topological spaces, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a mapping from $K$ into $2^{K_{1}}$ such that $\mathcal{T} x$ is closed for each $x \in K$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is uppersemicontinuous from $K$ into $2^{K_{1}}$ if and only if the graph of $\mathcal{T}$ is a closed subset in $K \times K_{1}$.

Furthermore, since the topology of $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ is induced by $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$, it also holds true that $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$ is upper semicontinuous from $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ into $2^{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)}$.

Now, let $g \in Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ be fixed. Then for arbitrary $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$, we have $(1-\theta) h_{1}+\theta_{2} h_{2} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$, and moreover, by (B3), we see $(1-\theta) h_{1}(t)+\theta h_{2}(t) \in$ $B\left(t, J_{\lambda}\left(S_{T_{0}} g\right)(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. Hence it follows that $(1-\theta) h_{1}+\theta h_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g$, which implies that the set $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g$ is convex. Therefore by Lemma 4.12, we can apply Proposition 4.8 to the mapping $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$ restricted to $Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$, so that there exists a fixed point $g_{*} \in Q_{R}^{T_{0}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$, i.e., $g_{*} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{0}} g_{*}$. This completes our proof of Theorem 4.9.

## 5 Convergence of approximate solutions

In this section, the convergence of strong solutions $u_{\lambda} \in W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{0} ; V\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0, T_{0} ; H\right)$ for $(\mathrm{CP})_{\lambda}$ on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ is derived by establishing a priori estimates. Here we recall the fact that $T_{0}$ is independent of $\lambda$ (see Lemma 4.12). By Theorem 4.9, for each $\lambda \in(0,1]$, there exist $g_{\lambda}, \eta_{\lambda} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0} ; V^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)+\eta_{\lambda}(t)+\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+g_{\lambda}(t)=f(t) \quad \text { in } V^{*}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \eta_{\lambda}(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad g_{\lambda}(t) \in B\left(t, J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Throughout this section, every constant denoted by $C$ will be independent of $\lambda$. Since $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right) \in \partial_{V} \varphi\left(J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$, by (A2), (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and (5.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|g_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)\right|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma}+\left.\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|\right|_{3} ^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon c_{3}\left\{|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\left|g_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\lambda^{2}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+1\right\} \\
&+\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with some constant $c_{3}=c_{3}\left(p, C_{3}, C_{H}\right)$ depending only on $p, C_{3}$ and $C_{H}$. We can then deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\varepsilon c_{3}\right)\left|g_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon c_{3}\left\{|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\lambda^{2}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+1\right\} \\
& \quad+\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now multiplying (5.1) by $u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)$ and using (A2)' with $\zeta=1 / 2$ and (A1), we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \leq N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)+\left|g_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V}+|f(t)|_{V^{*}}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V} \\
& \quad \leq N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)+c_{4}\left(\left|g_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{C_{2}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $c_{4}=c_{4}\left(p, C_{1}\right)$ depending only on $p$ and $C_{1}$. Moreover, fixing $\varepsilon$ so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon c_{3} c_{4}}{1-\varepsilon c_{3}} \leq \frac{1}{8} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda}{2}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} \psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
& \quad \leq \quad C\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+1\right) \\
& \quad+C\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. Here by (A1), we note that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H} \leq\left|\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H} \leq\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H} \leq C_{H}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V} \leq \frac{1}{8} \psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)+C
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+1\right)+C\left|m_{2}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| \ell_{3}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$.
Here we employ the following standard fact on an ordinary differential inequality:

Proposition 5.1. Let $T>0$, let $\rho, m \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and let $\phi$ be an absolutely continuous function from $[0, T]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d t}(t) \leq \rho(t)+|m(t)| \ell(\phi(t)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some non-decreasing function $\ell$ on $[0, \infty)$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{*}\right]} \phi(t) \leq \phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+1 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $T_{*} \in(0, T]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T_{*}}|m(t)| d t \leq \frac{1}{1+\ell\left(\phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+1\right)} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the convenience of the reader, we briefly give a proof.
Proof. It's sufficient to consider the case where there exists $T_{0} \in(0, T]$ such that $\phi(t)<$ $\phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+1$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right)$ and $\phi\left(T_{0}\right)=\phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+1$. Since $m \in L^{1}(0, T)$, we can take $T_{*} \in(0, T]$ satisfying (5.8). Set $T_{1}:=\min \left\{T_{0}, T_{*}\right\} \in(0, T]$. Then integrating (5. 6) over $(0, t)$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right]} \phi(t) & \leq \phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1}}|m(t)| d t\right) \ell\left(\phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+1\right) \\
& <\phi(0)+|\rho|_{L^{1}(0, T)}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $T_{1}<T_{0}$. Therefore we can deduce that (5. 7) holds with $T_{*}$ satisfying (5. 8).

Therefore by Propositions 2.2 and 5.1, we can take $T_{*}=T_{*}\left(\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H}+\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) \in$ $\left(0, T_{0}\right]$ independent of $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{*}\right]}\left\{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\left|J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}\right\} \leq C . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, integrating (5.5) over $\left(0, T_{*}\right)$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T_{*}} \psi^{t}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \leq C, \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (A1) and (A2) also implies

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V}^{p} d t \leq C, \quad \int_{0}^{T_{*}} \mid \eta_{\lambda}(t)\right)\left.\right|_{V^{*}} ^{p^{\prime}} d t \leq C
$$

