

PDF issue: 2025-05-29

New Determination Methods of Halides and Cyanide Ions by Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry Based on Ternary Complex Formation

Hotta, Hiroki ; Kurihara, Shota ; Johno, Keita ; Kitazume, Masaomi ; Sato, Kiichi ; Tsunoda, Kin-ichi

(Citation) Analytical Sciences, 27(9):953-956

(Issue Date) 2011-09

(Resource Type) journal article

(Version) Version of Record

(Rights)
© 2011 The Japan Society for Analytical Chemistry

(URL)

https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90004308



New Determination Methods of Halides and Cyanide Ions by Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry Based on Ternary Complex Formation

Hiroki Hotta,*[†] Shota Kurihara,** Keita Johno,** Masaomi Kitazume,** Kiichi Sato,** and Kin-Ichi Tsunoda**[†]

*Department of Chemistry, Nara University of Education, Takabatake, Nara 630–8528, Japan **Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Gunma University, Kiryu 376–8515, Japan

Determination methods of halide ions ($X^- = F^-$, Cl^- , Br^- and I^-) by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESIMS) were developed, where negative ions of the ternary complexes of group-13 elements, nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), and halides were measured. In particular, these halides were simultaneously determined by measuring [InX(nta)]⁻, and the limits of detection (LODs) were 1.1 µmol dm⁻³ for F⁻, 0.32 µmol dm⁻³ for Cl⁻, 3.8 nmol dm⁻³ for Br⁻, and 1.6 nmol dm⁻³ for I⁻, respectively. This approach was extended to the determination of CN⁻, where the ternary complex of Cu^{II}, CN⁻ and 4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol (PAR), *i.e.*, [⁶³Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ (*m*/*z* 302) was measured. The LOD for CN⁻ was 20 nmol dm⁻³.

(Received June 10, 2011; Accepted August 9, 2011; Published September 10, 2011)

Introduction

Recently, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESIMS) has been applied to determine various inorganic anions, mainly as an IC-MS or LC-MS system.¹⁻⁵ In particular, perchlorate ion in environmental samples has been an important target for these methods.²⁻⁴ Moreover, Minakata et al. reported the determination methods of CN- and I- by ESIMS/MS, where $Au(CN)_2^-$ and AuI_2^- were measured after their ion-pair extraction into 4-methyl-2-pentanone, respectively.^{6,7} We have also proposed a new method for quantitative trace analyses of fluoride ion by ESIMS, where the ternary complex of aluminum, fluoride and nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), *i.e.*, [AlF(nta)]-, was measured for the determination of fluoride. The limit of detection (LOD) of this method is 10 nmol dm⁻³, and currently it is one of the most sensitive methods for F-.8

In this study, we extended this approach to the determination of other halide ions ($X^- = CI^-$, Br^- and I^-), where negative ions of the ternary complexes of group-13 elements, NTA, and halides were measured by ESIMS. In particular, these halides were simultaneously determined by measuring [InX(nta)]⁻. Although their LODs were reported in Ref. 8 very briefly, the methods have not yet been described. Moreover, the ternary complex formation of copper(II), CN^- and 4-(2-pyridylazo)-resorcinol (PAR), *i.e.*, [⁶³Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ (*m*/*z* 302), was utilized for the determination of CN⁻.

Experimental

Reagents and chemicals

Nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), 4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol (PAR) and 2-(5-bromo-2-pyridylazo)-5-[*N-n*-propyl-*N*-(3-sulfopropyl)-

E-mail: hotta@nara-edu.ac.jp

amino]phenol, disodium salt, dehydrate (5-Br-PAPS) were obtained from Dojindo Laboratories, Japan. Other reagents of analytical grade were from Wako Pure Chemicals, Japan. All metal ions (In³⁺, Ga³⁺, Cu²⁺, *etc.*) were used as nitrate salts. Potassium salts or sodium salts were used as standards of anions. Water was purified by a Millipore Milli-Q system (Millipore Corp., USA).

Apparatus

The LC-ESI-quadrupole MS system, LCMS-2010A (Shimadzu Co., Japan), was used in the flow-injection mode. The negativeion mode was used throughout this study. The instrumental conditions were as follows: electrospray voltage, -3.5 kV; Q-array voltage, -50 V; nebulizer gas (N₂) pressure, 0.1 MPa; the curve desolvation line (CDL) temperature, 300°C; block heater temperature, 200°C. Sample solutions were introduced with a six-way loop injector whose sample loop volume was 30 mm³. Pure water was used as a carrier solution (flow rate, 0.2 cm³ min⁻¹).

