

PDF issue: 2025-07-04

Learning RuBisCO's birth and subsequent environmental adaptation

Ashida, Hiroki Mizohata, Eiichi Yokota, Akiho

(Citation) Biochemical Society Transactions, 47(1):179-185

(Issue Date) 2018-12-17

(Resource Type) journal article

(Version) Accepted Manuscript

(Rights)

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Portland Press Limited on behalf of the Biochemical Society

(URL)

https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90005747



1	
2	
3	Learning RuBisCO's birth and subsequent environmental adaptation
4	
5	
6	Hiroki Ashida ¹ , Eiichi Mizohata ^{2, 3} and Akiho Yokota ⁴
7	¹ Graduate School of Human Development and Environment, Kobe University,
8	Tsurukabuto, Kobe City 657-8501, Japan
9	² Department of Applied Chemistry, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
10	³ JST-PRESTO, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan.
11	⁴ Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan
12	
13	Correspondence: AkihoYokota (yokota@bs.naist.jp)
14	
15	(Abstract)
16	It is believed that organisms that first appeared after the formation of the earth lived in
17	a very limited environment, making full use of the limited number of genes. From
18	these early organisms' genes, more were created by replication, mutation,
19	recombination, translocation, and transmission of other organisms' DNA; thus, it
20	became possible for ancient organisms to grow in various environments. The
21	photosynthetic CO ₂ -fixing enzyme RuBisCO began to function in primitive
22	methanogenic archaea and has been evolved as a central CO2-fixing enzyme in
23	response to the large changes in CO_2 and O_2 concentrations that occurred in the
24	subsequent 4 billion years. In this review, the processes of its adaptation to be

specialized for CO₂ fixation will be presented from the viewpoint of functions and
 structures of RuBisCO.

- 3
- 4

5 Introduction

Billions of years after the earth was formed, the initial microorganisms (believed to be 6 $\overline{7}$ anaerobic archaea) are assumed to have appeared. Among the anaerobic archaea, methanogenic archaea are thought to be responsible for methane production from CO_2 , 8 which accounted for almost 100% of the atmosphere, and H₂ produced non-biologically 9 10 [1]. Recent results suggest that photosynthetic CO₂-fixing enzyme RuBisCO originated (CBB) 11 from primitive Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, the reductive a 12hexulose-phosphate (RHP) pathway, involved in the methane production in 13Methanospirillum hungatei [2] (Figure 1).

14In the environmental CO_2 concentration at that time, CO_2 bonding to 15methanofuran- NH_3^+ (carbamylation), which was the initial reaction of methane production, CO_2 bonding to the activation site Lys201-NH₃⁺ of RuBisCO, and the 16reaction of the substrate CO₂ to C2 of deprotonated ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) at 17the RuBisCO catalytic site proceeded as chemical reactions [3]. In response to a major 18environmental change that subsequently declined by 1/2000 of the environmental CO₂ 1920concentration over 4 billion years, methanogenic archaea were restricted to an anaerobic environment containing high concentrations of CO₂ [4]. In contrast, how RuBisCO 21responded to this change has not been argued sufficiently. In this review, we discuss the 22mechanism of environmental adaptation of RuBisCO, which has followed the process 23of sharply decreasing CO₂ concentration and increasing O₂ concentration from almost $\mathbf{24}$

1 zero to 21%.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3 Questions in RuBisCO in vivo activation