Moreover, it follows from (5.3) and (5.1) that

$$
\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|g_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t \leq C, \quad \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)\right|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma} d t \leq C
$$

with $\sigma=\min \left\{2, p^{\prime}\right\}$.
Therefore we can obtain the following convergences by taking a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow+0$. There exist $u \in W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{*} ; V\right), \eta, g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right)$ and $\xi \in L^{\sigma}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\lambda_{n}} \rightarrow u & \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}\left(0, T_{*} ; V\right), \\
\eta_{\lambda_{n}} \rightarrow \eta & \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right), \\
g_{\lambda_{n}} \rightarrow g & \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right), \\
\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow \xi & \text { weakly in } L^{\sigma}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right), \\
\lambda_{n} u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{*} ; H\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we also find that $\xi=f-\eta-g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right)$. Furthermore, since $\left\{u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(0, T_{*} ; V\right)$, it follows that $\sqrt{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{p}\left(0, T_{*} ; V\right)$. Hence by (5. 10), we can assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{*} ; H\right) \tag{5,11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \leq C \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by (5.9) and ( $\Phi 1$ ), Theorem 5 of [50] implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}} \rightarrow v \quad \text { strongly in } C\left(\left[0, T_{*}\right] ; V\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $v \in C\left(\left[0, T_{*}\right] ; V\right)$. Furthermore, we can also prove $v=u$ by using the definition of $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}$ and the fact that $\left\{\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}(\cdot)\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\sigma}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right)$. Since $\partial_{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}(t)\right) \in \partial_{V} \varphi\left(J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{*}\right)$, by Proposition 1.1 of [32] and Proposition 2.1, we assert that

$$
\xi(t) \in \partial_{V} \varphi(u(t)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, T_{*}\right)
$$

which also yields that $\varphi(u(\cdot)) \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T_{*}\right)$ and $d \varphi(u(t)) / d t=\left\langle\xi(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle$ for a.e. $t \in$ $\left(0, T_{*}\right)$. Moreover, we can also deduce from (B2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{\lambda_{n}} \rightarrow g \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{*} ; V^{*}\right), \\
& g(t) \in B(t, u(t)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, T_{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we claim that $\eta(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{*}\right)$. Indeed, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\langle & \left.\eta_{\lambda_{n}}(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle f(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t-\lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t-\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\left(T_{*}\right)\right)+\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{0}\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle g_{\lambda_{n}}(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle f(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t-\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|\sqrt{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t-\varphi\left(J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}\left(T_{*}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle g_{\lambda_{n}}(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle\eta_{\lambda_{n}}(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle f(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left|\sqrt{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{2} d t \\
& -\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}\left(T_{*}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle g_{\lambda_{n}}(t), u_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle f(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t-\varphi\left(u\left(T_{*}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle g(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T_{*}}\left\langle f(t)-\xi(t)-g(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\eta(t)=f(t)-\xi(t)-g(t) \in \partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{*}\right)$.
Finally, we check the initial condition, $u(0)=u_{0}$. We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u(t)-u_{0}\right|_{H} \leq & \left|u(t)-J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}(t)\right|_{H}+\left|J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}(t)-J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{0}\right|_{H}+\left|J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{0}-u_{0}\right|_{H} \\
\leq & C_{H} \sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{*}\right]}\left|u(t)-J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}(t)\right|_{V}+\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\frac{d}{d \tau} J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{\lambda_{n}}(\tau)\right|_{H}^{p} d \tau\right)^{1 / p} t^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& +\left|J_{\lambda_{n}} u_{0}-u_{0}\right|_{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can deduce from (5.12) and (5.13) that

$$
\left|u(t)-u_{0}\right|_{H} \leq C t^{1 / p^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+0 .
$$

Thus from the fact that $u \in C\left(\left[0, T_{*}\right] ; V\right)$, we also conclude that $u(t) \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $V$ as $t \rightarrow+0$. Consequently, $u$ becomes a strong solution of (CP) on $\left[0, T_{*}\right]$, and our proof of Theorem 3.6 is complete.

## 6 Global existence

In this section, we give proofs of Theorems 3.7 and 3.8.

### 6.1 Proof of Theorem 3.7

Let $S \in(0, T]$ and let $u$ be a strong solution of (CP) on $[0, S]$. In this proof, every constant denoted by $C$ is independent of $S$. Multiplying (CP) by $u^{\prime}(t)$ and using (A1) and (A2)' with $\zeta=1 / 2$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)-N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)+\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(u(t)) \leq c_{4}\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{C_{2}}{4}
$$

where $g(t)$ denotes the section of $B(t, u(t))$ as in (3. 2), for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Now, by $(\mathrm{B} 4)_{\varepsilon}$, we see that

$$
|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon|\xi(t)|_{V^{*}}^{\sigma}+\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|\left\{\varphi(u(t))+|u(t)|_{V}^{p}+1\right\}
$$

where $\xi(t)$ denotes the section of $\partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))$ as in (3.2), and moreover, as in (5.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\varepsilon c_{5}\right)|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq & \varepsilon c_{5}\left\{|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+1\right\} \\
& +\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|\left\{\varphi(u(t))+|u(t)|_{V}^{p}+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with some constant $c_{5}=c_{5}\left(p, C_{3}\right)$ depending only on $p$ and $C_{3}$. Hence choosing $\varepsilon>0$ so small that

