



Is Collectivistic Forgiveness Different From Individualistic Forgiveness? Dispositional Correlates of Trait Forgivingness in Canada and Japan

Ohtsubo, Yohsuke ; Masuda, Takahiko ; Matsunaga, Masahiro ; Noguchi, Yasuki ; Yamasue, Hidenori ; Ishii, Keiko

(Citation)

Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science = Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement, 51(4):290-295

(Issue Date)

2019-10

(Resource Type)

journal article

(Version)

Accepted Manuscript

(Rights)

© 2019, Canadian Psychological Association. This article may not exactly replicate the final version published in the CPA journal. It is not the copy of record.

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90006433>



Is Collectivistic Forgiveness Different from Individualistic Forgiveness?

Dispositional Correlates of Trait Forgiveness in Canada and Japan

Yohsuke Ohtsubo (Kobe University) Takahiko Masuda (University of Alberta)

Masahiro Matsunaga (Aichi Medical University) Yasuki Noguchi (Kobe University)

Hidenori Yamasue (Hamamatsu University School of Medicine)

Keiko Ishii (Nagoya University)

Author Notes

Yohsuke Ohtsubo, Department of Psychology, Graduate School of Humanities, Kobe University, Japan; Takahiko Masuda, Department of Psychology, University of Alberta, Canada; Masahiro Matsunaga, Department of Health and Psychosocial Medicine, Aichi Medical University School of Medicine, Japan; Yasuki Noguchi, Department of Psychology, Graduate School of Humanities, Kobe University, Japan; Hidenori Yamasue, Department of Psychiatry, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Japan; Keiko Ishii, Department of Cognitive and Psychological Sciences, Graduate School of Informatics, Nagoya University, Japan.

We are grateful to Amy Chan, Elsie Chang, Lili Gang, Miho Iwasaki, Mindy Jiang, Naoki Konishi, Maki Oba, Misaki Ochi, Angelica Paras, Shunta Sasaki, and Mana Yamaguchi for their assistance in data collection. This research was generously supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Topic-Setting Program to Advance Cutting-Edge Humanities and Social Sciences Research Area Cultivation program #D-4) to KI.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Yohsuke Ohtsubo.

Phone: Int+81-78-803-5519; E-mail: yohtsubo@lit.kobe-u.ac.jp

Is Collectivistic Forgiveness Different from Individualistic Forgiveness?
Dispositional Correlates of Trait Forgivingness in Canada and Japan

Abstract

Trait forgivingness is associated with subjective well-being and positive health outcomes. However, researchers have argued recently that in collectivistic cultures, forgiveness is primarily determined by cultural norms that value social harmony and the forgivers' dispositions are less important than in individualistic cultures. The present study tested whether 18 dispositional variables, such as Big Five personality traits, would be more strongly correlated with trait forgivingness in Canada (an individualistic country) than in Japan (a collectivistic country). A series of tests of two independent correlations revealed that even without the *p*-value adjustment for multiple tests, only two of the 18 dispositional variables were more strongly correlated with trait forgivingness in Canada than in Japan. This result suggests that there is considerable similarity among dispositional correlates across the two countries. In both countries, trait forgivingness was correlated with similar dispositional variables, such as agreeableness, extraversion, and neuroticism.

Keywords: trait forgivingness, individualism/collectivism, Big Five personality traits

Public Significance Statements

Studies have shown that forgiveness is be associated with positive outcomes, such as higher subjective well-being. However, some scholars argue that trait forgivingness is correlated with dispositional variables in individualistic cultures, but not in collectivistic cultures. Such a cultural difference—if, in fact, it exists—inhibits psychologists from readily generalizing the notion of forgiveness to people from different cultural backgrounds. The present study revealed that trait forgivingness is correlated with mostly similar dispositional variables in an individualistic country (Canada) and a collectivistic country (Japan).

Trait forgivingness is defined as one's disposition to forgive others (Berry, Worthington, O'Connor, Parrott, & Wade, 2005; Roberts, 1995). Empirical studies have revealed that trait forgivingness is correlated with subjective well-being and mental/physical health outcomes (Worthington, Witvliet, Pietrini, & Miller, 2007). Recently, increasing efforts have been devoted to identifying cultural similarities and differences in forgiveness in general and trait forgivingness in particular (Ho & Worthington, 2018; Hook, Worthington, & Utsey, 2009; Sandage, Hill, & Vang, 2003). According to Hook et al. (2009), whether to forgive others is more strongly constrained by social norms, such as a norm of harmony, in collectivistic cultures than in individualistic cultures. As a result, internal variables, such as personality traits, may be less important in determining forgiveness in collectivistic cultures (Hook et al., 2009).

Studies conducted in individualistic cultures have shown that trait forgivingness is positively correlated with agreeableness, extraversion, and trait empathy (i.e., empathic concern and perspective taking) and negatively correlated with neuroticism (e.g., Ashton, Paunonen, Helmes, & Jackson, 1998; Berry et al., 2005; Berry, Worthington, Parrott, O'Connor, & Wade 2001; Brown, 2003). However, trait forgivingness was not significantly correlated with agreeableness and neuroticism among Nepalese students (Watkins & Regmi, 2004), and it was not significantly correlated with anxiety and self-esteem in a Chinese sample (Fu, Watkins, & Hui, 2004). Nevertheless, some studies have uncovered significant correlations between trait forgivingness and personality traits in collectivistic cultures: it was positively correlated with agreeableness and negatively correlated with neuroticism among Taiwanese and Japanese samples (Kato & Taniguchi, 2009; Ohtsubo, Yamaura, & Yagi, 2015; Wang, 2008). Therefore, there is no conclusive evidence of weaker (if not the absence of) correlations between trait forgivingness and personality traits in collectivistic cultures.

The primary purpose of this study was to compare correlations between trait forgivingness and a relatively comprehensive set of dispositional variables in an individualistic country (i.e., Canada) versus a collectivistic country (i.e., Japan). According to Hook et al.'s (2009) hypothesis, correlations between trait forgivingness and dispositional variables should be significantly greater in Canada (an individualistic culture) than in Japan (a collectivistic culture). This prediction merits empirical testing because such cultural differences—if, in fact, they exist—necessitate special care in generalizing the notion of forgiveness to collectivistic cultures as well as to minority ethnic group members from collectivistic cultural backgrounds.

Method

Participants

This study involved 181 undergraduate students at the University of Alberta, Canada (122 females and 59 males; mean age = 19.47 years, $SD = 1.83$) and 213 undergraduate students at Kobe University, Japan (112 females, 100 males, and 1 unreported; mean age = 19.25 years, $SD = 0.99$). For the Canadian sample, we recruited only self-claimed white Canadians. Data collection in both countries was part of a larger research project¹. All measures analyzed in this study were administered in a paper-and-pencil format in both Canada and Japan.

The expected cultural differences between these two samples were confirmed by the scores of the Independent and Interdependent Self-Construals Scale (Singelis, 1994). Canadian participants perceived themselves as more independent (3.85, $SD = 0.53$) than Japanese participants (3.11, $SD = 0.60$), $t(392) = 12.81$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = 1.30$; while Japanese participants perceived themselves as more interdependent (3.71, $SD = 0.48$) than Canadian participants (3.40, $SD = 0.48$), $t(392) = 6.42$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = 0.65$.