Measurement procedures

Determination of halides by ESIMS. A sample solution containing 200 µmol dm-3 of In3+, 200 µmol dm-3 of NTA, 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate, appropriate concentrations of halide ion (X⁻ = F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, and/or I⁻) as well as 20 μ mol dm⁻³ of [Co^{III}(edta)]- as an internal standard was prepared for ESIMS measurements. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 3 to 4 by the addition of a nitric acid or ammonia aqueous solution. The pH values of the test solution, except for the Cl- measurement, were checked by a conventional pH meter (Horiba Co., Japan). In the case of the Cl- measurement, the pH values were checked by a separate pH sensitive electrode with a Hg₂SO₄ reference electrode so as to avoid any contamination by the oozing of Clfrom an Ag/AgCl electrode. The ion intensities of [InF(nta)]-(m/z 322), $[In^{35}Cl(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 338), $[In^{79}Br(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 382), and $[InI(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 430) as well as that of $[Co^{III}(edta)]^{-}$ (m/z 347) were simultaneously monitored for their determination with the

[†] To whom correspondence should be addressed.

 Table 1
 Limits of detection (LODs) for halides and cyanide by
 ESIMS

Analyte	Ternary complex (m/z)	LOD ^a /nmol dm ⁻³
F-	$[AlF(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 234)	10 ^b
	$[InF(nta)]^{-}$ (<i>m</i> /z 322)	1.1×10^{3}
Cl-	$[^{69}\text{Ga}^{35}\text{Cl}(\text{nta})]^{-}$ (m/z 292)	2.3×10^{3}
	$[In^{35}Cl(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 338)	310 ^c
Br−	$[^{69}\text{Ga}^{79}\text{Br(nta)}]^-$ (<i>m</i> /z 336)	93
	$[In^{79}Br(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 382)	3.8°
I-	$[InI(nta)]^{-}$ (<i>m</i> /z 430)	1.6 ^c
CN-	$[^{63}Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]^{-}(m/z 302)$	20
	$[^{63}Cu^{II}(CN)(5-Br-paps)]^{-}(m/z 545)$	230

a. 3σ of background signals

b. This value was reported in Ref. 8.

c. These values were reported in Ref. 8 very briefly.

SIM (selected ion monitoring) mode, respectively.

Determination of cyanide ion by ESIMS. A sample solution containing 20 µmol dm⁻³ of Cu²⁺, 50 µmol dm⁻³ of PAR, 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate, an appropriate concentration of CN⁻ (up to 10 µmol dm⁻³) as well as 20 µmol dm⁻³ of [Co^{III}(edta)]⁻ (m/z 347) as an internal standard was prepared for ESIMS measurements. The ion intensity of [⁶³Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ (m/z 302) as well as that of [Co^{III}(edta)]⁻ (m/z 347) were simultaneously monitored for the determination of CN⁻ with the SIM mode. The solution pH was adjusted to 7 to 9 with the addition of dilute ammonia water, and there was no change in the ESIMS intensity observed in this range.

Results and Discussion

ESIMS spectra of the ternary complexes of 13 group elements, halides and NTA

Since Cl⁻, Br⁻ and I⁻ are much softer Lewis bases than F⁻, we selected gallium ion and indium ion as center metal ions, which are softer Lewis acids than aluminum ion. Firstly, the ESIMS spectra (negative-ion mode) of [GaCl(nta)]- and [GaBr(nta)]-, [InCl(nta)]⁻ and [InBr(nta)]⁻ were measured, and were compared with one another. Although both metals gave linear responses to these halide ions, the sensitivity was better for In^{III} complexes than for Ga^{III} complexes, as shown in Table 1. This may partly be due to their isotopes: indium has one main isotope (115In, 96%), while gallium has two main isotopes (69Ga, 60%; 71Ga, 40%). Thus, we further studied the ESIMS spectra of [InX(nta)]-. Figure 1 shows the ESIMS spectra of [InX(nta)]- $(X^- = F^-, CI^-, Br^- and I^-)$. As shown in the figure, $[InF(nta)]^-$ (m/z 322), $[In^{35}Cl(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 338), $[In^{37}Cl(nta)]^{-}$ (m/z 340), $[In^{79}Br(nta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 382), $[In^{81}Br(nta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 384), and $[In^{127}I(nta)]^{-}$ (*m*/z 430) as well as $[Co^{III}(edta)]^{-}$ (*m*/z 347) were observed. Moreover, the optimum conditions of the sample solutions for the pH and the concentrations of In³⁺ and NTA were examined. When the excess amounts of NTA over In3+ were added to the sample, free NTA ions interfered with the ESIMS measurements of the ternary complexes. That is. a considerable depression of [InX(nta)]- signals were observed, when 200 $\mu mol~dm^{\mathchar`-3}$ of $In^{\mathchar`-3}$ and 500 $\mu mol~dm^{\mathchar`-3}$ of NTA were added to the solutions. Thus, equal amounts of In3+ and NTA were added to the samples. The range of pH 3 to 4 was the most suitable for the measurements. Based on these optimizations, F-, Cl-, Br-, and I- were determined simultaneously, as shown in Fig. 2. The LODs for these halides