$$
\frac{\varepsilon c_{5}}{1-\varepsilon c_{5}} \leq \frac{1}{8 c_{4}}
$$

we can derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq & C\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|+1\right) \\
& +C\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|\left\{\varphi(u(t))+|u(t)|_{V}^{p}\right\}+\frac{1}{8 c_{4}} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Furthermore, by (A1), we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}|u(t)|_{V}^{p} & =p|u(t)|_{V}^{p-1} \frac{d}{d t}|u(t)|_{V} \\
& \leq p|u(t)|_{V}^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{V} \leq C|u(t)|_{V}^{p}+\frac{1}{8} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{C_{2}}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\varphi(u(t))+|u(t)|_{V}^{p}\right\} \leq & C\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\left|m_{1}(t)\right|+\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|+\left|N_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right|+1\right) \\
& +C\left(\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|+1\right)\left\{\varphi(u(t))+|u(t)|_{V}^{p}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $t \in(0, S)$. Hence integrating this over ( $0, t$ ) and applying Gronwall's inequality, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, S]}\left\{\varphi(u(t))+|u(t)|_{V}^{p}\right\} \leq C \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $C$ independent of $S$.
We find that $(\mathrm{B} 4)_{\varepsilon}$ implies $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ with $m_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ replaced by $m_{3}^{\varepsilon}$. By virtue of Theorem 3.6, there exists a strong solution $u$ of $(\mathrm{CP})$ on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ for some $T_{0} \in(0, T]$, and moreover, (6. 1) holds with $S=T_{0}$. In case $T_{0}=T$, we obtain our desired conclusion. In case $T_{0}<T$, recall the proof of Theorem 3.6 (particularly, the choice of $T_{0}$ and $T_{*}$ ) and note that the function $I \subset[0, T] \mapsto \int_{I}\left|m_{3}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t$ is absolutely continuous by $m_{3}^{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}(0, T)$. Then due to (6. 1), we can extend $u$ onto $[0, T]$ as a strong solution of (CP), by using Theorem 3.6.

### 6.2 Proof of Theorem 3.8

We first prepare the following lemma (see Lemma 4.4 of [6] for its proof).

Lemma 6.1. Let $T>0$, let $\rho \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and let $\phi$ be a non-negative absolutely continuous function from $[0, T]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d t}(t)+\alpha \phi^{q-1}(t) \leq K|\rho(t)| \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0, K>0$ and $q>1$. Let $r>0$ and suppose that $\phi(0) \leq r$ and $\|\rho\| \leq r^{q-1}$, where $\|\rho\|$ is given by

$$
\|\rho\|:= \begin{cases}\sup _{t \in[1, T]} \int_{t-1}^{t}|\rho(\tau)| d \tau & \text { if } 1 \leq T \\ \int_{0}^{T}|\rho(\tau)| d \tau & \text { if } 0<T<1\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists a non-decreasing function $M_{\alpha, K, q}(\cdot)$ on $[0, \infty)$ depending only on $\alpha, K, q$ such that

$$
\phi(t) \leq M_{\alpha, K, q}(r) r \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Now, we proceed to prove Theorem 3.8. We first fix $\varepsilon>0$ satisfying (6. 10), which will be given later, and assume $(\mathrm{A} 6)_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\lim _{s \rightarrow+0} \ell_{i}(s)=0$ for each $i=4,5$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow+0} \ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}(s)=0$, we next choose $\delta_{0}>0$ satisfying (6.5) and (6.13), which will be stated below.

Let $S \in(0, T]$ and let $u$ be a strong solution of (CP) on $[0, S]$ with $u_{0}$ and $f$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left\||f(\cdot)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}\right\|<\delta \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an enough small constant $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$, which will be determined by ( 6.14 ) and will not depend on $S$ and $T$. Then we shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \varphi(u(t)) \leq \delta_{0} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by contradiction. Assume $\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \varphi(u(t))>\delta_{0}$, so that there exists $T_{1} \in(0, S)$ such that $\varphi\left(u\left(T_{1}\right)\right)=\delta_{0}$ and $\varphi(u(t))<\delta_{0}$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right)$.

Set $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{4}\left(\delta_{0}\right)<\frac{C_{4}}{2}, \quad \ell_{5}\left(\delta_{0}\right)<\frac{C_{4} p}{8} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (B5), it then follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{C_{4}}{2} \varphi(u(t)) & \leq\langle\xi(t)+g(t), u(t)\rangle  \tag{6.6}\\
|u(t)|_{V}^{p} & \leq \frac{C_{4} p}{8} \varphi(u(t)) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi(t)$ and $g(t)$ stand for the sections of $\partial_{V} \varphi(u(t))$ and $B(t, u(t))$, respectively, as in (3. 2), for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{C_{4}}{2} \varphi(u(t)) & \leq\langle f(t)-\eta(t), u(t)\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+|\eta(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{2}{p}|u(t)|_{V}^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+|\eta(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{C_{4}}{4} \varphi(u(t)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(t)$ denotes the section of $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ as in (3.2). Thus (A2) with $m_{1} \equiv 0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{4}}{4} \varphi(u(t)) \leq \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\left\{|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+C_{3} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right) . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\psi^{t}(0) \equiv 0$ and $m_{1} \equiv 0$, we can take $N_{\zeta} \equiv 0$ in (A2)'. Hence multiplying (CP) by $u^{\prime}(t)$ and using (A2) with $\zeta=0$ and (A1) with $C_{2}=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(u(t)) \leq c_{6}\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{6}=c_{6}\left(p, C_{1}\right)$ is a constant depending only on $p$ and $C_{1}$. Moreover, we get, by (3. 8) and (A2) with $m_{1} \equiv 0$,

$$
\left(1-\varepsilon c_{7}\right)|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon c_{7}\left(|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+C_{3} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)+\ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}\left(\delta_{0}\right) \varphi(u(t))
$$

