



# On the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm

Fukuyama, Katusi  
Ueno, Yohei

---

**(Citation)**

Statistics & Probability Letters, 78(12):1384-1387

**(Issue Date)**

2008-09-01

**(Resource Type)**

journal article

**(Version)**

Accepted Manuscript

**(Rights)**

©2007.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**(URL)**

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90003856>



# On the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm

Katusi Fukuyama\* and Yôhei Ueno

Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

---

**Abstract:** This paper investigates conditions under which the law of the iterated logarithm holds.

**Key words:** law of the iterated logarithm, sequence of random variables

---

## Introduction.

It has been a long standing problem to study the relation between the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm. As to this problem, Petrov (1971) proved the following result. Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and put  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $B_n$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying  $B_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $B_{n+1}/B_n \rightarrow 1$ . If

$$\sup_x |\mathbf{P}\{S_n < B_n^{1/2}x\} - \Phi(x)| = O((\log B_n)^{-1-\delta}) \quad (1)$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  where  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n / \sqrt{2B_n \log \log B_n} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2)$$

Without assuming the condition  $B_{n+1}/B_n \rightarrow 1$ , one still has the upper bound part. Actually, Petrov (2003) dropped that condition and proved

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n / \sqrt{2B_n \log \log B_n} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

---

\* Corresponding author: Katusi Fukuyama,

Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan:

fukuyama@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

In the above results,  $\delta > 0$  is necessary. Indeed, Egorov (1969) relaxed the condition to  $\delta = 0$  and constructed an example such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n / \sqrt{2B_n \log \log B_n} = \infty.$$

Prior to these results, Petrov (1966, 2002) proved the same conclusions by assuming extra conditions  $EX_n = 0$  and  $B_n = EX_1^2 + \dots + EX_n^2$ . Assuming further that  $\{X_n\}$  is an independent centered gaussian sequence with  $B_n = EX_1^2 + \dots + EX_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , Kôno (1974) proved the generalised law of the iterated logarithm below: Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be a sequence of integers defined by

$$B_{n_1} > 0 = B_{n_1-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{n_k} \geq eB_{n_k-1} > B_{n_k-1}. \quad (3)$$

Then for any increasing function  $\varphi$  satisfying

$$\varphi(B_{n_k}) = \log k \quad (4)$$

one has the generalised law of the iterated logarithm:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n / \sqrt{2B_n \varphi(B_n)} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (5)$$

By (4), one can prove that  $\varphi$  satisfies the condition

$$\varphi^{-1}(\log(n+1)) / \varphi^{-1}(\log n) \geq e \quad (6)$$

and the asymptotics  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) / \log \log x \leq 1$ .

Conversely, for any  $\varphi$  satisfying (6) we can construct the sequence  $\{B_n\}$  by  $B_n = \varphi^{-1}(\log n)$ , for which  $B_{n+1}/B_n \geq e$  holds. Hence by taking an independent centered gaussian sequence with  $EX_1^2 + \dots + EX_n^2 = B_n$ , we can apply the result of Kôno to have (5). Therefore we see that any increasing

function  $\varphi$  with (6) can appear in the generalised law of the iterated logarithm (5).

Here, we mention that the condition (6) is mild to include the typical example  $\varphi(x) = \alpha \log \log x$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ),  $\varphi(x) = \log \log \log x$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \log \log \log \log x$ , and so on.

The other important point is that the condition  $\sup B_{n+1}/B_n < \infty$  implies  $\varphi(x) \sim \log \log x$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and we have the ordinary law of the iterated logarithm in this case.

As the extension of above results, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and put  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $0 = B_0 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \cdots$  satisfy  $B_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  and increasing function  $\varphi$  by (3) and (4). If*

$$\sup_x |\mathbf{P}\{S_n < B_n^{1/2}x\} - \Phi(x)| = O(e^{-(1+\delta)\varphi(B_n)}) \quad (7)$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ , then the generalised law of the iterated logarithm (5) holds.