4 Activation mechanism

The reversible activation reaction of RuBisCO proceeds as follows: $\mathbf{5}$ Lys201-NH₂ (E) binds CO₂ (C) to form Lys201-NHCOO⁻ (EC), to which Mg^{2+} (M) 6 further coordinated to complete the activated ECM form [5]. The ternary complex is $\overline{7}$ 8 essential for the enzyme to proceed with the deprotonation of C3 of RuBP. The reaction rate of the carbamate formation process among these processes is very slow, but binding 9 of Mg^{2+} to the carbamate progresses very fast [6]. When 44 μ M RuBisCO protomer was 10 activated at 112 µM CO2 at 10 °C, the activation rate was calculated to be 11 approximately 3 µM/sec and the activated was accomplished in 10 min [7]. Conversely, 12the rate constant of chemical bonding of CO₂ to the amino group of a model compound 13monoethanolamine (MEA) is 6.1×10^3 /M/sec at 30 °C [8]. Calculated using this 1415reaction rate constant, the equilibrium in activation should have been reached in 3.2 sec with the RuBisCO protomer and CO₂ concentration used in the biochemical experiment. 16In contrast, the rate constant of liberation of CO₂ from MEA carbamate is 29.8/sec and 17its half-life is 0.023 sec, but the half-life at which activated RuBisCO is inactivated 18under inactivation conditions was 20 sec (Figure 1). The difference in reaction rates 1920between the amino groups and CO₂ cannot be explained completely by the difference in the reaction temperatures used. Below, we discuss how Rubisco was able to accomplish 21catalytic function using two molecules of CO₂ independently 22its as а catalysis-supporting molecule and a substrate in the adaptation process of RuBisCO to 23accomplish a considerable change in the atmospheric gas composition. 24

1

2

Activation by sugar phosphates and NADPH

Ligands of RuBisCO can be classified into three groups, based on their effects 3 on activation [3, 9-11]: (i) positive effectors, e.g., reduced nicotinamide adenine 4 $\mathbf{5}$ dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), 6-phosphogluconate (6-PG), inorganic phosphate, 6 and 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol 1,5-bisphosphate enhance activation; (ii) negative effectors, $\overline{7}$ e.g., D-xylulose 1,5-bisphosphate and ribose 5-phosphate facilitate deactivation; and (iii) neutral ligands, e.g., RuBP does not actually promote or inhibit RuBisCO activation 8 [12]. 6-PG and NADPH seems to be especially important as physiological positive 9 10 effectors. In 6-PG analysed in detail, K_i of 6-PG in competitive inhibition with respect to RuBP was different from K_d determined by the equilibrium binding analysis; K_i was 11 8.5 μ M, but K_d was 37 μ M [10]. The promotion of activation of RuBisCO by 6-PG gave 1213a saturation curve and the half-saturation concentration of 6-PG was 50 µM [7]. 6-PG 14binds to the RuBP-binding site in a competitive manner in the inhibition kinetics, but 15may adopt a different binding mode in the activation from that inferred in the 16competitive kinetics. Although NADPH is also a competitive inhibitor of RuBP, there is no difference between K_i and K_m for NADPH during activation; although it is not as 17much as 6-PG, the binding mode may be different from that of RuBP. 18

19 After that, this concept was clarified from the X-ray structural analysis of rice 20 RuBisCO complexed with 6-PG or NADPH [11]. In rice RuBisCO, which was 21 crystallized under the condition including 40 mM 6-PG or NADPH in the presence of 22 CO_2 and 40 mM MgCl₂ in the buffer in equilibrium with air, those structures were very 23 different from those expected from the difference between the above K_i , and K_d or K_m . 24 These sugar phosphates were bound to the catalytic site, where the ε -amino group of

Lys-201 was carbamylated and Mg²⁺ was coordinated to the carbamate anion. The 1 position of the carbamyl group oxygen of Lys-201 stabilizing this Mg^{2+} and the position $\mathbf{2}$ of Asp-203 and Glu-204 carboxyl group oxygen had very similar architecture between 3 6-PG- and NADPH-bound enzymes. One of the small differences seen in these two 4 enzymes was that the carboxyl groups of 6-PG and the oxygen of the hydroxyl group on $\mathbf{5}$ C2 were directly coordinated to Mg^{2+} in the former, but in the NADPH enzyme, the 6 adiponyl phosphate oxygen and the C3 hydroxyl group oxygen of the nucleotide ribose $\overline{7}$ stabilized Mg²⁺ via two molecules of water. Conversely, two of the three 8 Mg²⁺-coordinated water molecules observed in the NADPH complex were substituted 9 by the C1 carboxyl and C2 hydroxyl oxygen atoms of 6-PG. This observation suggests 10 that 6-PG stabilizes the ECM form by directly interacting with Mg^{2+} , unlike the indirect 11 12and relaxed interaction between RuBisCO and NADPH. These structural differences may explain the observed differences in stabilizing the activated form of RuBisCO. 13