where $c_{7}=3^{p^{\prime}-1}$, for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. Now, fixing $\varepsilon$ so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\varepsilon c_{7}}{1-\varepsilon c_{7}} \leq \frac{1}{4 c_{6} C_{3}} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(hence, the smallness of $\varepsilon$ depends only on $p, C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \frac{1}{4 c_{6} C_{3}}|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{4 c_{6}} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon c_{7}} \ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}\left(\delta_{0}\right) \varphi(u(t)) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. Hence (6.9) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(u(t))  \tag{6.12}\\
& \quad \leq\left(c_{6}+\frac{1}{4 C_{3}}\right)|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{c_{6}}{1-\varepsilon c_{7}} \ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}\left(\delta_{0}\right) \varphi(u(t))
\end{align*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$.
Therefore adding (6.8) multiplied by $p^{\prime} /\left(4 C_{3}\right)$ to (6. 12), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(u(t))+2 \alpha \varphi(u(t)) \\
& \quad \leq K|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+\frac{c_{6}}{1-\varepsilon c_{7}} \ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}\left(\delta_{0}\right) \varphi(u(t))+\frac{1}{4} \psi^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\alpha:=\frac{p^{\prime} C_{4}}{32 C_{3}}, \quad K:=\left(c_{6}+\frac{1}{2 C_{3}}\right)
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. Hence we can deduce that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(u(t))+\alpha \varphi(u(t)) \leq K|f(t)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)
$$

since $\delta_{0}>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}\left(\delta_{0}\right)<\alpha \frac{1-\varepsilon c_{7}}{c_{6}} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Lemma 6.1, since $u_{0}$ and $f$ satisfies (6.3) with an enough small constant $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$ determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha, K, 2}(\delta) \delta<\frac{\delta_{0}}{2} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\varphi(u(t))<\frac{\delta_{0}}{2} \quad \text { for all } t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right]
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\varphi\left(u\left(T_{1}\right)\right)=\delta_{0}$. Hence (6.4) follows.
Thus since $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta$ are independent of $S$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we can prove the existence of strong solutions of (CP) on $[0, T]$.

## 7 Applications to nonlinear PDEs

In this section, we apply the preceding abstract theory to doubly nonlinear parabolic equations.

### 7.1 Doubly nonlinear parabolic equations of degenerate type

In this subsection, we treat doubly nonlinear parabolic equations of degenerate type, for which (1.7) is a typical example, and we finally provide sufficient conditions for the existence of local and global (in time) solutions of the initial-boundary value problems. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$. We first deal with the following initial-boundary value problem,

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(x, t, u_{t}(x, t)\right)-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, \nabla u(x, t))+g(x, t, u(x, t)) \ni & f(x, t)  \tag{7.1}\\
(x, t) & \in \Omega \times(0, T),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{7.2}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with functions $\alpha: \Omega \times(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{a}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}, g: \Omega \times(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u_{0}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To discuss the existence of solutions for the above initial-boundary value problem, we introduce the following assumptions for $p \in[2, \infty)$.
(H1) (i) There exists a function $j: \Omega \times[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

- $j(x, t, \cdot) \in \Phi(\mathbb{R})$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in[0, T]$,
- $\partial_{\mathbb{R}} j(x, t, \cdot)=\alpha(x, t, \cdot)$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$,
- $j(\cdot, t, r)$ is continuous in $\Omega$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) For each $v \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, the function $j(\cdot, t, v(\cdot))$ is measurable in $\Omega$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, and there exists a function $\eta: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(\cdot, t) \in \alpha(\cdot, t, v(\cdot))$ and $\eta(\cdot, t)$ is measurable in $\Omega$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Furthermore, for all $t \in[0, T]$, there exists $v_{0} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that $j\left(\cdot, t, v_{0}(\cdot)\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
(iii) There exist $\rho, \sigma \in W^{1,1}(0, T), b_{1} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and a constant $\delta>0$ with the following property: for all $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ and $r_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a function $\pi: I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right):=\left[t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}+\delta\right] \cap[0, T]$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\pi\left(t ; x, r_{0}\right)-r_{0}\right| & \leq\left|\rho(t)-\rho\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left\{j\left(x, t_{0}, r_{0}\right)+b_{1}(x)\right\}^{1 / p} \\
j\left(x, t, \pi\left(t ; x, r_{0}\right)\right) & \leq j\left(x, t_{0}, r_{0}\right)+\left|\sigma(t)-\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left\{j\left(x, t_{0}, r_{0}\right)+b_{1}(x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right)$, and $\pi(t ; \cdot, v(\cdot))$ is measurable in $\Omega$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $v \in L^{p}(\Omega)$.
(H2) There exist a constant $C_{5} \geq 0, a_{1} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and $a_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ such that the following (i), (ii) hold.
(i) $|r|^{p} \leq C_{5} j(x, t, r)+a_{1}(x) \quad$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $(t, r) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) $|\eta|^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{5} j(x, t, r)+a_{2}(x, t) \quad$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta \in \alpha(x, t, r)$.
(H3) (i) There exists a function $\phi=\phi(x, \mathbf{p}): \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(\cdot, \mathbf{p})$ is measurable in $\Omega$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \phi(x, \cdot)$ is convex and Fréchet differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and its derivative $\partial_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi(x, \cdot)$ coincides with $\mathbf{a}(x, \cdot)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
(ii) For all $\mathbf{v} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the function $\mathbf{a}(\cdot, \mathbf{v}(\cdot))$ is measurable in $\Omega$. Moreover, there exists $\mathbf{v}_{0} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\phi\left(\cdot, \mathbf{v}_{0}(\cdot)\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
There exist constants $m>1, C_{6} \geq 0$ and $a_{3}, b_{2} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that
(iii) $|\mathbf{p}|^{m} \leq C_{6} \phi(x, \mathbf{p})+a_{3}(x) \quad$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(iv) $|\mathbf{a}(x, \mathbf{p})|^{m^{\prime}} \leq C_{6} \phi(x, \mathbf{p})+b_{2}(x) \quad$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(H4) (i) There exist constants $q>1+1 / p^{\prime}, C_{7} \geq 0$ and $a_{4} \in L^{1}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ such that $|g(x, t, r)|^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{7}|r|^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}+a_{4}(x, t) \quad$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) The function $g=g(x, t, r)$ is a Carathéodory function in $\Omega \times(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., measurable in ( $x, t$ ) and continuous in $r$ ).