By  $\limsup \varphi(x)/\log \log x \leq 1$ , the condition (7) appears to be weaker than the condition (1). This asymptotic also explains why one always has the upper bound estimate.

Since  $\sup B_{n+1}/B_n < \infty$  implies  $\varphi(x) \sim \log \log x$ , we have the following extension of the Petrov's law of the iterated logarithm.

**Corollary.** *Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and put  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $0 = B_0 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \cdots$  satisfy  $B_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sup B_{n+1}/B_n < \infty$ . If (1) is satisfied for some  $\delta > 0$ , then the law of the iterated logarithm (2) holds.*

We therefore succeeded in weakening the condition  $B_{n+1}/B_n \rightarrow 1$  of Petrov's result. As to the necessity of the condition on  $\delta$  in Theorem 1, we have the result below:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a non-negative increasing function satisfying (6) and  $\varphi(x^2) = \varphi(x) + O(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exist a sequence of independent random variables  $\{X_n\}$ , an increasing sequence of real numbers  $0 = B_0 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \dots$ , and a increasing sequence of integers  $\{n_k\}$ , satisfying conditions (3), (4), and (7) with  $\delta = 0$  such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n / \sqrt{2B_n \varphi(B_n)} = \infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

### Proof of the law of the iterated logarithm

We use the following lemma due to Petrov (1971).

**Lemma.** *Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent random variables and  $0 = B_0 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers.*

(1) *If  $\mathbf{P}\{Y_k + \dots + Y_n \geq -C\} \geq 1/2$  ( $k < n$ ) holds for some  $C \geq 0$ , then we have*

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (Y_1 + \dots + Y_k) \geq x\right\} \leq 2\mathbf{P}\{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n \geq x - C\}$$

*for every  $x$ .*

(2) *If  $\mathbf{P}\{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n < xB_n^{1/2}\} \rightarrow \Phi(x)$  for any  $x$ , then*

$$\mathbf{P}\{Y_k + \dots + Y_n \geq -b\sqrt{B_n}\} \geq 1/2 \quad (k < n)$$

*for large enough  $b$  and  $n$ .*

Put  $M_n = \max\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  and  $C_n = \sqrt{2B_n \varphi(B_n)}$ . Let  $p$  and  $q$  be positive integers. Take  $\{m_k\}$  as below:

$$m_1 = n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{m_k} \geq e^{1/p} B_{m_{k-1}} > B_{m_{k-1}}.$$

Then we have  $m_{qp+1} \geq n_{q+1}$  and  $m_q \leq n_q$  for any  $q$ . Put  $\alpha_k = e^{1/p}C_{m_k-1}$  and  $\beta_k = e^{1/2p}C_{m_k-1}$ . By applying Lemma and  $\alpha_k - b\sqrt{B_{m_k-1}} \geq \beta_k$  for large  $k$ , we have

$$a_k = \mathbf{P}\{M_{m_k-1} \geq \alpha_k\} \leq 2\mathbf{P}\{S_{m_k-1} \geq \beta_k\}.$$

By this and (7), we have

$$a_k = O\left(\exp[(-1 - \delta)\varphi(B_{m_k-1})]\right) + 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{2e^{1/p}\varphi(B_{m_k-1})}\right).$$

By  $qp < k \leq (q+1)p$ , we have  $m_k - 1 \geq n_{q+1} - 1 \geq n_q$ . Hence we have  $B_{m_k-1} \geq B_{n_q}$  and  $\varphi(B_{m_k-1}) \geq \varphi(B_{n_q}) = \log q$ . Thus we have  $a_k = O(q^{-1-\delta}) + Cq^{-e^{1/p}}$  and  $\sum_k a_k < \infty$ . By the Borel Cantelli Lemma, we have  $\mathbf{P}\{M_{m_k-1} < \alpha_k \text{ for all large } k\} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{P}\{S_n < e^{1/p}C_n \text{ for all large } n\} = 1$ . By letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we have the upperbound estimate.