14It is interesting to analyse the functions of 6-PG and NADPH from kinetic data of 15the activities of enzymes [7]. When RuBisCO, which had been activated (ECM), was diluted with a system without CO₂ or a buffer containing EDTA, RuBisCO was 16inactivated with a half-life of about 20 sec. The addition of 6-PG or NADPH to this 17RuBisCO inactivation reaction caused the half-life to increase to 1,800 and 300 sec, 18respectively. In other words, the ECM form of Rubisco which kept its activated state 1920with 6-PG or NADPH maintained the active state for a long time even in the presence of EDTA (Fig. 3). The slight difference seen in 6-PG and NADPH seems to be due to 21only a slight difference in structure taken by RuBisCO when bound to the effectors. 22

23

24 Activation and activase

That the ECM form constitutes a complex with carboxyl groups from Glu-203 1 and Asp-204 around Lys-201 in order for RuBisCO to exhibit a CO₂ fixation reaction 2 has been proven to be essential through many pioneering RuBisCO discoveries over the 3 half-century since the discovery of the enzyme [5]. RuBisCO activase is deeply 4 $\mathbf{5}$ involved in this process [13, 14]. RuBisCO activase dose not catalyze directly the 6 activation of RuBisCO, but removes various sugar phosphate inhibitors such as RuBP bound to inactive RuBisCO and gives a chance to catalytic sites of RuBisCO to be $\overline{7}$ 8 activated. A group from the Max Plank Research Institute has proposed an intriguing challenge to this process via analysis of the structure of RuBisCO activase in the last 9 10 several years [15, 16], suggesting that RuBisCO activase from tobacco functions as a 11 hexamer.

12It has been known for some time that the concentration of RuBisCO activase in chloroplasts is rather low. When exerting its function on RuBisCO, if the activase 1314exhibits its functions by constituting a hexamer in vivo as proposed by Hayer-Hartl's group; so RuBisCO holoenzyme (L_8S_8) in the chloroplast is 3 μ mol/m² and the activase 15(A₆ hexamer of activase) has been estimated to be 0.57 μ mol/m² [17]. In the 16measurements by Mate et al. [18], these values were 2.5 and 0.16 μ mol/m², respectively. 1718 In these activase antisense experiments, where the activase content was further reduced to one-fifth of the wild-type level, the gas exchange rate was not affected; so, the 19amount of activase required by 1 μ mol/m² of RuBisCO holoenzyme is 0.03 to 0.11 20 μ mol/m² for photosynthesis to proceed without any retardation. These results suggested 21that relatively low concentration of activase is enough for RuBisCO activation. 22

23

24 How does RuBisCO keep its activated state?

As pointed out above, the carbamate formation of the ε -amino group of Lys-201 for RuBisCO activation required a duration 180-times longer than the chemical carbamylation of MEA. This may be because carbamylation of Lys-201 not only proceeds as a chemical reaction, but also involves a structural change in the RuBisCO protein, which takes longer time. For that reason, the ECM form of RuBisCO protects Mg²⁺ from being chelated by EDTA (Figure 2).