Measures

Among a larger set of measures, we used three criteria to select the dispositional variables included in this study. First, at least one previous study found cultural difference in the focal variable's correlation with trait forgivingness. Second, at least one previous study found a non-significant correlation between its closely related construct and trait forgivingness in collectivistic cultures. Third, previous studies have established its conceptual/empirical correlation with trait forgivingness (see the Inclusion Criteria section and Dispositional Variables section in the Supplementary Materials for justifications for including these measures, sample items, and the reference information for the Japanese versions of these scales): (i) *trait forgivingness*, measured on a 5-point scale by the Trait Forgivingness Scale (TFS; Berry et al., 2005) comprising 10 items (e.g., I can forgive a friend for almost anything); (ii) *subjective happiness*, measured on a 7-point scale by the Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS; Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999); (iii) Big Five personality traits (*agreeableness, conscientiousness, extraversion, neuroticism, openness*), measured by the NEO Five-Factor Inventory comprising 12 items for each personality trait (score range = 0–48) (Costa & McCrae, 1992); (iv) *self-esteem*, measured on a 6-point scale by Rosenberg's Self-Esteem Scale (Rosenberg, 1965) consisting of 10 items; (v) trait empathy (*empathic concern, perspective taking, personal distress, fantasy*), measured on a 5-point scale by the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI; Davis, 1983); (vi) *behavioral inhibition system (BIS)* and *behavioral activation system (BAS) sensitivities*, measured on a 4-point scale by the BIS/BAS scale (Carver & White, 1994); and (vii) *loneliness*, measured on a 4-point scale by the UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russell, Peplau, & Cutrona, 1980) consisting of 20 items; (viii) *general trust* and *caution*, measured on a 5-point scale in Canada and a 7-point scale in Japan by the Trust Scale (Yamagishi et al., 2015); (ix) *psychopathic dispositions*, measured on a 4-point scale by the Primary and Secondary Psychopathy Scale (Levenson, Kiehl, &

Fitzpatrick, 1995).

Results

Trait forgivingness (Cronbach's α coefficient was .84 in Canada and .79 in Japan) was higher in the Canadian sample (3.34, $SD = 0.71$) than in the Japanese sample (2.81, $SD = 0.61$). The difference between trait forgivingness was significant by Welch's test, $t(358.4) = 7.80$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = 0.79$. This cultural difference is consistent with previous findings (Berry et al., 2005; Karremans et al., 2011). We did not include gender in the subsequent analyses because there were no significant gender differences in trait forgivingness ($ts < 1$ in both countries). The descriptive statistics and tests of cultural differences for the other 18 dispositional variables are reported in Table S1 in the Supplementary Materials. The correlation matrix of the dispositional variables for each country is reported in Table S2.

As shown in Table 1, trait forgivingness was significantly correlated with 13 of the 18 dispositional variables in Canada and with 10 of the 18 dispositional variables in Japan (significance level adjusted for multiple tests within each culture using Holm's method). The correlational pattern was similar across the two countries: Subjective happiness, agreeableness, extraversion, self-esteem, and general trust were significantly positively correlated with trait forgivingness in both countries, while neuroticism, BIS, loneliness, caution, and primary psychopathy were significantly negatively correlated with trait forgivingness in both countries.

More central to the hypothesis, we conducted a series of 18 tests of two independent correlations. The results were not consistent with Hook et al.'s (2009) hypothesis. When the critical p -value was adjusted for multiple tests applying the Bonferroni correction (significance level set at $.0028 = .05/18$), only one dispositional variable (i.e., perspective taking) was significantly more strongly correlated with trait forgivingness in Canada than in Japan (see Table

1). When p -values were not adjusted for multiple tests, only one of the other 17 variables (i.e., subjective happiness) became significant. Although the direction of significant differences in correlations was consistent with Hook et al.'s hypothesis, it seems safe to conclude that trait forgivingness is correlated with similar dispositional variables both in Canada and Japan, although the magnitude of the correlations may be slightly smaller in Japan than in Canada.

Discussion

Although there was a slight tendency for Canadian correlations to be larger than Japanese correlations, the overall differences were rather negligible. Trait forgivingness was correlated with almost identical sets of dispositional variables (e.g., agreeableness, neuroticism, self-esteem, BIS) in both Canada and Japan. This result tilts toward the null hypothesis, but not the cultural difference hypothesis and thus encourages forgiveness researchers to apply previous findings—most of which have been accumulated in individualistic cultures—to populations with different cultural backgrounds.

This study had several limitations. First, the nature of this trait-level study does not eliminate possibilities that people in collectivistic cultures forgive others for different reasons, such as a concern for social harmony (e.g., Kadima Kadiangandu, Mullet, & Vinsonneau, 2001; Kurniati, Worthington, Poerwandari, Ginanjar, & Dwiwardani, 2017; Suwartono, Prawasti, & Mullet, 2007). The second limitation is the reliance on student samples. Thus, it is desirable to confirm the present result with community samples. The third limitation is the exclusive focus on two countries—namely, Canada and Japan. Future studies should include a wider range of individualistic and collectivistic countries.

In conclusion, this study underscored cross-cultural similarities, rather than differences, in trait forgivingness. If trait forgivingness is correlated with similar dispositional variables in

both individualistic and collectivistic cultures, it is reasonable to expect that forgiveness processes also have substantial cross-cultural commonalities. Acknowledging the presence of such commonalities is a starting point for more fruitfully exploring subtle cultural differences in forgiveness processes.

References

- Ashton, M. C., Paunonen, S. V., Helmes, E., & Jackson, D. N. (1998). Kin altruism, reciprocal altruism, and the Big Five personality factors. *Evolution and Human Behavior, 19*, 243-255. doi:10.1016/S1090-5138(98)00009-9
- Berry, J. W. Worthington, E. L. Jr., O'Connor, L. E. Parrott, L. , III., & Wade, N. G. (2005). Forgivingness, vengeful rumination, and affective traits. *Journal of Personality, 73*, 183-225. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.2004.00308.x
- Berry, J. W., Worthington, E. L., Jr., Parrott, L., III., O'Connor, L. E., & Wade, N. G. (2001). Dispositional forgivingness: Development and construct validity of the Transgression Narrative Test of Forgivingness (TNTF). *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27*, 1277-1290. doi:10.1177/01461672012710004
- Brown, R. P. (2003). Measuring individual differences in the tendency to forgive: Construct validity and links with depression. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 29*, 759-771. doi:10.1177/0146167203029006008
- Carver, C. S., & White, T. L. (1994). Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: The BIS/BAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67*, 319-333. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.67.2.319
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). *Revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) and NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) professional manual*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for multidimensional approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 44*, 113-126. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.113