Remark 7.1. (i) By (i) of (H3), we can deduce that $\phi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Hence $\phi(\cdot, \mathbf{v}(\cdot))$ becomes measurable in $\Omega$ for each measurable function $\mathbf{v}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(ii) Let us give simple examples of functions $\alpha$ which satisfy (H1) and (H2) with $p \geq 2$. The following is concerned with the case where $\alpha$ is single-valued:

$$
\alpha(x, t, r)=k(x, t)|r|^{p-2} r,
$$

where $k$ is an absolutely continuous function from $[0, T]$ into $C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $k(x, t) \geq k_{0}>0$ for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T]$ with a positive number $k_{0}$.

As for the case where $\alpha$ is multi-valued, we give

$$
\alpha(x, t, r)= \begin{cases}\left\{|r-c(t)|^{p-2}(r-c(t))\right\} & \text { if } 1<|r-c(t)|, \\ \left\{\frac{r-c(t)}{|r c(t)|}\right\} & \text { if } 0<|r-c(t)| \leq 1, \\ {[-1,1]} & \text { if } r=c(t)\end{cases}
$$

with $c \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$.
(iii) Typical examples of $\mathbf{a}(x, \mathbf{p})$ and $g(x, t, r)$ satisfying (H3) and (H4) are $\mathbf{a}(x, \mathbf{p})=$ $|\mathbf{p}|^{m-2} \mathbf{p}$ and $g(x, t, r)=\lambda(x, t)|r|^{q-2} r$ with $\lambda \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ respectively. Then $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, \nabla u(x))$ coincides with $\Delta_{m} u(x):=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{m-2} \nabla u(x)\right)$, where $\Delta_{m}$ is the so-called $m$-Laplacian.

We are concerned with solutions of the initial-boundary value problem (7. 1)-(7. 3) defined as follows:

Definition 7.2. For each $T>0$, a function $u: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a solution of the initial-boundary value problem (7. 1)-(7. 3) on $[0, T]$ if the following conditions are all satisfied:

- $u \in W^{1, p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)\right)$;
- there exists a function $\eta \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $\eta(x, t) \in \alpha\left(x, t, u_{t}(x, t)\right)$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$;
- it holds that div a $(\cdot, \nabla u(\cdot, t)), g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot, t)) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\eta(x, t)-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, \nabla u(x, t))+g(x, t, u(x, t))=f(x, t)
$$

for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$;

- $u(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow+0$.

To apply the preceding abstract theory to (7. 1)-(7. 3), we suppose that

$$
2 \leq p<m^{*}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{N m}{N-m} & \text { if } m<N,  \tag{7.4}\\
+\infty & \text { if } m \geq N
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad q<\frac{m^{*}}{p^{\prime}}+1\right.
$$

Moreover, set $X:=W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)$ with the norm $|\cdot|_{X}:=|\nabla \cdot|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}$ and set $V:=L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $H:=L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then $V$ is continuously and densely embedded in $H$, and by the Rellich-Kondrachov compact embedding theorem, $X$ is compactly embedded in $V$.

Define the operator $B:(0, T) \times V \rightarrow V^{*}$ by

$$
B(t, u):=g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot)) \quad \text { for all } t \in(0, T) \text { and } u \in D(B(t, \cdot))
$$

with the domain $D(B(t, \cdot)):=\left\{u \in V ; g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot)) \in V^{*}\right\}$. By (H4) and (7. 4), we then infer that $X \subset L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega) \cap V \subset D(B(t, \cdot))$ for each $t \in(0, T)$. Furthermore, we also define the functions $\psi^{t}, \varphi: V \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ by

$$
\psi^{t}(u):= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega} j(x, t, u(x)) d x & \text { if } j(\cdot, t, u(\cdot)) \in L^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{7.5}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for every $t \in[0, T]$, and

$$
\varphi(u):= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega} \phi(x, \nabla u(x)) d x & \text { if } u \in X \text { and } \phi(\cdot, \nabla u(\cdot)) \in L^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{7.6}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, by (H1), it follows that $\psi^{t} \in \Phi(V)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$; by (H1) and (H2), $D\left(\psi^{t}\right)=V$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Since $j(\cdot, t, r)$ is upper semicontinuous in $\Omega$ for each $(t, r) \in(0, T) \times \Omega$, we can prove $\partial_{V} \psi^{t}(u)=\alpha(\cdot, t, u(\cdot))$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\right)$ by modifying the proof of Proposition 1.1 of [32], and moreover, $D\left(\partial_{V} \psi^{t}\right)=V$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. By (H3), we have $\varphi \in \Phi(V)$ and $D(\varphi)=X$, and moreover, the restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{X}$ of $\varphi$ to $X$ becomes Gâteaux differentiable in $X$ and its derivative $\partial_{X}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{X}\right)(u)$ at $u$ coincides with - div a $(\cdot, \nabla u(\cdot))$ in the sense of distributions. Hence since $\partial_{V} \varphi(u)=\partial_{X}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{X}\right)(u)$ for each $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right)$, the subdifferential $\partial_{V} \varphi(u)$ of $\varphi$ at $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right)$ also coincides with - div a $(\cdot, \nabla u(\cdot))$. Therefore the initial-boundary value problem (7.1)-(7. 3) is transcribed into the Cauchy problem (CP).

Furthermore, we prepare the following lemma.
Lemma 7.3. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $C^{1}$ boundary $\partial \Omega$.
(i) If (H1) and (H2) are satisfied for some $p \in[2, \infty)$, then (A1)-(A3) hold.
(ii) If (H3), (H4) and (7.4) are satisfied for some $p \in[2, \infty)$, then $(\Phi 1)$ and $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}-(\mathrm{B} 3)$ hold for any $\varepsilon>0$.