Such slow activation/deactivation actually measured by RuBisCO is $\overline{7}$ convincing if we consider that these processes involve the structural change of the 8 RuBisCO protein. In fact, Vater et al. [19] revealed structural changes of RuBisCO 9 during activation by CO_2 and Mg^{2+} with *p*-toluidinonapthalene-6-sulfonate (TNS), a 10 hydrophobic fluorescent reporter. Detailed analysis of RuBisCO activation/inactivation 11 12by Lorimer and his colleagues [6, 7] clarified that the half-life of inactivation was further increased when RuBisCO activated in the presence of 6-PG and NADPH was 1314inactivated by adding EDTA; half-lives were 78,000 and 13,000 times, respectively, 15longer than the case of CO₂ release from carbamylated MEA. When RuBisCO activated by these effectors was placed in a reaction system containing saturated concentrations of 16RuBP and CO₂, the enzyme showed full activity without delay [7]. This indicates that 17these effectors are promptly released from the catalytic sites but cause RuBisCO to keep 18its activated conformation for a long period in the absence of the effectors, where the 1920substrate RuBP may be involved in maintaining the activated state during the carboxylation reaction [11, 13]. 21

The minimum amount of RuBisCO activase hexamer required for 1 μ mol/m² of RuBisCO holoenzyme is 0.03 to 0.11 μ mol/m², as discussed above. Activase is needed in removal of various sugar phosphate inhibitors such as RuBP bound to inactive

RuBisCO and structural analogues of RuBP formed during catalysis. It seems that once
 activase exerts its function, RuBisCO may adopt a conformation of the activated ECM
 form with aid of positive effectors [11].

4

How did RuBisCO develop the capacity to use low concentrations of CO₂ preferentially over great concentrations of O₂ in the present atmosphere?

The CO_2/O_2 specificity factor of RuBisCO, S_{rel} , determines the relative rates of 7 the carboxylase reaction to oxygenase reaction at any given CO₂ and O₂ concentrations. 8 S_{rel} has a special meaning in kinetic parameters of RuBisCO and is calculated by the 9 equation, $(k_{cat}^{c}/K_{m}^{c})/(k_{cat}^{o}/K_{m}^{o})$, where k_{cat}^{c} , k_{cat}^{o} and K_{m}^{c} , K_{m}^{o} are the maximum catalytic 10 turnover rates and Michaelis constants for CO₂ and O₂, respectively [20]. RuBisCO 11 12with high S_{rel} values have lower reactivity for O_2 , and are specialized in catalysis of the carboxylase reaction. Srel values are 70-94 among plant species, 54-83 among green 1314algal RuBisCOs, 38-56 from cyanobacterial RuBisCOs, and 26-53 among bacterial 15RuBisCOs. On the other hand, in red-like RuBisCOs, Srel is 40-75 for RuBisCOs 16from phototrophic bacteria and 105-238 for RuBisCOs from non-green algae, such as red algae and diatoms. 17

It has become clear that the extensive diversity of RuBisCO's S_{rel} was attained in the process of evolution of this enzyme. The problem remained to be discussed in this review is how RuBisCO was able to produce a difference of 500 times in S_{rel} [3]. Here may be the point of functional improvement of this important enzyme in the future. In the reaction of RuBisCO, it does not happen that this enzyme recognizes the gaseous substrate molecule specifically and forms an enzyme substrate complex, unlike usual enzymes. It is hypothesized that the reason why

plant and red algal RuBisCOs catalyze the carboxylase reaction more preferentially 1 2 as compared with the oxygenase reaction in the process of evolution is that their active sites have a higher affinity for the transition state for carboxylation than that for 3 oxygenation [21]. A structural resemblance between transition state for CO₂ addition 4 $\mathbf{5}$ and carboxylated product, 2-carboxy-3-ketoarabinitol 1,5-bisphosphate should enable 6 the higher S_{rel}. On the other hand, such strategy causes a tight binding of the 7 intermediate, leading to a decreased maximum carboxylation rate. This is in agreement with the propensity of the inverse correlation between S_{rel} and maximum 8 carboxylation rate. 9

