

PDF issue: 2025-01-09

Predictive factors for postpartum glucose intolerance in women with gestational diabetes mellitus

Masuko, Naohisa ; Tanimura, Kenji ; Kojima, Nobue ; Imafuku, Hitomi ; Deguchi, Masashi ; Okada, Yuko ; Hirota, Yushi ; Ogawa, Wataru ;…

(Citation) Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research,48(3):640-646

(Issue Date) 2022-03

(Resource Type) journal article

(Version) Accepted Manuscript

(Rights)

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: [Masuko, N., Tanimura, K., Kojima, N., Imafuku, H., Deguchi, M., Okada, Y., Hirota, Y., Ogawa, W. and Yamada, H. (2022), Predictive factors for postpartum glucose intolerance in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res., 48: 640-646.], which has…

(URL)

https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90009054



1 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Predictive factors for postpartum glucose intolerance in women with gestational diabetes mellitus

4

5	Naohisa Masuko ¹ , Kenji Tanimura ¹ , Nobue Kojima ¹ , Hitomi Imafuku ¹ , Masashi
6	Deguchi ¹ , Yuko Okada ² , Yushi Hirota ² , Wataru Ogawa ² , and Hideto Yamada ^{1,3}
7	
8	¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kobe University Graduate School of
9	Medicine, Kobe, Japan, ² Division of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Department of

- 10 Internal Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan, and ³
- 11 Center for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss, Teine Keijinkai Hospital, Sapporo, Japan

12

13 Correspondence to: Hideto Yamada, MD, PhD

14 Part-time Lecture at Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, 7-5-1

15 Kusunoki-cho, Chuo-ku, Kobe 650-0017, Japan

- 16 Phone: +81-78-382-6000
- 17 Fax: +81-78-382-6019
- 18 Director of Center for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss, Teine Keijinkai Hospital, 1-40,
- 19 12-chome, Maeda, Teine-ku, Sapporo 006-8555, Japan
- 20 Phone: +81-11-681-8111
- 21 Fax: +81-11-685-2998
- 22 E-mail: yhideto@med.kobe-u.ac.jp
- 23
- 24 Running title: Postpartum glucose intolerance in GDM

27 Aim:

28 The aim of this prospective cohort study was to evaluate the risk factors for postpartum

29 glucose intolerance (GI) in women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

30 Method:

A total of 140 women with GDM were enrolled. Of these, 115 underwent a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) at 12 weeks after delivery. Clinical factors and parameters in the antepartum 75-g OGTT associated with postpartum GI were evaluated by logistic regression analyses.

35 **Results:**

36 Twenty-two (19.1%) of the 115 women with GDM developed postpartum GI. The 37 univariate and multivariable logistic regression analyses revealed that low oral 38 disposition index (DI) was a risk factor for postpartum GI (OR, 0.2; 95% CI, 0.04–0.7; 39 p<0.05), and that no clinical factors were associated with postpartum GI.

40 **Conclusions:**

- 41 Lower oral DI on the antepartum 75-g OGTT may be a useful marker for identifying
- 42 GDM women who are at high risk for postpartum GI.

44 Key Words:

- 45 Gestational diabetes mellitus, glucose intolerance, oral disposition index, postpartum,
- 46 75-g oral glucose tolerance test

48 Introduction

49Pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) have an increased risk of glucose intolerance (GI). A systematic review and meta-analysis has demonstrated that 5051women with GDM have a 7.4-fold increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus 52(DM) after delivery compared with those without GDM¹. Prenatal prediction of postpartum GI may allow clinicians to identify pregnant women who required long-term 5354follow-up to assess the development of type 2 DM. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommend screening for GI, including type 2 DM, in women with GDM at 554–12 weeks after delivery, using 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT)². 5657We have reported that, in women with GDM, the low insulinogenic index (II) 58levels on the antepartum 75-g OGTT is a risk factor for developing GI during the early 59postpartum period ³. However, the previous study evaluated only parameters of the 60 antepartum 75-g OGTT, and did not evaluate any clinical factors, such as body mass 61 index (BMI) prior to pregnancy, weight gain during pregnancy, and family history of 62 DM, etc.