Proof. Proof of (i). Both (A1) and (A2) are immediately derived from (H2). Let $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ and $v_{0} \in D\left(\psi^{t_{0}}\right)$ be fixed. Define a function $v: \Omega \times I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $v(x, t):=$ $\pi\left(t ; x, v_{0}(x)\right)$. Then $v(\cdot, t)$ is measurable in $\Omega$ for each $t \in I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v(x, t)-v_{0}(x)\right| & \leq\left|\rho(t)-\rho\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left\{j\left(x, t_{0}, v_{0}(x)\right)+b_{1}(x)\right\}^{1 / p} \\
j(x, t, v(x, t)) & \leq j\left(x, t_{0}, v_{0}(x)\right)+\left|\sigma(t)-\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left\{j\left(x, t_{0}, v_{0}(x)\right)+b_{1}(x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Hence $v(\cdot, t) \in V$ for all $t \in I_{\delta}\left(t_{0}\right)$, and $j(\cdot, t, v(\cdot, t))$ is measurable in $\Omega$ by (H1), and moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v(\cdot, t)-v_{0}\right|_{V} & \leq\left|\rho(t)-\rho\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left\{\psi^{t_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)+\left|b_{1}\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\right\}^{1 / p} \\
\psi^{t}(v(\cdot, t)) & \leq \psi^{t_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)+\left|\sigma(t)-\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left\{\psi^{t_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)+\left|b_{1}\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (A3).
Proof of (ii). By (iii) of (H3), we can derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|_{L^{m}(\Omega)}^{m} \leq C_{6} \varphi(u)+\left|a_{3}\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \quad \text { for all } u \in X \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence ( $\Phi 1$ ) follows since $X$ is compactly embedded in $V$ by (7. 4). As for (B1) $)_{\varepsilon}$, we obtain, by (H4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& |B(t, u)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}=|g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot))|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{7}|u|_{L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)(\Omega)}}^{p^{\prime}(\Omega-1)}+\left|a_{4}(\cdot, t)\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}  \tag{7.8}\\
& \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \text { and all } u \in D(B(t, \cdot)) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

By (7. 4) and (7. 7),

$$
\begin{align*}
& |B(t, u)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq C\left\{\varphi(u)^{p^{\prime}(q-1) / m}+\left|a_{3}\right|_{L^{\prime}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}(q-1) / m}\right\}+\left|a_{4}(\cdot, t)\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}  \tag{7.9}\\
& \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \text { and all } u \in D(\varphi),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.
By virtue of Theorem 1.27 of [45], the mapping

$$
u \mapsto g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot)) ; \quad L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow V^{*}
$$

becomes continuous for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Moreover, the mapping

$$
t \mapsto g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot)) ; \quad(0, T) \rightarrow V^{*}
$$

is strongly measurable in $(0, T)$ for any fixed $u \in L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)$. Indeed, by (ii) of (H4), the function $(x, t) \mapsto g(x, t, u(x))$ is measurable in $\Omega \times(0, T)$, so Fubini's theorem ensures that the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} g(x, t, u(x)) v(x) d x$ is also measurable in $(0, T)$ whenever $v$ is measurable in $\Omega$. Hence the mapping $t \mapsto g(\cdot, t, u(\cdot))$ becomes weakly measurable in $(0, T)$ with values in $V^{*}$. Thus since $V^{*}$ is separable, by Pettis's theorem, we can deduce that it also becomes strongly measurable in $(0, T)$.

Let $S \in(0, T]$ be fixed and define the operator $\mathcal{B}: L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)\right) \rightarrow$ $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{B} u)(t):=g(\cdot, t, u(t)(\cdot)) \\
& \quad \text { for all } u \in L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)\right) \text { and a.e. } t \in(0, S) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then recalling (7. 8) and employing Theorem 1.43 of [45], we can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B} \text { is continuous from } L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)\right) \text { into } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right) \text {. } \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X \subset L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)$, this particularly yields (B3).
We finally prove (B2). Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C([0, S] ; V)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $C([0, S] ; V)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \varphi\left(u_{n}(t)\right)+\int_{0}^{S}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t \quad \text { is bounded for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. } \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence since (7. 4) implies that $X$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)$, by Theorem 5 of [50], we can take a subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$
u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } C\left([0, S] ; L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

Therefore we can deduce from (7.10) that

$$
\mathcal{B} u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right) .
$$

Thus (B2) is proved.

The existence of local (in time) solutions for the initial-boundary value problem (7. 1)-(7. 3) follows immediately from Lemma 7.3 and Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 7.4. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $C^{1}$ boundary $\partial \Omega$. Suppose that (H1)-(H4) and (7. 4) are satisfied for some $p \in[2, \infty)$. Then, for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)$, there exists $T_{*}=T_{*}\left(\int_{\Omega} \phi\left(x, \nabla u_{0}(x)\right) d x+\right.$ $\left.\left|u_{0}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)}\right) \in(0, T]$ such that the initial-boundary value problem (7.1)(7. 3) admits at least one solution $u$ on $\left[0, T_{*}\right]$.

As for the global existence, our result is stated as follows.
Theorem 7.5. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $C^{1}$ boundary $\partial \Omega$. Suppose that (H1)-(H4) and (7.4) are satisfied for some $p \in[2, \infty)$. In addition, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \leq \max \left\{p, \frac{m}{p^{\prime}}+1\right\} . \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)$, the initial-boundary value problem (7. 1)-(7. 3) admits at least one solution $u$ on $[0, T]$.

Proof. In order to prove this theorem, it suffices to check $(\mathrm{B} 4)_{\varepsilon}$ (see also Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 7.3). Noting that (7.12) yields

$$
|u|_{L^{p^{\prime}(q-1)(\Omega)}}^{p^{\prime}(q-1)} \leq C\left(\varphi(u)+|u|_{V}^{p}+1\right) \quad \text { for all } u \in X
$$

we can derive $(\mathrm{B} 4)_{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ from (7.8).
Furthermore, the following theorem is concerned with the existence of global (in time) solutions for small data $u_{0}$ and $f$.