- Fu, H., Watkins, D., & Hui, E. K. P. (2004). Personality correlates of the disposition towards interpersonal forgiveness: A Chinese perspective. *International Journal of Psychology, 39*, 305-316. doi:10.1080/100207590344000402
- Ho, M. Y., & Worthington, E. L., Jr. (2018). Is the concept of forgiveness universal? A cross-cultural perspective comparing western and eastern cultures. *Current Psychology*. doi:10.1007/s12144-018-9875-x
- Hook, J. N., Worthington, E. L., Jr., & Utsey, S. O. (2009). Collectivism, forgiveness, and social harmony. *Counseling Psychologist, 37*, 821-847. doi:10.1177/0011000008326546
- Kadima Kadiangandu, J., Mullet, E., & Vinsonneau, G. (2001). Forgiveness: A Congo-France comparison. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 32*, 504-511. doi:10.1177/0022022101032004009
- Karremans, J. C., Regalia, C., Paleari, F. G., Fincham, F. D., Cui, M., Takada, N., Ohbuchi, K., Terzino, K., Cross, S. E., & Uskul, A. K. (2011). Maintaining harmony across the globe: The cross-cultural association between closeness and interpersonal forgiveness. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2*, 443-451. doi:10.1177/1948550610396957
- Kato, T., & Taniguchi, K. (2009). Development of the forgiveness of others scale. *Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology, 57*, 158-167. doi:10.5926/jjep.57.158
- Kurniati, N. M. T., Worthington, E. L., Jr., Poerwandari, E. K., Ginanjar, A. S., & Dwiwardani, C. (2017). Forgiveness in Javanese collective culture: The relationship between rumination, harmonious value, decisional forgiveness and emotional forgiveness. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 20*, 113-127. doi:10.1111/ajsp.12173
- Levenson, M. R., Kiehl, K. A., & Fitzpatrick, C. M. (1995). Assessing psychopathic attributes in a noninstitutionalized population. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 68*,

151-158. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.68.1.151

Lyubomirsky, S., & Lepper, H. (1999). A measure of subjective happiness: Preliminary reliability and construct validation. *Social Indicators Research*, 46, 137-155.

doi:10.1023/A:1006824100041

Ohtsubo, Y., Yamaura, K., & Yagi, A. (2015). Development of Japanese measures of reconciliatory tendencies: The Japanese Trait Forgivingness Scale and the Japanese Proclivity to Apologize Measure. *Japanese Journal of Social Psychology*, 31, 135-142.

doi:10.14966/jssp.31.2_135

Roberts, R. C. (1995). Forgivingness. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 32, 289-306.

Rosenberg, M. (1965). *Society and the adolescent self-image*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Russell, D., Peplau, L.A., & Cutrona, C.E. (1980). The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: Concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39, 472-480. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.39.3.472

Sandage, S. J., Hill, P. C., & Vang, H. C. (2003). Toward a multicultural positive psychology: Indigenous forgiveness and Hmong culture. *Counselling Psychologist*, 31, 564-592. doi:10.1177/0011000003256350

Singelis, T. M. (1994). The measurement of independent and interdependent self-construals. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20, 580-591. doi:10.1177/0146167294205014

Suwartono, C., Prawasti, C. Y., & Mullet, E. (2007). Effect of culture on forgivingness: A Southern Asia-Western Europe comparison. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42, 513-523. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2006.07.027

Wang, T.-W. (2008). Forgiveness and big five personality traits among Taiwanese undergraduates.

Social Behavior and Personality, 36, 849-850. doi:10.2224/sbp.2008.36.6.849

Watkins, D., & Regmi, M (2004). Personality and forgiveness: A Nepalese perspective. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 144, 539-540. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3200/SOCP.144.5.539-540>

Worthington, E. L., Jr., Witvliet, C. V. O., Pietrini, P., & Miller, J. (2007). Forgiveness, health, and well-being: A review of evidence for emotional versus decisional forgiveness, dispositional forgivingness, and reduced unforgiveness. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 30, 291-302. doi:10.1007/s10865-007-9105-8

Yamagishi, T., Akutsu, S., Cho, K., Inoue, Y., Li, Y., & Matsumoto, Y. (2015). Two-component model of general trust: Predicting behavioral trust from attitudinal trust. *Social Cognition*, 33, 436-458. doi: 10.1521/soco.2015.33.5.436

Footnotes

¹ Some results from this project were already published (see the Supplementary Materials).

Table 1

Within-Country Correlations with Trait Forgivingness and Other Variables of Interest and the Results of the Tests of Difference in Two Independent Correlations.

	Canada			Japan			Test of the Difference in Two Independent Correlations		
	<i>r</i>	<i>n</i> _{Canada}	Sig. (Adjusted)	<i>r</i>	<i>n</i> _{Japan}	Sig. (Adjusted)	<i>Z</i>	<i>p</i> -value	Sig. (unadjusted)
<i>Dispositional Variables</i>									
(ii) Subjective Happiness	.42	181	***	.22	212	*	2.16	.031	*
(iii) Big Five Personality									
Agreeableness	.57	181	***	.53	212	***	0.58	.561	
Conscientiousness	.13	181		.09	212		0.47	.637	
Extraversion	.23	181	*	.33	212	***	1.09	.278	
Neuroticism	-.42	181	***	-.47	212	***	0.61	.544	
Openness	.04	181		.06	212		0.19	.848	
(iv) Self-Esteem	.36	180	***	.20	213	*	1.67	.096	
(v) Empathy									
Empathic Concern	.26	181	**	.07	213		1.91	.056	
Perspective Taking	.37	181	***	.08	212		3.02	.0025	**
Personal Distress	-.10	181		-.11	198		0.08	.936	
Fantasy	-.07	181		-.07	213		0.06	.951	

(Table 2 *cont'd*)

(vi) BIS/BAS

BIS	-.27	180	**	-.29	213	***	0.28	.779
-----	------	-----	----	------	-----	-----	------	------

BAS	-.07	180		-.06	213		0.11	.910
-----	------	-----	--	------	-----	--	------	------

(vi) Loneliness	-.35	181	***	-.41	213	***	0.75	.455
-----------------	------	-----	-----	------	-----	-----	------	------

(viii) Trust

General Trust	.24	181	**	.42	213	***	1.89	.059
---------------	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	------	------

Caution	-.21	181	*	-.28	213	***	0.81	.419
---------	------	-----	---	------	-----	-----	------	------

(ix) Psychopathy

Primary Psychopathy	-.28	181	**	-.29	213	***	0.03	.980
---------------------	------	-----	----	------	-----	-----	------	------

Secondary Psychopathy	-.31	181	***	-.16	213		1.59	.111
-----------------------	------	-----	-----	------	-----	--	------	------

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Is Collectivistic Forgiveness Different from Individualistic Forgiveness?