10 A structural feature involved in S_{rel} has recently been proposed. Lun et al. investigated the migration of CO₂ and O₂ in and around RuBisCO using molecular 11 12dynamics simulations [22]. Although they cannot detect any cavities providing a route for gaseous substrates to the active site, interestingly, surface of hexadecameric 1314RuBisCO binds CO₂ stronger than it does O₂. Importantly, the simulations showed a preference of CO₂ for amino acids with small hydrophobic side chains, such as alanine, 1516valine, leucine, and isoleucine, and for the sulfur-containing cysteine residue (Note that these are neutral amino acids). These results suggested that CO₂ is guided toward the 17reaction center through a CO_2 binding region during the active site opening. 18However, the study did not analyze to compare RuBisCOs from different 1920species with different S_{rel} values. This gave us the idea that the content of neutral residue around active site of RuBisCO might be correlate with S_{rel} . 2122Figure 3 shows the electrostatic potentials on the solvent accessible surface of closed forms of RuBisCOs from verious sources. The top and side views for overall structures 23show a diversity in distribution of the positive, negative and neutral regions. We cannot 24

1 find any relationship between S_{rel} and distribution of these regions in overall structures. 2 The negative potential dominates the entrance of the catalytic site of RuBisCO. The entrance is composed of N-terminal tail, residues 46-49, catalytic loop 6, and 3 C-terminal tail. In particular, between RuBisCOs from Synechococcus sp. PCC6301 and 4 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, the amino acid residues constituting the entrance are $\mathbf{5}$ 6 conserved and show a remarkable negative potential. The negative potential is derived 7from acidic side chains and main chain oxygens of the residues. Compared with those RuBisCOs, RuBisCO from Oryza sativa with higher S_{rel} gains a neutral potential at the 8 entrance by a E464A substitution. Similary, RuBisCO from Galdieria partita earns even 9 10 higher neutrality than RuBisCO from O. sativa by D19P and E468N substitutions. It is interesting to see a correlation that S_{rel} improves as the neutrality of the entrance 11 12increases. At the present time, it is unclear whether the neutrality of the entrance is involved in determination of S_{rel} , but this may be an interesting target to analyze. The 13S_{rel} of Rubisco mutants with increased neutrality around the catalytic pocket may be 1415improved. Considering that hydrophobic region prefers CO_2 rather than O_2 , the 16hydrophobicity of the active site entrance may contribute to increase S_{rel} [23]. Similarly, also in the partial reaction of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) which catalyses 17the fixing reaction of CO_2 derived from HCO_3^- in phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to C1 18proceeds in the neutral pocket of the reaction centre [24], implying that the 1920charge-neutral environment may play an important role for carboxylase reaction in both RuBisCO and PEPC. 21

The neutral environment may affect gaseous substrates at the entrance to the catalytic pocket. Here is an interesting example. Many wetland species of plants form aerenchyma and a barrier to radial O_2 loss in roots to enhance internal O_2 diffusion to

the root apex [25]. O₂ diffusion is suppressed by covering this transit tissue with 1 charge-nutral suberin. Considering that such neutral regions repel O₂, local 2 concentration of CO₂ relative to O₂ in charge-neutral entrance of RuBisCO active site 3 may increase by exclusion of O₂. If RuBisCO gradually changed the reaction centre to 4 raise the S_{rel} in response to billions of years of a drastic change in the global $\mathbf{5}$ 6 environment, it is worth considering the idea that the entrance gate of gaseous substrates of RuBisCO was changed gradually to neutral to increase the CO2/O2 ratio in the 7reaction centre space. 8

9

10 Abbreviations:

EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetate; MEA, monoethanolamine; NADPH, reduced 11 12nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; PEPC, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase; 6-PG, 6-phosphogluconate; RHP, reductive hexulose 1314phosphate; RuBP, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate; RuBisCO, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate 15carboxylase/oxygenase; $S_{\rm rel}$, CO_2/O_2 specificity factor; TNS. 16*p*-toluidinonapthalene-6-sulfonate.