Fig. 1 ESI mass spectrum of $[InX(nta)]^-$. The sample solution contains 200 µmol dm⁻³ of In³⁺, 200 µmol dm⁻³ of NTA, 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate, 5 µmol dm⁻³ of halide ions (X⁻ = F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻ and I⁻) as well as 20 µmol dm⁻³ of $[Co^{III}(edta)]^-$ as an internal standard. A, $[InF(nta)]^-$ (m/z 322); B, $[In^{35}Cl(nta)]^-$ (m/z 338); C, $[In^{37}Cl(nta)]^-$ (m/z 340); D, $[In^{79}Br(nta)]^-$ (m/z 382); E, $[In^{81}Br(nta)]^-$ (m/z 384); F, $[InI(nta)]^-$ (m/z 430); G, $[Co^{III}(edta)]^-$ (m/z 347); H, CH₃COO⁻ (m/z 59); I, NO₃⁻ (m/z 62); J, $[In(OH)(nta)]^-$ (m/z 365).



Fig. 2 Simultaneous determination of F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻ and I⁻ by ESIMS with $[InX(nta)]^-$ measurements. Each sample solution contains 200 µmol dm⁻³ of In³⁺, 200 µmol dm⁻³ of NTA, 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate, zero to 5 µmol dm⁻³ of halide ions (X⁻ = F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻ and I⁻) as well as 20 µmol dm⁻³ of $[Co^{III}(edta)]^-$ as an internal standard. The signal intensities of $[InF(nta)]^-$ (\blacklozenge , *m*/*z* 322), $[In^{35}Cl(nta)]^-$ (\blacksquare , *m*/*z* 338), $[In^{79}Br(nta)]^-$ (\bigstar , *m*/*z* 382), and $[InI(nta)]^-$ (\blacklozenge , *m*/*z* 430) as well as that of $[Co^{III}(edta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 347) were simultaneously monitored with SIM mode.

are also summarized in Table 1. As for F⁻, $[InF(nta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 322) gave a far lower sensitivity than $[AlF(nta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 234). This result should be reasonable considering the stabilities of the two ternary complexes. Although the LOD of Cl⁻ was not as low as expected, it was mainly due to the contamination of Cl⁻. As a matter of fact, as shown in Fig. 2, the slope of $[In^{35}Cl(nta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 338) was almost the same as that of $[In^{79}Br(nta)]^-$ (*m*/*z* 382). The LODs for Br⁻ and I⁻ in this method were very low, as shown in Table 1. The LODs of conventional methods for Cl⁻, Br⁻ and I⁻, such as ion chromatography (IC), ion-selective electrode methods (ISEs) and spectrophotometries are no lower than 0.1 µmol dm⁻³.^{9,10} Thus, the present methods could be classified as being highly sensitive techniques. The upper limits of the calibration curves were 20 µmol dm⁻³ for F⁻, 50 µmol dm⁻³ for Cl⁻, and 10 µmol dm⁻³ for Br⁻ and I⁻, respectively. This method



Fig. 3 ESI mass spectrum of $[Cu^{II}CN(par)]^-$. The sample solution which contains 20 µmol dm⁻³ of Cu²⁺, 50 µmol dm⁻³ of PAR, 2.5 µmol dm⁻³ of CN⁻ and 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate was used for the ESIMS measurements. A, $[^{63}Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]^-$ (m/z 302); B, $[^{65}Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]^-$ (m/z 304); C, $[H(par)]^-$ (m/z 214); D, $[Co^{III}(edta)]^-$ (m/z 347); E, $[^{63}Cu^{II}(NO_3)(par)]^-$ (m/z 338); F, $[^{63}Cu^{II}(CH_3COO)(par)]^-$ (m/z 335); G, $[^{63}Cu^{II} 3^5Cl(par)]^-$ (m/z 311), and $[^{63}Cu^{II} 3^7Cl(par)]^-$ and $[^{65}Cu^{II} 3^5Cl(par)]^-$ (m/z 313); H, CH₃COO⁻ (m/z 59); I, NO₃⁻ (m/z 62).

was applied to analyze a river water sample for chloride ion, which was collected from Kiryu River in Kiryu. The sample was 50-times diluted with pure water, and was directly measured by ESIMS after the addition of 200 μ mol dm⁻³ of In³⁺ and NTA and 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate. A concentration of 121 μ mol dm⁻³ for Cl⁻ was obtained by this method, while that of 128 μ mol dm⁻³ was obtained by conventional IC.