Dispositional Correlates of Trait Forgivingness in Canada and Japan

Inclusion Criteria	2
Dispositional Variables	2
(i) <i>Trait Forgivingness</i>	2
(ii) <i>Subjective Happiness</i> (Criterion #3).....	2
(iii) <i>Big Five Personality Traits</i> (Criterion #1).....	3
(iv) <i>Self-Esteem</i> (Criterion #1).....	3
(v) <i>Trait Empathy</i> (Criterion #3).....	4
(vi) <i>BIS/BAS Sensitivities</i> (Criterion #2).....	5
(vii) <i>Loneliness</i> (Criterion #2).....	6
(viii) <i>General Trust and Caution</i> (Criterion #3).....	6
Original Project	7
<i>List of the Scales Included in the Original Project</i>	8
References	10
Table S1	19
<i>Means, Standard Deviations, and Cronbach's α Coefficients of the Variables of Interest in Canada and Japan, and Cultural Differences (the Levels of the Significance Were Adjusted for Multiple Comparisons by the Bonferroni Method)</i>	19
Table S2	21
<i>Correlation Matrices of the Variables of Interests (Except Trait Forgivingness) within Each Country (Canadian Correlations Are above the Diagonal Cells and Japanese Correlations Are below the Diagonal Cells)</i>	21

Inclusion Criteria

We included dispositional variables (or an entire scale involving the relevant subscale) that met one of the following criteria: (1) At least one previous study reported that the correlation between the focal dispositional variable and trait forgivingness was significant in individualistic cultures, but not significant in collectivistic cultures. (2) At least one previous study reported that the correlation between a construct related to the focal dispositional variable and trait forgivingness was not significant in collectivistic cultures. (3) The focal dispositional variable has been known to be correlated with trait forgivingness or forgiveness processes in individualistic cultures. The second and third criteria were used to expand the range of dispositional variables included in the analyses. We expected that the inclusion of more dispositional variables would increase our likelihood of detecting hypothesized cultural difference (i.e., significant in Canada but non-significant in Japan). In the following section, we denote the criterion number that was used in deciding to include each dispositional variable in the analyses.

Dispositional Variables*(i) Trait Forgivingness*

Trait forgivingness was the focal variable of this study. It was operationalized by Trait Forgivingness Scale (TFS) developed by Berry, Worthington, O'Connor, Parrott, & Wade, 2005). The Japanese version was developed by Ohtsubo, Yamaura, and Yagi (2015).

(ii) Subjective Happiness (Criterion #3)

It has been established that forgiveness is associated with greater subjective well-being (e.g., Allemand, Hill, Ghaemmaghami, & Martin, 2012; Toussaint & Webb, 2005). In addition, Ohtsubo et al. (2015) showed that subjective well-being was positively correlated with trait

forgivingness in Japan. However, Sastre, Vinsonneau, Neto, Girard, and Mullet (2003) found a significant correlation between life satisfaction and trait forgivingness in France (i.e., an individualistic culture) but a non-significant correlation in Portugal (i.e., a relatively collectivistic culture). Although this asymmetry is consistent with Hook, Worthington and Utsey's (2009) hypothesis, it must be cautiously interpreted because of the large sample size difference (810 in France and 192 in Portugal). Therefore, it is worthy to test the cultural difference in subjective well-being \times trait forgivingness correlation in Canada and Japan. Subjective happiness was measured on a 7-point scale by the Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS; Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999; Shimai, Otake, Utsuki, Ikemi, & Lyubomirsky, 2004) that consisted of four items (e.g., In general, I consider myself as a happy person).

(iii) Big Five Personality Traits (Criterion #1)

Watkins and Regmi (2004) found non-significant correlations between trait forgivingness and agreeableness/neuroticism in Nepal. Agreeableness and neuroticism are the personality traits that are most reliably correlated with trait forgivingness in individualistic cultures (e.g., Ashton, Paunonen, Helmes, & Jackson, 1998; Berry et al., 2005; Berry, Worthington, Parrott, O'Connor, & Wade 2001; Brown, 2003). Moreover, there are studies that found significant correlations between agreeableness/neuroticism and trait forgivingness in collectivistic countries, such as Taiwan and Japan (Kato & Taniguchi, 2009; Ohtsubo et al., 2015; Wang, 2008). Accordingly, we included the Big Five personality traits (*agreeableness, conscientiousness, extraversion, neuroticism, openness*) in this study. They were measured by the NEO Five-Factor Inventory involving 12 items for each personality trait (score range = 0–48) (Costa & McCrae, 1992; Shimonaka, Nakazato, Gondo, & Takayama, 1999).

(iv) Self-Esteem (Criterion #1)

Fu, Watkins, and Hui (2004) found that self-esteem was not significantly correlated with trait forgivingness among a Chinese sample. Furthermore, self-esteem was not significantly correlated with trait forgivingness in a study conducted in Portugal, which is a relatively collectivistic country in Europe (Neto & Mullet, 2003). Although these findings seem to suggest that self-esteem is uniquely uncorrelated with trait forgivingness in collectivistic cultures, Fehr, Gelfand, and Nag's (2010) meta-analytic review showed that self-esteem was not associated with forgiveness (note that the most studies included in the meta-analysis were conducted in individualistic cultures, and that the dependent variable was more often state forgiveness rather than trait forgivingness). Accordingly, self-esteem was included to examine whether it is in fact not correlated with trait forgivingness in a collectivistic country (i.e., Japan), and whether they are correlated in an individualistic culture (i.e., Canada). Self-esteem was measured on a 6-point scale by Rosenberg's Self-Esteem Scale (Rosenberg, 1965; the original English version was translated into Japanese by the authors) that consisted of 10 items (e.g., On the whole, I am satisfied with myself).

(v) *Trait Empathy* (Criterion #3)

Fehr et al.'s (2010) meta-analysis revealed that empathy is one of the strongest predictors of forgiveness. In fact, some studies have shown that trait forgivingness is correlated with trait empathy (e.g., Berry et al., 2005; Brown, 2003). Accordingly, we included Davis's (1983) Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI; the Japanese version was developed by Aketa, 1999). IRI was designed to measure four aspects of empathy: *empathic concern*, *perspective taking*, *personal distress*, and *fantasy*. Empathic concern refers to a tendency to experience compassion for others in pain (e.g., I often have tender, concerned feelings for people less fortunate than me). Perspective taking refers to a tendency or ability to take a point of view of someone else (e.g., I

sometimes try to understand my friends better by imagining how things look from their perspective). Personal distress refers to a tendency to experience discomfort in witnessing other people's undergoing negative events (e.g., When I see someone get hurt, I tend to remain calm [reverse coded item]). Fantasy refers to a tendency to identify oneself with a fictitious characters in books and movies (e.g., I really get involved with the feelings of the characters in a novel). These items were rated on a 5-point scale.

As shown in Table 1 (main text), in this study, empathic concern and perspective taking were significantly positively correlated with trait forgivingness only in Canada. However, these correlations were not significant in Japan. The absence of the significant correlations in Japan might be due to the relatively low reliability associated with these empathy measures (Cronbach's α coefficient was .36 and .63 for empathic concern and perspective taking, respectively in Japan; see Table S1). It has been known that Aketa's (1999) Japanese IRI, which was used in this study, was associated with inherently low reliability. In an effort to solve this problem, Himichi et al. (2017) recently developed an improved version of Japanese IRI. However, this refined Japanese IRI was not yet available when the data collection of this study was undertaken.

(vi) *BIS/BAS Sensitivities* (Criterion #2)

The Behavioral Inhibition System (BIS) and Behavioral Activation System (BAS) Scale was developed by Carver and White (1994) to measure the two motivational systems: the behavioral inhibition system (BIS) is sensitive to cues that may lead to negative, painful outcomes, and associated with experiences of anxiety; the behavioral activation system (BAS) is sensitive to cues of rewards, and facilitates movements toward goals. Sample items of the scale include "Criticism or scolding hurts me quite a bit" (BIS) and "I go out of my way to get things I

want” (BAS), which were rated on a 4-point scale. It was shown that the BIS is associated with anxiety (Carver & White, 1994). Anxiety was not significantly correlated with trait forgivingness in a Chinese sample (Fu et al., 2004). More importantly, this non-significant correlation is considered as supportive evidence for weaker correlation between dispositional variables and trait forgivingness in collectivistic cultures (Hook et al., 2009). Therefore, it was worthwhile testing whether trait anxiety would be significantly correlated with trait forgivingness in Canada but not in Japan. Although this study, unfortunately, did not include direct measures of anxiety, it included the BIS/BAS scale. Accordingly, we decided to include it (especially BIS) as a proxy measure of anxiety in this study. The Japanese version of the BIS/BAS scale was developed by Takahashi et al. (2007).