17

18 Author contribution

A.Y. was invited from the Publisher to contribute a review and made the structure of the article with H.A. E.M. analyzed protein structures and electrostatic potentials on the solvent accessible surface of RuBisCO. A.Y., H.A. and E.M. contributed equally in preparing the manuscript.

23

24 Funding

2 Science and Technology and the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry. H.A. is also partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17H03964, and E.M. is partly 3 supported by JST, PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR17GB. 4 $\mathbf{5}$ **Competing Interests** 6 The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the 7 manuscript. 8 9 10 References 11 Huang, R., Sun, W., Liu, J., Ding, X., Shaobang Peng, S. and Zhan, W. (2016) The H₂/CH₄ 1 12ratio during serpentinization cannot reliably identify biological signatures. Sci. Rep. 6:33821. http://doi.org/10.1038/srep33821. 13142 Kono, T., Mehrotra, S., Endo, C., Kizu, N., Matusda, M., Kimura, H. et al. (2017) A RuBisCO-mediated carbon metabolic pathway in methanogenic archaea. Nat. Commun. 1516 8:14007. http://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14007 17 3 Yokota, A. (2017): Revisiting RuBisCO, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 81, 2039-2049. http://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2017.1379350 18194 Thauer, R. K., Kaster, A. K., Seedorf, H., Buckel, W. and Hedderich, R. (2008) Methanogenic 20archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 216:579-591. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1931. 225 Cleland, W. W., Andrews, T. J., Gutteridge, S., Hartman, F. C. and Lorimer, G. H. (1998)

RuBisCO researches were funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports,

- Mechanism of Rubisco: The carbamate as general base. *Chem. Rev.* 98 549-562. http://doi.org/
 10.1021/cr970010r.
- Lorimer, G. H., Badger, M. R. and Andrews, T. J. (1976) The activation of ribulose1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase by carbon dioxide and magnesium ions. Equilibria, kinetics, a
 suggested mechanism, and physiological implications. *Biochemistry* 15, 529-536. http://doi.org/
 10.1021/bi00648a012.
- Badger, M. R. and Lorimer, G. H. (1981) Interaction of sugar phosphates with the catalytic site
 of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase. *Biochemistry* 20, 2219-2225. http://doi.org/
 10.1021/bi00511a023.
- 32 8 McCann N, Phan D, Wang X, Conway, W., Burns, R., Attalla, M., Puxty, C. and Maeder, M.
 - 12