63 This prospective cohort study aimed to assess predictive clinical factors and
64 laboratory parameters in the antepartum 75-g OGTT for GI during the early postpartum

65 period among women with GDM.

66

67 Material and Methods

68 Study design and participants

This prospective cohort study enrolled women with singleton pregnancies who were diagnosed with GDM by the 75-g OGTT during pregnancy and delivered at the Kobe University Hospital from January 2011 to December 2018. The study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and it was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Kobe University Hospital (reference number B200228). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

75 **Procedures**

All pregnant women who visited or were referred to the Kobe University Hospital
underwent screening for GDM both at 10–14 and 24–28 gestational weeks (GW).
Pregnant women who had casual blood glucose (BG) levels of ≥100 mg/dL
(5.5 mmol/L) at 10–14 or 24–28 GW, or those who had 1-hr BG levels of ≥140 mg/dL
(7.8 mmol/L) on 50-g glucose challenge tests (GCT) at 24–28 GW, or those with risk

81	factors for GDM, including obesity, family history of DM, past history of macrosomia,
82	presence of persistent glycosuria, polyhydramnios, and suspected heavy for date (HFD)
83	underwent the 75-g OGTT. According to the International Association of Diabetes and
84	Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) criteria ⁴ , the diagnosis of GDM is made when any
85	of the following are met: fasting BG (FBG) ≥92 mg/dL (5.1 mmol/L), 1-hr BG
86	\geq 180 mg/dL (10.0 mmol/L), or 2-hr BG \geq 153 mg/dL (8.5 mmol/L). BG and
87	immunoreactive insulin (IRI) levels at fasting, 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 hr after the oral
88	ingestion of 75-g glucose were also measured, and the total area under the curve (AUC)
89	of glucose and insulin were calculated by the trapezoid method ⁵ .

90	As an insulin resistance parameter, the homeostasis model assessment-insulin
91	resistance (HOMA-IR) (=FBG (mg/dL) \times fasting IRI (FIRI) ($\mu U/mL$) / 405) was used.
92	HOMA- β (=360 × FIRI (μ U/mL) / [FBG (mg/dL) - 63]) and insulinogenic index (II) (=
93	[0.5-hr IRI (μ U/mL) - FIRI (μ U/mL)] / [0.5-hr BG (mg/dL) - FBG (mg/dL)]) were
94	calculated for evaluating the insulin secretory capacity of pancreatic β cells. The oral
95	disposition index (DI), which represents the compensation of pancreatic β cells for
96	insulin resistance, was calculated as the product of the Matsuda index of insulin
97	sensitivity and the ratio of the AUC of insulin to the AUC of glucose during the OGTT 6 .

98 The Matsuda index was calculated using the following formula: $10^4/\sqrt{(FGB \times FIRI \times 99)}$ mean BG during 75-g OGTT × mean IRI during 75-g OGTT)⁷.

- All pregnant women diagnosed with GDM were referred to diabetologists in the Kobe University Hospital and underwent self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) and diet therapy. If FBG levels exceeded 100 mg/dL, or 2-hr BG levels exceeded 103 120 mg/dL in SMBG regardless of diet therapy, an insulin therapy was started. Insulin doses were adjusted to achieve both FBG levels of <100 mg/dL and 2-hr BG levels of <120 mg/dL.
- 106 All pregnant women with GDM were instructed to undergo a 75-g OGTT at 107 12 weeks after delivery. Using the WHO's 1999 criteria⁸, DM was diagnosed by either 108 FBG levels of $\geq 126 \text{ mg/dL}$ (7.0 mmol/L) or 2-hr BG levels of $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dL}$ 109 (11.1 mmol/L). IFG was diagnosed by FBG levels of \geq 110 mg/dL (6.1 mmol/L), and 110 IGT was diagnosed by 2-hr BG levels of \geq 140 mg/dL (7.8 mmol/L). GI was defined by 111 the presence of DM, impaired fasting glucose (IFG), or impaired glucose tolerance 112(IGT). FBG levels of <110 mg/dL (6.1 mmol/L) and 2-hr BG levels of <140 mg/dL 113 (7.8 mmol/L) were identified as normal.