(vii) Loneliness (Criterion #2)

It is known that loneliness is associated with anxiety and lower mental health (Cacioppo & Patrick, 2008). Remember that trait forgivingness is positively associated with mental health. In addition, the non-significant correlation between anxiety and trait forgivingness in a Chinese sample (Fu et al., 2004) is considered as supportive evidence for weaker correlations between trait forgivingness and dispositional variables (Hook et al., 2009). Therefore, for an exploratory purpose, we included the UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russell, Peplau, & Cutrona, 1980; Moroi, 1992), which consisted of 20 items (e.g., There is no one I can turn to) rated on a 4-point scale.

(viii) General Trust and Caution (Criterion #3)

We included trust because trust recovery and forgiveness are often used synonymously (e.g., Desmet, De Cremer, & van Dijk, 2011; Kim, Ferrin, Cooper, & Dirks, 2004). Consistent with this conceptualization, a recent study found a relationship between trust and forgivingness, and this relationship might be moderated by culture (Antonucci, Ajrouch, Webster, & Birditt,

2018). Therefore, we employed the Trust Scale developed by Yamagishi and Yamagishi (1994; see also Yamagishi, Akutsu, Cho, Inoue, Li, & Matsumoto, 2015) consisting of two factors: general trust and caution. General trust items measure a level of trust in general others (e.g., Most people are basically honest). Caution items measure a belief that caution is needed in dealing with others (e.g., You should always be on your guard when interacting with other people). By some mistake, general trust and caution were measured on a 5-point scale in Canada and on a 7-point scale in Japan. For the data analyses, the Japanese data were rescaled so that the scores would distribute from 1 to 5 (see supplementary R Markdown HTML file for more details).

(ix) Psychopathy (Criterion #3)

As we already noted that one of the most important proximate emotions of forgiveness is empathy (Fehr et al., 2010). Psychopathy is characterized by a lack of empathy (Levenson, Kiehl, & Fitzpatrick, 1995). Consistent with this characterization, Giammarco and Vernon (2014) found a negative correlation between psychopathy and trait forgivingness. Therefore, we included the Primary and Secondary Psychopathy Scale (Levenson et al., 1995; Osumi, Kanayama, Sugiura, & Ohira, 2007). According to Levenson et al. (1995), primary psychopathy items were developed to assess selfishness, an uncaring trait, and manipulative posture toward others (e.g., For me, what's right is whatever I can get away with). Secondary psychopathy items were developed to assess impulsivity and a self-defeating lifestyle (e.g., I don't plan anything very far in advance). These items were measured on a 4-point scale.

Original Project

The original project included a wider range of measures than reported in this study (see Ishii et al., 2018; Matsunaga et al., 2017; Matsunaga et al., 2018, for already-published outputs

from this project). In the original study, participants provided for their nail samples for genetic polymorphism analyses in both Canada and Japan. Japanese participants also engaged in a series of economic game experiments and provided their saliva samples for hormone analyses.

Although we do not explain details of the procedures of these parts of the project, we list the all individual difference variables below. The Japanese study included more scales than the Canadian study. The scales included in both the Canadian and Japanese study were denoted by “C&J” and the scales included only in the Japanese study were denoted by “J.”

List of the Scales Included in the Original Project

1. BIS/BAS Scale (Carver & White, 1994) (C&J). This scale was administered both in Canada and Japan. The Japanese version was developed by Takahashi et al. (2007).
2. Sense of Control Scale (Lachman & Weaver, 1998) (C&J). The Japanese version was adapted from Kitayama, Karasawa, Curhan, Ryff, and Markus’s (2010) study.
3. Brief COPE Scale (Carver, 1997) (C&J). The Japanese version was adapted from Mojaverian, Hashimoto, and Kim’s (2013) study.
4. Dialectical Self Scale (Spencer-Rodgers, Srivastava, Boucher, English, Paletz, & Peng, 2015)) (C&J). This scale was translated into Japanese by members of the project team.
5. Independent and Interdependent Self-Construals Scale (Singelis, 1994) (C&J). The Japanese version was adapted from Heine’s (1996) study.
6. Circumplex Scales of Interpersonal Values (Locke, 2000) (C&J). The Japanese version was Miyamoto and Wilken (2010).
7. Interpersonal Reactivity Index (Davis, 1983). The Japanese version was developed by Aketa (1999).
8. Moral Foundations Questionnaire (Graham et al., 2011). The Japanese version was

adapted by Konishi, Oe, Shimizu, Tanaka, and Ohtsubo's (2017) study.

9. Primary and Secondary Psychopathy Scale (Levenson et al., 1995) (C&J). The Japanese version was developed by Osumi et al. (2007).
10. Rosenberg's Self-Esteem Scale (Rosenberg, 1965) (C&J). The original English version was translated into Japanese by the authors.
11. Trait Forgivingness Scale (TFS) (Berry et al., 2005). The Japanese version was developed by Ohtsubo et al. (2015).
12. Ji, Zhang, and Nisbett's (2004) categorization task, which was designed to measure the cultural differences in reasoning (J). The original English version was translated into Japanese by the authors.
13. Trust and Caution Scale (Yamagishi & Yamagishi, 1994) (C&J). Yamagishi and Yamagishi (1994) developed both the English and Japanese versions.
14. UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russell et al, 1980) (C&J). The Japanese version was developed by Moroi (1992).
15. Autism-Spectrum Quotient (Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Skinner, Martin, & Clubley, 2001) (C&J). The Japanese version was developed by Wakabayashi, Tojo, Baron-Cohen, and Wheelwright (2004).
16. Berkeley Expressivity Questionnaire (Gross & John, 1995; 1997) (C&J). The original English version was translated into Japanese by the authors.
17. Horizontal and Vertical Individualism and Collectivism Scale (Singelis, Triandis, Bhawuk, & Gelfand, 1995) (C&J). The Japanese version was developed by Ohashi (2004).
18. Raven Advanced Progressive Matrices (Raven, Raven, & Court, 1998) (J). The

instructions were delivered in Japanese.

19. NEO Five-Factor Inventory (Costa & McCrae, 1992) (C&J). The Japanese version was developed by Shimonaka, Nakazato, Gondo, and Takayama (1999).
20. Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (Baron-Cohen, Jolliffe, Mortimore, & Robertson, 1997) (J). The Japanese version was adapted from Adam et al.'s (2010) study.
21. Subjective Happiness Scale (Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999) (C&J). The Japanese version was developed by Shimai, Otake, Utsuki, Ikemi, and Lyubomirsky (2004). The Japanese version of this scale was followed by hypothetical vignettes to assess participants' situation-dependent happiness.
22. Delay discounting task reported in Ishii et al. (2018) (J).
23. Subjective SES Scale (Adler, Epel, Castellazzo, & Ickovics, 2000) (C&J). The Japanese version was adapted from Part et al.'s (2013) study.
24. The number of moves were also assessed following Oishi and Schimmack's (2010) study (J).
25. Demographic variables, such as gender and age, were assessed at the end of the session. The contents of the demographic variable questionnaire slightly differed in the two countries.