1		(2009) Kinetics and mechanism of carbamate formation from CO ₂ (aq), carbonate species, and
2		monoethanolamine in aqueous solution. J. Phys. Chem. A. 113, 5022-5029
3		http://doi.org/10.1021/jp810564z
4	9	McCurry SD, Pierce J, Tolbert NE, et al. On the mechanism of effector-mediated activation of
5		ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. J. Biol. Chem. 1981 Jul 10;256(13):6623-6628.
6	10	Douglas B. Jordan, Raymond Chollet,* and William L. Ogren (1983) Binding of
7		phosphorylated effectors by active and inactive forms of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase.
8		Biochemistry 22, 3410-3418 http://doi.org/10.1021/bi00283a017
9	11	Matsumura, H., Mizohata, E., Ishida, H., Kogami, A., Ueno, T., Makino, A.et al. (2012)
10		Crystal structure of rice Rubisco and implications for activation induced by positive effectors
11		NADPH and 6-phosphogluconate. J. Mol. Biol. 422, 75-86
12		http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2012.05.014
13	12	Zhu, G, and Jensen R. G. (1991) Fallover of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
14		activity. Decarbamylation of catalytic sites depends on PH. Plant Physiol. 97, 1354-1358.
15	13	Somerville, C. R., Portis, A. R. and Ogren, W. L. (1982) A mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana
16		which lacks activation of RuBP carboxylase in vivo. Plant Physiol. 70, 381-387.
17		https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.70.2.381
18	14	Portis, A. R., Jr., Li, C., Wang, D. (2008) Salvucci ME, Regulation of Rubisco activase and its
19		interaction with Rubisco. J Exp Bot. 59, 1597-1604.http://doi.org/ 10.1093/jxb/erm240.
20	15	Stotz, M., Mueller-Cajar, O., Ciniawsky, S., Wendler, P., Hartl, F. U., Bracher, A. , Hayer-Hartl,
21		M. (2011) Structure of green-type Rubisco activase from tobacco. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 18,
22		1366-1370 http://doi.org/ 10.2210/pdb3T15/pdb
23	16	Mueller-Cajar, O., Stotz, M., Wendler P, Hartl, F. U., Bracher, A. and Hayer-Hartl, M. (2011)
24		Structure and function of the AAA+ protein CbbX, a red-type Rubisco activase. <i>Nature</i> 479,
25		194-199 http://doi.org/10.1038/nature10568
26	17	Eckardt, N., A., Snyder, G. W., Portis, A. R., Jr. and Orgen, W. L. (1997) Growth and
27		photosynthesis under high and low irradiance of Arabidopsis thaliana antisense mutants with
28		reduced ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase content. Plant Physiol. 113,
29		575-586 http://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.2.575
30	18	Mate, C. J., von Caemmerer, S., Evans, J. R. Hudson, G. S. and Andrews, T. J. (1996) The
31		$relationship \ between \ CO_2 \ assimilation \ rate, \ Rubisco \ carbamylation \ and \ Rubisco \ activase \ content$
32		in activase-deficient transgenic tobacco suggests a simple model of activase action. Planta 198,
33		604-613 http://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00262648
34	19	Vater, S., Salnikow, J. and Kleinkauf, H. (1977) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 74,
35		1618-1625 https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(78)91506-1.
36	20.	Ashida H, Yokota A, Increasing photosynthesis/RuBisCO and CO ₂ -concentrating mechanisms.

1		In: Murray M-Y editor. Comprehensive biotechnology, second edition, Vol 4. Elsevier. 2011, p.
2		165–176 ISBN:978-0-08-088504-9
3	217	Tcherkez G. G. B., Farquhar, G. D. and Andrews, T. J. (2006) Despite slow catalysis and
4		confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be nearly perfectly
5		optimized. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 7246-7251 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600605103
6	22	van Lun, M., Hub, J. S., van der Spoel, D. and Andersson, I. (2014) CO2 and O2 distribution in
7		rubisco suggests the small subunit functions as a CO ₂ reservoir. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136,
8		3165-3171 http://doi.org/10.1021/ja411579b
9	23	Endeward, V., Al-Samir, S., Itel, F. and Gros, G. (2014) How does carbon dioxide permeate cell
10		membranes? A discussion of concepts, results and methods. Front. Physiol. 4, 382
11		http://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2013.00382
12	24	Izui, K., Matsumura, H., Furumoto, T. and Kai, Y. (2004) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: A
13		New era of structural biology. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55, 69-84 http://doi.org/
14		10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141619
15	25	Shiono, K., Ogawa, S., Yamazaki, S., Hiroko Isoda, H., Fujimura, T., Nakazono, M., et al.
16		(2011) Contrasting dynamics of radial O2-loss barrier induction and aerenchyma formation in
17		rice roots of two lengths. Ann. Bot. 107, 89-99 http://doi.org/ doi:10.1093/aob/mcq221
18		

19 Figure legends

20

Figure 1. Proposed primitive Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle (reductive
hexulose-phosphate (RHP) pathway) and related metabolic processes in archaea.