Put  $\{m'_k\}$  as below:

$$m'_1 = n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{m'_k} \geq e^p B_{m'_{k-1}} > B_{m'_{k-1}}.$$

We have  $n_{qp+1} \geq m'_{q+1}$ . Put  $\rho_k = (1 - e^{-p})C_{m'_k}$  and  $\mu_k = (1 + e^{-p})C_{m'_{k-1}}$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_k} - S_{m'_{k-1}} \geq \rho_k - \mu_k\} \geq \mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_k} \geq \rho_k, S_{m'_{k-1}} < \mu_k\} \\ &\geq \mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_k} \geq \rho_k\} - \mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_{k-1}} \geq \mu_k\} = c_k - d_k \end{aligned}$$

As before, we have

$$\sum d_k < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum c_k = \sum O((pk)^{-1-\delta}) + (pk)^{1-\varepsilon}/\log(pk) = \infty.$$

Thus we have  $\sum b_k = \infty$ . By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_k} - S_{m'_{k-1}} \geq \rho_k - \mu_k \text{ i.o.}\} = 1.$$

On the other hand, we have  $\mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_{k-1}} \geq -\mu_k \text{ for all large } k\} = 1$ . Thus we have  $\mathbf{P}\{S_{m'_k} \geq \rho_k - 2\mu_k \text{ i.o.}\} = 1$ . Since  $\rho_k - 2\mu_k \geq D_p C_{m'_k}$ , where  $D_p = ((1 - e^{-p}) - 2(1 + e^{-p})/e^{p/2})$ , we have  $\mathbf{P}\{S_n \geq D_p C_n \text{ i.o.}\} = 1$ . By letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we have the lower bound estimate.

## Construction of the counter example

We modify the method of Egorov (1969).

Let  $\lambda_k = \varphi^{-1}(\log k)$ ,  $n_k = [\lambda_k + 1/2]$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \log(k+1)$  on  $[\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1})$ . By (6) we have  $\lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k \geq e$ , by definition  $e^{\tilde{\varphi}(x)}/e^{\varphi(x)} \rightarrow 1$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi}(x^2) = \tilde{\varphi}(x) + O(1)$ .

Put  $\alpha_n = 1$  if  $n \notin \{n_k\} \cup \{n_k + 1\}$ ,  $\alpha_{n_k} = \sqrt{\lambda_k - n_k + 1}$ ,  $\alpha_{n_k+1} = \sqrt{n_k + 1 - \lambda_k}$ ,

Let  $\{Y_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables satisfying

$$P(Y_n = \alpha_n x_n) = P(Y_n = -\alpha_n x_n) = p_n,$$

$$P(Y_n = \alpha_n \sqrt{2}) = P(Y_n = -\alpha_n \sqrt{2}) = 1/4 - x_n^2 p_n / 2,$$

$$P(Y_n = 0) = 1/2 - 2p_n + x_n^2 p_n,$$

where  $x_n = \sqrt{n \tilde{\varphi}(n) \log_2^+ \tilde{\varphi}(n)}$ ,  $p_n = (2n e^{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \log_{1,3}^+ e^{\tilde{\varphi}(n)})^{-1}$ ,  $\log_1^+ x = 1 \vee \log x$ ,  $\log_n^+ x = \log_1^+(\log_{n-1}^+ x)$ , and  $\log_{1,3}^+ x = (\log_1^+ x)(\log_2^+ x)(\log_3^+ x)$ .

It is clear that  $EY_n = 0$  and  $EY_n^2 = \alpha_n^2$ . By  $|x_n| \leq n^2$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}(x_n) = \varphi(n) + C$ , we can verify and  $EY_n^2 g(Y_n) = O(1)$ , where  $g(x) = e^{\tilde{\varphi}(x)} \log_1^+ \tilde{\varphi}(x)$ . Put  $T_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$  and  $C_n = ET_n^2$ . We have  $|C_n - n| \leq 1$  and  $C_{n_k} = \lambda_k$ .