References

- Adams, R. B., Rule, N. O., Franklin, R. G., Jr., Wang, E., Stevenson, M. T., Yoshikawa, S., . . . Ambady, N. (2010). Cross-cultural reading the mind in the eyes: An fMRI investigation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 22, 97-108. doi:10.1162/jocn.2009.21187
- Aketa, Y. (1999). On a framework for and measures of empathy: An organizational model of

empathy by Davis, and a preliminary study of a multidimensional empathy scale (IRI-J).

Psychological Report of Sophia University, 23, 19-31.

Adler, N. E., Epel, E. S., Castellazzo, G., & Ickovics, J. R. (2000). Relationship of subjective and objective social status with psychological and physiological functioning: Preliminary data in healthy, White women. *Health Psychology*, 19, 586-592.

doi:10.1037/0278-6133.19.6.586

Allemand, M., Hill, P. L., Ghaemmaghami, P., & Martin, M. (2012). Forgiveness and subjective well-being in adulthood: The moderating role of future time perspective. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 46, 32-39. doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2011.11.004

Antonucci, T. C., Ajrouch, K. J., Webster, N. J., & Birditt, K. S. (2018). Social networks and forgiveness: The role of trust and efficacy. *Research in Human Development*, 15, 3-20. doi: 10.1080/15427609.2017.1415093

Ashton, M. C., Paunonen, S. V., Helmes, E., & Jackson, D. N. (1998). Kin altruism, reciprocal altruism, and the Big Five personality factors. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 19, 243-255. doi:10.1016/S1090-5138(98)00009-9

Baron-Cohen, S., Jolliffe, T., Mortimore, C., & Robertson, M. (1997). Another advanced test of theory of mind: Evidence from very high functioning adults with autism or Asperger Syndrome. *Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines*, 38, 813-822. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.1997.tb01599.x

Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J., & Clubley, E. (2001). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ): Evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31, 5-17. doi:10.1023/A:1005653411471

- Berry, J. W. Worthington, E. L. Jr., O'Connor, L. E. Parrott, L. , III., & Wade, N. G. (2005). Forgivingness, vengeful rumination, and affective traits. *Journal of Personality*, 73, 183-225. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.2004.00308.x
- Berry, J. W., Worthington, E. L., Jr., Parrott, L., III., O'Connor, L. E., & Wade, N. G. (2001). Dispositional forgivingness: Development and construct validity of the Transgression Narrative Test of Forgivingness (TNTF). *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27, 1277-1290. doi:10.1177/01461672012710004
- Brown, R. P. (2003). Measuring individual differences in the tendency to forgive: Construct validity and links with depression. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29, 759-771. doi:10.1177/0146167203029006008
- Cacioppo, J. T., & Patrick, W. (2008). *Loneliness: Human nature and the need for social connection*. New York: Norton.
- Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the Brief COPE. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 4, 92-100. doi:10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6
- Carver, C. S., & White, T. L. (1994). Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: The BIS/BAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67, 319-333. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.67.2.319
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). *Revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) and NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) professional manual*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for multidimensional approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44, 113-126.

doi:10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.113

Desmet, P. T. M., De Cremer, D., & van Dijk, E. (2011). Trust recovery from voluntary or forced financial compensation in the trust game: The role of trait forgiveness. *Personality and Individual Differences, 51*, 267-273. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2010.05.027

Fehr, R., Gelfand, M. J., & Nag, M. (2010). The road to forgiveness: A meta-analytic synthesis of its situational and dispositional correlates. *Psychological Bulletin, 136*, 894-914.

doi:10.1037/a0019993

Fu, H., Watkins, D., & Hui, E. K. P. (2004). Personality correlates of the disposition towards interpersonal forgiveness: A Chinese perspective. *International Journal of Psychology, 39*, 305-316. doi:10.1080/100207590344000402

Giammarco, E. A., & Vernon, P. A. (2014). Vengeance and the Dark Triad: The role of empathy and perspective taking in trait forgivingness. *Personality and Individual Differences, 67*, 23-29. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2014.02.010

Graham, J., Nosek, B. A., Haidt, J., Iyer, R., Koleva, S., & Ditto, P. H. (2011). Mapping the moral domain. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 101*, 366-385.

doi:10.1037/a0021847

Gross, J. J., & Levenson, R. W. (1995). Emotion elicitation using films. *Cognition and Emotion, 9*, 87-108. doi:10.1080/02699939508408966

Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (1997). Revealing feelings: Facets of emotional expressivity in self-reports, peer ratings, and behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 72*, 435-448. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.72.2.435

Heine, S. J. (1996). *Culture and the need for positive self-regards: The Japanese case*.

Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia.

- Himichi, T., Osanai, H., Goto, T., Fujita, H., Kawamura, Y., Davis, M. H., & Nomura, M. (2017). Development of a Japanese version of the Interpersonal Reactivity Index. *Japanese Journal of Psychology*, 88, 61-71. doi:10.4992/jjpsy.88.15218
- Hook, J. N., Worthington, E. L., Jr., & Utsey, S. O. (2009). Collectivism, forgiveness, and social harmony. *Counseling Psychologist*, 37, 821-847. doi:10.1177/0011000008326546
- Ishii, K., Matsunaga, M., Noguchi, Y., Yamasue, H., Ochi, M., & Ohtsubo, Y. (2018). A polymorphism of serotonin 2A receptor (5-HT2AR) influences delay discounting. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 121, 193-199. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2017.03.011
- Ji, L.-J., Zhang, Z., & Nisbett, R. E. (2004). Is it culture or is it language? Examination of language effects in cross-cultural research on categorization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87, 57-65. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.87.1.57
- Kato, T., & Taniguchi, K. (2009). Development of the forgiveness of others scale. *Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology*, 57, 158-167. doi:10.5926/jjep.57.158
- Kim, P. H., Ferrin, D. L., Cooper, C. D., & Dirks, K. T. (2004). Removing the shadow of suspicion: The effects of apology versus denial for repairing competence- versus integrity-based trust violation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89, 104-118. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.89.1.104
- Kitayama, S., Karasawa, M., Curhan, K. B., Ryff, C. D., & Markus, H. R. (2010). Independence and interdependence predict health and wellbeing: divergent patterns in the United States and Japan. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1, Article ID 163. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2010.00163
- Konishi, N., Oe, T., Shimizu, H., Tanaka, K., & Ohtsubo, Y. (2017). Perceived shared condemnation intensifies punitive moral emotions. *Scientific Reports*, 7: 7289. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07916-z