The RHP pathway (highlighted in yellow) has metabolic links to methanogenesis via 2324methylene-H₄MPT, which may be synthesized with formaldehyde released from the 25RHP pathway. The successive black arrows show multiple reaction steps. The RHP pathway (red lines and arrows) is superimposed on the CBB cycle (green lines and 2627arrows), and reaction steps from Ru5P to F6P are common in both cycles. Missing CBB cycle steps in *Methanospillirum hungatei* are indicated by grey dashed lines and arrows. 28Ru5P, 29ribulose 5-phosphate; RuBP, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate; 3-PGA, 30 3-phosphoglycerate; BPG, 1,3-diphosphoglycerate; GAP, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate; 31DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate; FBP, fructose 1,6-bisphosphate; F6P, fructose

6-phosphate; Hu6P, D-arabino-3-hexulose 6-phosphate; FA, formaldehyde; E4P, 1 $\mathbf{2}$ erythrose 4-phosphate; Xu5P, xylulose 5-phosphate; SBP, sedoheptulose 1,7-bisphosphate; S7P, sedoheptulose 7-phosphate; R5P, ribose 5-phosphate; H₄MPT, 3 tetrahydromethanopterin; MFR, methanofuran; PRK, phosphoribulokinase; PGK, 4 3-phosphoglycerate kinase; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; TPI, $\mathbf{5}$ triose phosphate isomerase; FBPase, fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase; TK, transketolase; 6 SBPase, sedoheptulose 1,7-bisphosphatase; RPE, ribulose 5-phosphate 3-epimerase; 7 RPI, ribose 5-phosphate isomerase; PHI, 6-phospho-3-hexulose 6-phosphate; HPS, 8 D-arabino-3-hexulose 6-phosphate synthase. 9

10

11 **Figure 2.** Effector-dependent suppression of the deactivation of RuBisCO.

12This figure is a recasting of Fig. 4 of reference 7 and includes additional calculations as explained in the text. The enzyme (approximately 7 mg/mL) was first activated in 13Bicine buffer (pH 8.2) containing 20 mM MgCl₂ and 5 mM NaHCO₃. At time zero, 5 14 μ L (35 μ g of enzyme) of the solution was added to 400 μ L of Bicine buffer (pH 7.8) 15containing 1 mM EDTA and 0.6 mM NaH¹⁴CO₃ at 10 °C (light blue line). Where 16appropriate, this mixture contained 0.1 mM 6-PG (black line) or 0.2 mM NADPH 17(green line). At the indicated times, 10 µl of 20 mM RuBP was introduced to measure 18the remaining activity at that time for 30 sec. A_t is the activity at time t, and A_i is the 1920initial activity. Inset: This panel replotted the reaction courses of lines 1 and 3 for the initial 20 sec. Red line is the course of the chemical reaction of CO₂ released from MEA 21carbamate as calculated by the following equation: $A_t/A_i = e^{-kt}$, where k is the rate 22constant of the liberation of CO_2 from MAE carbamate and where t is the time in sec. A_t 23is the concentration of MEA carbamate remaining at time t, and A_i is the initial 2425concentration.

Figure 3. Crystal structures and surface properties of hexadecameric RuBisCOs from a 1 $\mathbf{2}$ cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC6301 (PDB code: 1RBL), a green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (1IR2), a higher plant Oryza sativa (1WDD) and a red alga 3 4 Galdieria partita (1BWV). Protein structures and electrostatic potentials on the solvent accessible surface were drawn with PyMOL/APBS (www.pymol.org). Upper and $\mathbf{5}$ middle figures show top and side views of overall structures, and lower figures display 6 $\overline{7}$ the magnified view around the entrance of the catalytic site. In the left figure (A), N-terminal tail (residues 9–22), residues 46-49, loop 6 (331–338), C-terminal tail (460– 8 9 475) and the remaining part of a L2 dimer unit are coloured cyan, green magenta, yellow and light grey, respectively, and the other subunits are dark grey. In the right 10 11 figures (B), the positive, negative and neutral surfaces are shown in blue, red and white, 12respectively.