ESIMS spectra of the ternary complexes of metals, cyanide and chelating agents

Cyanide ion is highly toxic, and thus the development of its trace determination method has been an important target for analytical chemists.¹¹ We have also tried to develop a new analytical method for CN- by extending our approach. Firstly, ternary complexes of various metals and chelating agents were tested for the determination of CN-, e.g., FeII and FeIII with various aminopolycarboxylic acids (APCs), various Cu^{II}, Ni^{II} and Zn^{II} complexes, and so on. For Fe complexes, for example, we could not observe any ternary complex with CN-. For Ni^{II} complexes, [Ni(CN)₄]²⁻ was always observed, even when much smaller amounts of CN- compared with those of Ni2+ and chelating agents were added. Among them, the ternary complex of Cu^{II}, CN⁻ and PAR, *i.e.*, [63Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ (m/z 302) and $[^{65}Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]^{-}$ (*m*/z 304), gave strong ESIMS signals. Although signals of the ternary complex of Zn^{II}, CN⁻ and PAR, *i.e.*, $[^{64}Zn(CN)(par)]^{-}$ (*m*/*z* 303) were also observed by ESIMS, its signal intensity was ca. one twentieth of that of the CuII complex. Thus, we selected this Cu^{II} complex as the target of further investigation. The measurement conditions were optimized as summarized in the Experimental section. Figure 3 shows the ESIMS spectrum of the Cu^{II} complex, where the signals of $[^{63}Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]^{-}$ (m/z 302) and $[^{65}Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]^{-}$ (m/z 304) (A and B in the figure, respectively) are observed. It should be noted, however, that the ratio of the two signals (B/A = ca. 0.53) is considerably different from that (0.46)expected from the Cu isotope ratio, *i.e.*, ⁶³Cu for 69.2% and ⁶⁵Cu for 30.8%. The reason is unclear at present, and we are now trying to elucidate this phenomenon. Moreover, the signals of the ternary complex of Cu^{II}, chloride and PAR ([63Cu^{II 35}Cl(par)]- $(m/z \ 311)$, and $[{}^{63}Cu^{II} \ {}^{37}Cl(par)]^-$ and $[{}^{65}Cu^{II} \ {}^{35}Cl(par)]^- (m/z \ {}^{65}Cu^{II} \ {}^{35}Cl(par)]^-$



Fig. 4 Calibration curves for CN⁻ by ESIMS with [63 Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ measurements. a) Calibration curve for CN⁻ up to 10 µmol dm⁻³. b) Calibration curve for CN⁻ up to 1 µmol dm⁻³. A sample solution containing 20 µmol dm⁻³ of Cu²⁺, 50 µmol/dm⁻³ of PAR, 1 mmol dm⁻³ of ammonium acetate, zero to 10 µmol dm⁻³ of CN⁻ as well as 20 µmol dm⁻³ of [Co^{III}(edta)]⁻ as an internal standard was prepared for ESIMS measurements. The ion intensity of [Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ (*m*/*z* 302) as well as that of [Co^{III}(edta)]⁻ (*m*/*z* 347) were simultaneously monitored for the determination of CN⁻ with the SIM mode.