- Lachman, M. E., & Weaver, S. L. (1998). The sense of control as a moderator of social class differences in health and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74, 763-773. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.74.3.763
- Levenson, M. R., Kiehl, K. A., & Fitzpatrick, C. M. (1995). Assessing psychopathic attributes in a noninstitutionalized population. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68, 151-158. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.68.1.151
- Locke, K. D. (2000). Circumplex scales of interpersonal values: Reliability, validity, and applicability to interpersonal problems and personality disorders. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 75, 249-267. doi:10.1207/S15327752JPA7502_6
- Lyubomirsky, S., & Lepper, H. (1999). A measure of subjective happiness: Preliminary reliability and construct validation. *Social Indicators Research*, 46, 137-155.
doi:10.1023/A:1006824100041
- Matsunaga, M., Kawamichi, H., Umemura, T., Hori, R., Shibata, E., Kobayashi, F., Suzuki, K., Ishii, K., Ohtsubo, Y., Noguchi, Y., Ochi, M., Yamasue, H., & Ohira, H. (2017). Neural and genetic correlates of the social sharing of happiness. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 11, Article ID 718. doi:10.3389/fnins.2017.00718
- Matsunaga, M., Masuda, T., Ishii, K., Ohtsubo, Y., Noguchi, Y., Ochi, M., & Yamasue, H. (2018). Culture and cannabinoid receptor gene polymorphism interact to influence the perception of happiness. *PLoS ONE*, 13:e0209552. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209552
- Miyamoto, Y., & Wilken, B. (2010). Culturally contingent situated cognition: Influencing other people fosters analytic perception in the United States but not in Japan. *Psychological Science*, 21, 1616-1622. doi:10.1177/0956797610385954
- Mojaverian, T., Hashimoto, T., & Kim, H. S. (2013). Cultural differences in professional help

- seeking: A comparison of Japan and the U.S. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3, Article ID 615.
doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00615
- Moroi, K. (1992). Dimensions of the Revised UCLA Loneliness Scale's dimensions. *Shizuoka University Repository*, 42, 23-51. doi:10.14945/00003890
- Neto, F., & Mullet, E. (2004). Personality, self-esteem, and self-construal as correlates of forgivingness. *European Journal of Personality*, 18, 15-30. doi:10.1002/per.500
- Ohashi, R. (2004). Vertical/horizontal-individualism/collectivism of Japanese and American respondents: Differences between countries and generations. *Journal of University of the Air*, 22, 101-110.
- Ohtsubo, Y., Yamaura, K., & Yagi, A. (2015). Development of Japanese measures of reconciliatory tendencies: The Japanese Trait Forgivingness Scale and the Japanese Proclivity to Apologize Measure. *Japanese Journal of Social Psychology*, 31, 135-142.
doi:10.14966/jssp.31.2_135
- Oishi, S., & Schimmack, U. (2010). Residential mobility, well-being, and mortality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98, 980-994. doi:10.1037/a0019389
- Osumi, T., Kanayama, N., SUGiura, Y., & Ohira, H. (2007). Validation of the Japanese version of the Primary and Secondary Psychopathy Scales. *Japanese Journal of Personality*, 16, 117-120. doi:10.2132/personality.16.117
- Park, J., Kitayama, S., Markus, H. R., Coe, C. L., Miyamoto, Y., Karasawa, M., . . . Ryff, C. D. (2013). Social status and anger expression: The cultural moderation hypothesis. *Emotion*, 13, 1122-1131. doi:10.1037/a0034273
- Raven, J., Raven, J. C., & Court, J. H. (1998). *Raven manual: Section 4, Advanced progressive matrices* (1998 edition). Oxford, UK: Oxford Psychologists Press.

- Rosenberg, M. (1965). *Society and the adolescent self-image*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Russell, D., Peplau, L.A., & Cutrona, C.E. (1980). The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: Concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39, 472-480. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.39.3.472
- Sastre, M. T. M., Vinsonneau, G., Neto, F., Girard, M., & Mullet, E. (2003). Forgivingness and satisfaction with life. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 4, 323-335. doi: 10.1023/A:1026251630478
- Shimai, S., Otake, K., Utsuki, N., Ikemi, A., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2004). Development of a Japanese version of the Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS), and examination of its validity and reliability. *Japanese Journal of Public Health*, 51, 845-853. doi:10.11236/jph.51.10_845
- Shimonaka, Y., Nakazato, K., Gondo, Y., & Takayama, M. (1999). *NEO-PI-R, NEO-FFI manual for the Japanese version* (revised and enlarged ed.). Tokyo, Japan: Tokyo Shinri.
- Singelis, T. M. (1994). The measurement of independent and interdependent self-construals. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20, 580-591. doi:10.1177/0146167294205014
- Singelis, T. M., Triandis, H. C., Bhawuk, D., & Gelfand, M. J. (1995). Horizontal and vertical dimensions of individualism and collectivism: A theoretical and measurement refinement. *Cross-Cultural Research: The Journal of Comparative Social Science*, 29, 240-275. doi:10.1177/106939719502900302
- Spencer-Rodgers, J., Srivastava, S., Boucher, H. C., English, T., Paletz, S. B., & Peng, K. (2015). *The dialectical self scale*. Unpublished manuscript, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.

- Takahashi, Y., Yamagata, S., Kijima, N., Shigemasu, K., Ono, Y., & Ando, J. (2007). Gray's temperament model: Development of Japanese version of BIS/BAS scales and a behavior genetic investigation using the twin method. *Japanese Journal of Personality, 15*, 276-289. doi:10.2132/personality.15.276
- Toussaint, L., & Webb, J. R. (2005). Theoretical and empirical connections between forgiveness, mental health, and well-being. In E. L. Worthington, Jr. (Ed.), *Handbook of forgiveness* (pp. 349-362). New York: Routledge.
- Wakabayashi, A., Tojo, Y., Baron-Cohen, S., & Wheelwright, S. (2004). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient(AQ) Japanese version: Evidence from high-functioning clinical group and normal adults. *Japanese Journal of Psychology, 75*, 78-84. doi:10.4992/jjpsy.75.78
- Wang, T.-W. (2008). Forgiveness and big five personality traits among Taiwanese undergraduates. *Social Behavior and Personality, 36*, 849-850. doi:10.2224/sbp.2008.36.6.849
- Watkins, D., & Regmi, M (2004). Personality and forgiveness: A Nepalese perspective. *Journal of Social Psychology, 144*, 539-540. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3200/SOCP.144.5.539-540>
- Yamagishi, T., Akutsu, S., Cho, K., Inoue, Y., Li, Y., & Matsumoto, Y. (2015). Two-component model of general trust: Predicting behavioral trust from attitudinal trust. *Social Cognition, 33*, 436-458. doi: 10.1521/soco.2015.33.5.436
- Yamagishi, T., & Yamagishi, M. (1994). Trust and commitment in the United States and Japan. *Motivation and Emotion, 18*, 129-166. doi:10.1007/BF02249397

Table S1

Means, Standard Deviations, and Cronbach's α Coefficients of the Variables of Interest in Canada and Japan, and Cultural Differences (the Levels of the Significance Were Adjusted for Multiple Comparisons by the Bonferroni Method)