Theorem 7.6. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $C^{1}$ boundary $\partial \Omega$. Suppose that (H1)-(H4) and (7. 4) are satisfied with $p \in[2, \infty), a_{1} \equiv 0, a_{2} \equiv 0, a_{3} \equiv 0$, $a_{4} \equiv 0, j(\cdot, \cdot, 0) \equiv 0$ and $\phi(\cdot, \mathbf{0}) \equiv 0$. In addition, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m<p \text { and } \frac{m}{p^{\prime}}+1<q . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $\delta>0$ independent of $T$ such that for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, m}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\star}+\int_{\Omega} \phi\left(x, u_{0}(x)\right) d x<\delta$, where $\|f\|_{\star}$ is given as in (3. 9), the initial-boundary value problem (7.1)-(7.3) admits at least one solution $u$ on $[0, T]$.

Proof. It follows that $\psi^{t}(0)=\int_{\Omega} j(x, t, 0) d x=0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Since $a_{1} \equiv 0$ and $a_{2} \equiv 0$, we obtain $C_{2}=0$ and $m_{1} \equiv 0$ in (A1) and (A2) respectively. Hence due to Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 7.3, it suffices to prove (3.6)-(3. 8).

By (H3) with $a_{3} \equiv 0$, it follows that

$$
\varphi(u)=\int_{\Omega} \phi(x, \nabla u(x)) d x \geq C_{6}^{-1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{m} d x=C_{6}^{-1}|u|_{X}^{m}
$$

for all $u \in D(\varphi)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{V}^{p} \leq C \varphi(u)^{p / m} \quad \text { for all } u \in D(\varphi) \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (3. 7) follows with a non-decreasing function $\ell_{5}(s)=O\left(s^{\frac{p}{m}-1}\right)$ from the fact that $m<p$. Moreover, combining (7.9) with $a_{3}=a_{4} \equiv 0$ and noting that $p^{\prime}(q-1)>m$, we can obtain (3.8) with $\ell_{6}^{\varepsilon}(s)=O\left(s^{\frac{p^{\prime}(q-1)}{m}-1}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.

Finally, we shall derive (3.7). Let $t \in(0, T)$ and let $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right)$ be arbitrary given. We can then derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial_{V} \varphi(u)+B(t, u), u\right\rangle & \geq \varphi(u)-\varphi(0)-|B(t, u)|_{V^{*}}|u|_{V} \\
& \geq \varphi(u)-C \varphi(u)^{\frac{q}{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from the fact that $\varphi(0)=\int_{\Omega} \phi(x, \mathbf{0}) d x=0$. Hence since (7.13) implies

$$
\sigma:=\frac{q}{m}>\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{m}{p^{\prime}}+1\right)>\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{m}{m^{\prime}}+1\right)=1
$$

we conclude that (3. 6) holds with a non-decreasing function $\ell_{4}(s)=O\left(s^{\sigma-1}\right)$. This completes our proof.

### 7.2 Semilinear parabolic equations with gradient nonlinearities

We next deal with the following inclusion instead of (7. 1),
(7. 15) $\alpha\left(x, t, u_{t}(x, t)\right)-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}(x)\right)+h(x, t, u(x, t), \nabla u(x, t)) \ni f(x, t)$
with functions $a_{i j}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(i, j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ and $h: \Omega \times(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then (1.8) is reduced to (7.15) as a special case. To state our existence result, we introduce the following $(\mathrm{H} 3)^{\prime}$ and $(\mathrm{H} 4)^{\prime}$.
(H3) ${ }^{\prime}$
(i) $a_{i j} \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ and $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ for each $i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$.
(ii) There exists a constant $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\lambda_{0}|\xi|^{2} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} a_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text { and all } \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

$(\mathrm{H} 4)^{\prime} \quad$ (i) There exist constants $q_{1}, q_{2}>1+1 / p^{\prime}, C_{8} \geq 0$ and $a_{5} \in L^{1}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ such that

$$
|h(x, t, r, \mathbf{p})|^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{8}\left(|r|^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}+|\mathbf{p}|^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\right)+a_{5}(x, t)
$$

for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)$ and all $(r, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(ii) The function $h=h(x, t, r, \mathbf{p})$ is a Carathéodory function in $\Omega \times(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (i.e., measurable in ( $x, t$ ) and continuous in $(r, \mathbf{p})$ ).

Then we have:
Theorem 7.7. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $C^{2}$ boundary $\partial \Omega$. Suppose that (H1), (H2), (H3)', (H4)' and the following (7. 16) are satisfied for some $p \in[2, \infty)$.

$$
2 \leq p<2^{*}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2 N}{N-2} & \text { if } 2<N,  \tag{7.16}\\
+\infty & \text { if } 2 \geq N,
\end{array} \quad q_{1}<2^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2}<2+\frac{2}{N} .\right.
$$

Then, for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, there exists $T_{*}=T_{*}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}+\right.$ $\left.\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)}\right) \in(0, T]$ such that the initial-boundary value problem $\{(7.15)$, (7. 2), (7. 3)\} admits at least one solution $u$ on $\left[0, T_{*}\right]$.