We use Theorem 5.6 of Petrov (1995) below:

**Theorem.** *Let  $g$  be a non-negative even function such that  $g(x)$  and  $x/g(x)$  are both non-decreasing for  $x > 0$ . Let  $\{Y_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $EY_n = 0$  and  $EY_n^2 g(Y_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ . Put*

$T_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$  and  $C_n = ET_n^2$ . Then there exists an absolute constant  $A$  such that

$$\sup_x |\mathbf{P}\{T_n < C_n^{1/2}x\} - \Phi(x)| \leq \frac{A}{C_n g(C_n^{1/2})} \sum_{j=1}^n EY_n^2 g(Y_n).$$

Clearly  $g$  is non-decreasing, and so is  $x/g(x)$  by

$$\lambda_{k+1}/g(\lambda_{k+1}) - \lambda_k/g(\lambda_k) \geq e\lambda_k/(k+1)\log(k+1) - \lambda_k/k\log k > 0.$$

Thus we can apply the above theorem and have

$$\sup_x |\mathbf{P}\{T_n < C_n^{1/2}x\} - \Phi(x)| = O(e^{-\tilde{\varphi}(C_n^{1/2})}/\log_{1,3}^+ \varphi(C_n^{1/2})) = o(e^{-\varphi(C_n)}). \quad (8)$$

Let us define a sequence  $\{X_i\}$  of random variables by  $X_i = Y_i$  if  $n_j < i \leq en_j/2$ ,  $X_i = 0$  if  $en_j/2 < i < n_{j+1}$ , and  $X_{n_{j+1}} = \sum_{en_j/2 < k \leq n_{j+1}} Y_k$ . Put  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , and  $B_n = ES_n^2$ . We have  $B_{n_i} < \cdots < B_{[en_j/2]} = \cdots = B_{n_{j+1}-1} = C_{[en_j/2]} = [en_j/2] < e\lambda_j$ , and  $B_{n_{j+1}} = \lambda_{j+1} \geq e\lambda_j = eB_{n_j}$ . Hence  $\{B_n\}$  and  $\{n_j\}$  together with  $\varphi$  satisfy conditions (3) and (4). It is clear from (8) that condition (7) is satisfied with  $\delta = 0$ . Moreover we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_j < k \leq en_j/2} p_k \geq \frac{e-2}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{\log(j+1)} \log_{1,3}^+ e^{\log(j+1)}} = \infty$$

by  $\sum_{n_j < k \leq en_j/2} 1/k \geq (en_j/2 - n_j)/(en_j/2) = (e-2)/e$ . Thus by Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we see that  $X_j \geq \sqrt{B_j \varphi(B_j) \log_2^+ \varphi(B_j)}$  i.o., and hence we have the conclusion of Theorem 2.



## References

- Egorov, V.A., 1969. On the law of the iterated logarithm, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **14**, 693-699
- Kôno, N., 1974. Une extension de la loi du logarithme itéré pour la somme de variables aléatoires indépendantes et gaussiennes centrées, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris.*, **279** 345-346.
- Petrov, V. V., 1966. On a relation between an estimate of the remainder in the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **11**, 454-458.
- Petrov, V. V., 1971 A theorem on the law of the iterated logarithm, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **16**, 700-702.
- Petrov, V. V., 1995 *Limit theorem of probability theory: sequences of independent random variables*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Petrov, V. V., 2002 On the law of the iterated logarithm for a sequence of independent random variables, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **46**, 542-544.
- Petrov, V. V., 2003 On the law of the iterated logarithm without the assumptions of the existence of moments, *Jour. Math. Sci.*, **118**, 5607-5609