114 Statistical analysis

115Clinical characteristics were compared between pregnancies with GI during the early 116 postpartum period and pregnancies without them. Differences between the two groups were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test, Fisher exact test, and χ^2 test. P values 117 <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The stepwise approach was used to 118 119 evaluate clinical factors and parameters in the antepartum 75-g OGTT associated with 120 GI during the early postpartum period. To avoid overfitting in multivariable logistic 121regression analyses, the number of variables in the final model of multivariable analyses 122was restricted to a maximum of 10% of the case number. Variables with the lowest and 123 the second-lowest *P* values in univariate logistic regression analyses were subjected to 124the final model of multivariable logistic regression analyses, and variables with P values 125<0.05 in the final model of multivariable logistic regression analyses were determined 126 as clinical factors and parameters in the antepartum 75-g OGTT associated with GI 127during the early postpartum period in women with GDM. All statistical analyses were 128 performed using the SPSS software, version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

129

130 **Results**

131 A flowchart of the subjects in this prospective cohort study is shown in Figure 1. Of

132	2,370 pregnant women with singleton pregnancies who underwent screening for GDM
133	at the Kobe University Hospital, 140 (5.9%) were diagnosed with GDM from January
134	2011 to December 2018. The indications for the antepartum 75-g OGTT in the 140
135	pregnant women with GDM were as follows: casual BG level $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$ and/or 1-hr
136	BG level on a 50-g glucose challenge tests \geq 140 mg/dL (n=99); casual BG level
137	\geq 100 mg/dL (n=13); suspicion of polyhydramnios and/or HFD on ultrasound
138	examinations during pregnancy (n=10); and presence of other risk factors of GDM,
139	including a history of GDM, obesity, and persistent glycosuria (n=18). Twenty-five of
140	the 140 women with GDM refused to receive a 75-g OGTT at 12 weeks after delivery.
141	Therefore, 115 women with GDM were included in the analyses of risks for GI during
142	the early postpartum period.

143 Twenty-two of the 115 (19.1%) pregnant women with GDM had GI at
144 12 weeks after delivery, including one, two, and 19 women with DM, IFG and IGT,
145 respectively.

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics and laboratory data in antepartum14775-g OGTT of the subjects. The group of GDM women with postpartum GI (GI group)148had a significantly higher 0.5-hr BG (p<0.05) and lower oral DI (p<0.01) than the group</td>

150	Univariate logistic regression analyses demonstrated that FBG (OR, 1.0; 95%
151	CI, 1.0–1.1; <i>p</i> =0.04), 0.5-hr BG (OR, 1.0; 95% CI, 1.0–1.1; <i>p</i> =0.02) and oral DI (OR,
152	0.1; 95% CI, 0.03–0.5; p <0.01) were associated with the occurrence of GI during the
153	early postpartum period in women with GDM (Table2). The final model of
154	multivariable logistic regression analyses of the 2 factors with the lowest P value in
155	univariate analyses revealed that oral DI (OR, 0.2; 95% CI, 0.04–0.7; p<0.05) was an
156	independent factor associated with GI during the early postpartum period (Table2).

158 Discussion

This study used IADPSG criteria for diagnosing GDM ⁴, and 140 of the 2,370 (5.9%) pregnant women were diagnosed with GDM. Because medians of the prevalence of GDM in Japan were reported to be 2.8%–13.0% ⁹, the prevalence of GDM in this study was thought to be valid. In addition, the incidence of GI during the early postpartum period in women with GDM (19.1%) was also comparable to those (16.7%–36.6%) in previous studies ^{3,10,11}. 165 To the best of our knowledge, this prospective cohort study of pregnant women 166 with GDM, for the first time, assessed both the clinical factors and parameters in the 167 antepartum 75-g OGTT associated with GI during the early postpartum period by 168 logistic regression analyses using a stepwise approach, and revealed that lower oral DI 169 is an independent risk factor for postpartum GI.