Proof. We set $V=L^{p}(\Omega), H=L^{2}(\Omega)$ and set $X=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with the norm $|\cdot|_{X}:=|\nabla \cdot|_{L^{2}}$. Then $X$ is compactly embedded in $V$ by (7.16). Moreover, we define the functional $\varphi: V \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ by

$$
\varphi(u):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}(x) d x & \text { if } u \in X  \tag{7.17}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then by (H3) ${ }^{\prime}$, it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}|u|_{X}^{2} \leq \varphi(u) \leq C \max _{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}|u|_{X}^{2} \quad \text { for all } u \in X
$$

which implies $D(\varphi)=X$ and $(\Phi 1)$. Moreover, $\left.\varphi\right|_{X}$ becomes Gâteaux differentiable in $X$ and its derivative $\partial_{X}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{X}\right)(u)$ at $u \in X$ coincides with

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}(x)\right) \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of distribution. Hence $\partial_{V} \varphi(u)$ also coincides with (7.18) in $V^{*}$ for each $u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right)$. Furthermore, thanks to Theorem 9.15 and Lemma 9.17 of [28], we can derive that $D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right)=W^{2, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{W^{2, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)} \leq C\left|\partial_{V} \varphi(u)\right|_{V^{*}} \quad \text { for all } u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us check the assumptions of Theorem 3.6. By (i) of Lemma 7.3, (A1)-(A3) hold with $\psi^{t}$ given by (7.5). Define the operator $B:(0, T) \times V \rightarrow V^{*}$ by

$$
B(t, u):=h(\cdot, t, u(\cdot), \nabla u(\cdot)) \quad \text { for all } t \in(0, T) \text { and } u \in D(B(t, \cdot))
$$

with the domain $D(B(t, \cdot)):=\left\{u \in V ; h(\cdot, t, u(\cdot), \nabla u(\cdot)) \in V^{*}\right\}$. By (H4)', we then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |B(t, u)|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq C_{8}\left(|u|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}+|\nabla u|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\right)+\left|a_{5}(\cdot, t)\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\
& \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \text { and all } u \in D(B(t, \cdot)) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here for the case where $p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)>2^{*}$, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and (7. 16) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)} \leq C|u|_{W^{2}, p^{\prime}(\Omega)}^{\theta_{1}}|\nabla u|_{H}^{1-\theta_{1}} \quad \text { for all } u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\theta_{1} \in(0,1)$ satisfying $\theta_{1}\left(q_{1}-1\right)<1$; for the case where $p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right) \leq 2^{*}$, it follows that $|u|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)} \leq C|\nabla u|_{H}$ for all $u \in X$. Moreover, for the case where $p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)>2$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}(\Omega)} \leq C|u|_{W^{2, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}^{\theta_{2}}|\nabla u|_{H}^{1-\theta_{2}} \quad \text { for all } u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\theta_{2} \in(0,1)$ satisfying $\theta_{2}\left(q_{2}-1\right)<1$; for the case where $p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right) \leq 2$, we get $|\nabla u|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}(\Omega)} \leq C|\nabla u|_{H}$ for all $u \in X$. Therefore by (7. 19), for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ such that

$$
|B(t, u)|_{V^{\prime}}^{p^{\prime}} \leq \varepsilon\left|\partial_{V} \varphi(u)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}+C_{\varepsilon} \ell_{7}(\varphi(u)) \quad \text { for all } u \in D\left(\partial_{V} \varphi\right) \text { and a.e. } t \in(0, T)
$$

with some non-decreasing function $\ell_{7}$ on $[0, \infty)$, which implies $(\mathrm{B} 1)_{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.
By Theorem 1.27 of [45], the Nemytskii mapping

$$
[u, \mathbf{v}] \mapsto h(\cdot, t, u(\cdot), \mathbf{v}(\cdot)) ; \quad L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega) \times L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow V^{*}
$$

is continuous for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$, and moreover, the function $t \mapsto h(\cdot, t, u(\cdot), \mathbf{v}(\cdot))$ becomes strongly measurable in $(0, T)$ with values in $V^{*}$ for any fixed $u \in L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{v} \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Let $S \in(0, T]$ be fixed. Then by Theorem 1.43 of [45], the mapping $\mathcal{N}: L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right) \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{N}(u, \mathbf{v}))(t):=h(\cdot, t, u(t)(\cdot), \mathbf{v}(t)(\cdot)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, S) \\
& \quad \text { for all }[u, \mathbf{v}] \in L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

also becomes continuous; particularly, (B3) follows from (7. 19), (7. 20) and (7. 21).
We next check (B2). Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, S]} \varphi\left(u_{n}(t)\right)+\int_{0}^{S}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{H}^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{S}\left|\partial_{V} \varphi\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|_{V^{*}}^{p^{\prime}} d t \quad \text { is bounded } \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; W^{2, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, S ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap W^{1, p}(0, S ; H)$ (see (7. 19)). Moreover, it follows from (7. 16) that $W^{2, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)$ and also in $W^{1, p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}(\Omega)$. Hence Theorem 5 of [50] implies that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is precompact in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)\right)$ and also in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; W^{1, p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}(\Omega)\right)$. Therefore extracting a subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ if necessary, and recalling (7.20) and (7.21), we can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{1}-1\right)}(\Omega)\right), \\
& \nabla u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(0, S ; L^{p^{\prime}\left(q_{2}-1\right)}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the continuity of $\mathcal{N}$ yields that

$$
B\left(\cdot, u_{n^{\prime}}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow B(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, S ; V^{*}\right),
$$

which implies (B2). Thus by Theorem 3.6, we can obtain our desired conclusion.

We can also prove the existence of global (in time) solutions of the initial-boundary value problem for (7.15) as in Theorem 7.5.

Theorem 7.8. Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $C^{2}$ boundary $\partial \Omega$. Suppose that (H1), (H2), (H3)', (H4)' and (7. 16) are satisfied for some $p \in[2, \infty)$. In addition, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} \leq p \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2} \leq \frac{2}{p^{\prime}}+1 \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, the initial-boundary value problem $\{(7.15),(7.2),(7.3)\}$ admits at least one solution $u$ on $[0, T]$.
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