	Canada			Japan			Canada-Japan Comparison				
	α	Mean	<i>SD</i>	α	Mean	<i>SD</i>	<i>t</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i> -value ^a	Cohen's <i>d</i>	
<i>Dispositional Variables</i>											
(i) Trait Forgivingness	.84	3.34	0.71	.79	2.81	0.61	7.80	358.36	< .00005	***	0.79
(ii) Subjective Happiness	.88	4.79	1.26	.81	4.69	1.02	0.86	345.07	.390		0.09
(iii) Big Five Personality											
Agreeableness	.78	31.69	6.54	.72	28.76	5.82	4.68	391	< .00005	***	0.47
Conscientiousness	.85	29.96	7.15	.80	24.84	6.92	7.19	391	< .00005	***	0.73
Extraversion	.83	29.59	6.97	.81	23.81	7.17	8.06	391	< .00005	***	0.82
Neuroticism	.89	26.29	9.05	.84	31.37	7.69	−5.93	355.10	< .00005	***	0.60
Openness	.75	30.20	6.28	.71	28.95	6.14	2.00	391	.046		0.20
(iv) Self-Esteem	.93	4.29	1.01	.89	3.55	0.95	7.49	391	< .00005	***	0.76
(v) Empathy											
Empathic Concern	.85	3.79	0.75	.36	3.05	0.52	11.08	313.76	< .00005	***	1.12
Perspective Taking	.78	3.57	0.68	.63	3.04	0.63	8.00	391	< .00005	***	0.80
Personal Distress	.79	2.54	0.70	.73	3.00	0.75	−6.18	377	< .00005	***	0.64
Fantasy	.85	3.54	0.84	.55	3.19	0.64	4.68	333.08	< .00005	***	0.47

(Table 1 *cont'd*)

(vi) BIS/BAS											
BIS	.77	3.08	0.53	.82	3.15	0.58	−1.19	391	.236		0.12
BAS	.78	3.07	0.35	.80	2.97	0.41	2.75	390.81	.006		0.28
(vi) Loneliness	.93	1.83	0.52	.91	2.03	0.49	−3.94	392	.00010	**	0.40
(viii) Trust											
General Trust	.77	3.20	0.66	.75	3.01	0.64	3.00 ^b	392	.003		0.30
Caution	.63	3.78	0.59	.71	3.56	0.57	3.72 ^b	392	.00023	**	0.37
(ix) Psychopathy											
Primary Psychopathy	.84	1.83	0.42	.79	2.15	0.41	−7.66 ^c	392	< .00005	***	0.77
Secondary Psychopathy	.61	1.98	0.40	.63	2.41	0.49	−9.66 ^c	391.54	< .00005	***	0.98

Notes. ^a The *p*-values reported in this column were not adjusted for multiple comparisons. Therefore, the significance level of each

test was evaluated against .0026, .00053 and .000053, which correspond to .05 (*), .01 (**), and .001 (***), respectively. ^b Trust and caution were measured on a 5-point scale in Canada and on a 7-point scale in Japan. The Japanese scores were adjusted to distribute

from 1 to 5. ^c The Japanese psychopathy scales consisted of fewer items than the original English scale.

Table S2

Correlation Matrices of the Variables of Interests (Except Trait Forgiveness) within Each Country (Canadian Correlations Are above the Diagonal Cells and Japanese Correlations Are below the Diagonal Cells)

	1. HA	2. A	3. C	4. E	5. N	6. O	7. SE	8. EC	9. PT	10. PD	11. FS	12. BI	13. BA	14. LO	15. TR	16. CT	17. PP	18. SP
1.		0.16	0.34	0.59	-0.65	-0.16	0.76	0.08	0.04	-0.25	-0.17	-0.41	0.28	-0.64	0.28	-0.11	-0.01	-0.42
2.	0.32		0.08	0.10	-0.03	0.18	0.06	0.57	0.40	0.13	0.07	0.11	-0.21	-0.18	0.29	-0.34	-0.64	-0.30
3.	0.22	0.15		0.15	-0.38	-0.12	0.40	-0.03	0.03	-0.28	-0.10	-0.08	0.18	-0.30	0.10	-0.10	-0.06	-0.55
4.	0.52	0.40	0.26		-0.31	-0.15	0.47	0.19	-0.05	-0.12	0.00	-0.30	0.44	-0.51	0.21	0.01	0.08	-0.17
5.	-0.45	-0.22	-0.23	-0.32		0.16	-0.74	0.11	0.02	0.51	0.29	0.66	-0.10	0.47	-0.15	0.18	-0.14	0.37
6.	0.21	0.05	-0.08	0.15	-0.08		-0.15	0.30	0.37	0.12	0.47	0.12	0.00	0.06	0.05	-0.04	-0.26	0.00
7.	0.62	0.12	0.32	0.37	-0.59	0.24		-0.11	-0.05	-0.34	-0.25	-0.44	0.24	-0.60	0.29	-0.16	0.07	-0.43
8.	0.14	0.16	0.22	0.21	-0.03	0.10	0.12		0.41	0.21	0.29	0.22	0.09	-0.18	0.12	-0.22	-0.55	-0.12
9.	-0.12	0.20	-0.04	-0.09	0.25	0.02	-0.18	0.30		0.06	0.16	0.08	-0.01	-0.06	0.01	-0.06	-0.36	-0.26
10.	-0.11	0.04	-0.11	-0.07	0.28	0.00	-0.16	0.30	0.06		0.21	0.45	-0.05	0.17	0.02	0.07	-0.06	0.31
11.	0.14	0.02	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.25	0.02	0.22	-0.15	0.31		0.27	0.03	0.08	0.08	-0.10	-0.22	0.03
12.	-0.37	-0.04	-0.11	-0.30	0.72	-0.13	-0.43	-0.03	0.30	0.26	0.05		-0.07	0.23	-0.09	0.02	-0.24	0.10
13.	0.20	0.07	0.18	0.38	0.01	0.27	0.19	0.35	0.12	0.00	0.16	-0.08		-0.18	-0.01	0.21	0.22	0.02
14.	-0.55	-0.41	-0.22	-0.59	0.41	-0.11	-0.42	-0.22	0.00	0.13	-0.08	0.32	-0.24		-0.28	0.13	0.07	0.42
15.	0.26	0.49	0.04	0.35	-0.23	0.05	0.20	0.14	0.02	0.05	0.05	-0.08	0.15	-0.31		-0.39	-0.15	-0.11
16.	-0.11	-0.22	0.05	-0.09	0.25	-0.06	-0.02	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.19	0.14	0.15	-0.31		0.26	0.10
17.	-0.10	-0.43	-0.05	-0.13	0.05	-0.07	0.12	-0.11	-0.01	-0.08	-0.11	0.00	0.15	0.19	-0.28	0.28		0.32
18.	-0.26	-0.18	-0.66	-0.18	0.36	-0.02	-0.27	-0.23	0.01	0.06	-0.11	0.17	-0.11	0.24	-0.04	-0.05	0.07	

Shaded cells indicate significance within each country after Holm's adjustment (darkest .001; second darkest .01, least darkest .05).

HA = happiness, A = agreeableness, C = conscientiousness, E = extraversion, N = neuroticism, O = openness to experience, SE = self-esteem, EC = empathic concern, PT = perspective taking, PD = personal distress, FS = fantasy, BI = behavioral inhibition system (BIS), BA = behavioral activation system (BAS), LO = loneliness, TR = trust, CT = caution, PP = primary psychopathy, SP = secondary psychopathy.