313)), can also be seen in Fig. 3, although chloride was not intentionally added to the sample. This may mean that this ternary complex system will be applicable to determine halide ions in the future. Figure 4 shows calibration curves for CN-. Although a linear calibration curve was obtained for the higher concentration range of up to 10 µmol dm⁻³, as shown in Fig. 4a), it is slightly curved in the lower concentration range than 1 µmol dm⁻³, as also shown in Fig. 4b). The reason is unclear at present. It might be related to the stability of the ternary complex. The upper-limit of the calibration curve was changed by the concentrations of Cu²⁺ and PAR in the sample solutions. When 200 µmol dm⁻³ of Cu²⁺ and 300 µmol dm⁻³ of PAR were added to the samples, a linear calibration curve was obtained at least up to 100 µmol dm⁻³. The LODs for CN⁻ are also summarized in Table 1. Although the ternary complex of Cu^{II}, CN⁻ and 5-Br-PAPS, *i.e.*, [⁶³Cu^{II}(CN)(5-Br-paps)]⁻ (*m*/z 545), also gave a linear response on CN-, its sensitivity was not as good as that of [63Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]-, as shown in Table 1. The LOD of this method for CN- is as low as, or lower than, those of other reported methods for CN-. The LOD is about 1 µmol dm⁻³ for both spectrophotometric methods and the ISE method, which are known as conventional methods, and are adopted as official methods for CN-.11,12 Thus, the present method is about 50-times as sensitive as such methods. Moreover, the LOD of the present method is comparable to those of the latest reported methods,¹¹ e.g., chemiluminescence methods (LODs, 4 to 200 nmol dm⁻³), fluorometric methods $(0.2 \text{ to } 2.5 \,\mu\text{mol dm}^{-3})$, GC-MS methods (LODs, 0.2 to 0.4 µmol dm⁻³), GC-ECD (LOD, 20 nmol dm⁻³), ESI-MS/MS (LOD, 40 nmol dm⁻³),⁶ IC-PAD (pulsed amperometric detection) (LOD, 40 nmol dm⁻³), and amperometry (LOD, 2 to 4 nmol dm⁻³). Thus, the present method could be classified as one of the most sensitive methods for CN- at present. This method has not yet been applied to analyze any real sample. Although concomitant ions in samples should interfere with the ESIMS measurements to a certain extent, such interferences could be removed by appropriate sample pretreatments. For example, the on-line size exclusion column described in Ref. 8 and/or distillation process, which is the standard method for CN⁻ determinations,¹² would be useful for this purpose.

Conclusions

We developed determination methods of halide ions ($X^- = F^-$, Cl-, Br- and I-) by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESIMS), where negative ions of the ternary complexes of group-13 elements, nitrilotriactic acid (NTA), and halides were measured. In particular, these halides were simultaneously determined by measuring [InX(nta)]-, and very low LODs were obtained for Br- and I-, i.e., 3.8 nmol dm-3 for Br-, and 1.6 nmol dm⁻³ for I⁻, respectively. Moreover, this approach was extended to the determination of CN-, where the ternary complex of Cu^{II}, CN⁻ and PAR, *i.e.*, [Cu^{II}(CN)(par)]⁻ was measured and the LOD for CN- was 20 nmol dm-3. The present methods are easy to perform, and are highly sensitive and selective mainly because they are based on direct mass spectrum measurements of stable complexes containing analyte ions. Thus, they may be useful for the determination of halides and cyanide ions in actual samples, such as environmental and biological samples in the future.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by a Steel Foundation for the Environmental Protection Technology (SEPT) (Nos. C-33-26 and C-30-25) to HH, for which we are grateful.

References

- 1. A. Seubert, G. Schminke, M. Nowak, W. Ahrer, and W. Buchberger, J. Chromatogr., A, 2000, 884, 191.
- P. K. Martinelango, J. L. Anderson, P. K. Dasgupta, D. W. Armstrong, R. S. Al-Horr, and R. W. Slingsby, *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, 77, 4829.
- P. K. Martinelango and P. K. Dasgupta, Anal. Chem., 2007, 79, 7198.
- R. J. Soukup-Hein, J. W. Remsburg, P. K. Dasgupta, and D. W. Armstrong, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, 79, 7346.
- 5. J. Wang and W. C. Schnute, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, 23, 3439.
- K. Minakata, H. Nozawa, K. Gonmori, M. Suzuki, and O. Suzuki, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2009, 651, 81.
- K. Minakata, I. Yamagishi, S. Kanno, H. Nozawa, M. Suzuki, and O. Suzuki, J. Chromatogr., B, 2010, 878, 1683.
- H. Hotta, T. Mori, A. Takahashi, Y. Kogure, K. Johno, T. Umemura, and K. Tsunoda, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, 81, 6357.
- 9. The Japan Society for Analytical Chemistry, "Bunsekikagaku Binran (in Japanese)", 5th ed., 2001, Maruzen, Tokyo.
- JIS K0400-35, "Water Quality—Determination of Dissolved Fluoride, Nitrite, Orthophosphate, Bromide, Nitrate and Sulfate Ions, Using Liquid Chromatography of Ions", 1999, Japanese Industrial Standards Committee, Tokyo.
- 11. J. Ma and P. K. Dasgupta, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2010, 673, 117.
- JIS K0400-38, "Water Quality—Determination of Cyanide", 1999, Japanese Industrial Standards Committee, Tokyo.