A previous retrospective study also reported that oral DI in the antepartum 75-g 170 OGTT was useful for identifying women with GDM at high risk of postpartum GI¹². In 171 172addition, it was reported that among the Japanese-American adults, including males and non-pregnant women, the low oral DI was predictive of developing DM in the future ¹³. 173 DI represents a hyperbolic relationship between insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity 174 6,14 . Therefore, this parameter represents the insulin secretory capacity of pancreatic β 175cells adjusted for insulin sensitivity ¹³. An adequate insulin secretory response of 176 177pancreatic β cells adapting to changes in insulin sensitivity might be significant for the 178maintenance of normal glucose tolerance during the postpartum period. Pregnant 179women with low oral DI on the antepartum 75-g OGTT may be at high risk not only for 180 GI during the early postpartum period, but also for DM in the future.

181

On the other hand, previous retrospective studies in Japan demonstrated that

182	low II and II/fasting IRI ratio in the antepartum 75-g OGTT were associated with
183	postpartum GI in patients with GDM ^{10,15} . Our previous prospective cohort study of 72
184	pregnant women with GDM, including 12 with postpartum GI, also demonstrated that a
185	low II in the antepartum 75-g OGTT was an independent risk factor for developing GI
186	during the early postpartum period ³ . In the present study, the levels of 0.5-h BG in
187	antepartum 75-g OGTT in GI group were significantly higher than those in non-GI
188	group, and oral DI in GI group was significantly lower than those in non-GI group.
189	Whereas, there were no significant differences in FBG, FIRI, 0.5-h IRI and II between
190	two groups. In addition, the numbers of women with GDM and postpartum GI in the
191	present study (140 GDM and 22 postpartum GI) are almost two times larger than those
192	in our previous study (72 GDM and 12 postpartum GI). The increase in the number of
193	patients may lead to an increase in the number of GDM women with more impaired
194	pancreatic β cell function, and therefore oral DI, but not II, may be selected as a risk
195	factor for GI during the early postpartum period.

Previous studies evaluated associations between maternal clinical or laboratory
findings of antepartum OGTT and postpartum GI. They demonstrated that higher FBG
levels, higher AUC of glucose, lower fasting insulin concentration, decreased β cell

199	function, higher BMI prior to pregnancy, and family history of DM were risk factors for
200	postpartum GI ^{16,17} . In addition, obesity and β cell function impairment were reported to
201	be associated with type 2 DM at early postpartum ¹⁸ . In our present study, there were no
202	clinical factors associated with postpartum GI, and oral DI was only associated with it.
203	In these previous studies, not the new IADPSG criteria but previous one for GDM were
204	used, and the race of the participants and the follow-up duration were different from
205	those of our present study. Furthermore, not oral DI but HOMA- β and insulin
206	secretion/insulin resistance disposition index calculated by 100-g OGTT were evaluated
207	as indicators of pancreatic β cell function in these studies. These facts may influence the
208	differences in results between previous studies and our study. In addition, postpartum
209	GI in women with GDM may be more closely associated with $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ cell function
210	impairment rather than the clinical background or characteristics of the patients.
211	There are some potential limitations in this study. The indications for 75-g
212	OGTT in this study varied among the participants, therefore the GW at diagnosis of
213	GDM varied, and the facts may influence the results of this study. In addition, the scale
214	of the study was not large enough. Therefore, further studies are required to confirm the
215	conclusions of this study.

216	This prospective cohort study demonstrated that a low oral DI on the
217	antepartum 75-g OGTT was an independent risk factor for GI during the early
218	postpartum period in women with GDM. Measurements of oral DI in pregnant women
219	with GDM may be useful for identifying GDM women at high risk for DM in the
220	future.

222 Acknowledgment

223	We acknowledge and thank all the members of the multidisciplinary teams at Kobe
224	University Hospital and in particular: Dr. Kazumichi Fujioka (Department of Pediatrics)
225	Dr. Maho Azumi, Dr. Akiko Uchida, Dr. Yutoku Shi, and Dr. Tokuro Shirakawa
226	(Department Obstetrics and Gynecology). This work was supported by the Japan
227	Agency for Medical Research and Development with following grant number:
228	JP19gk0110047 (to Hideto Yamada).

229

230 **Conflict of Interest**

231 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

0	2	0
4	J	4

233**Author Contributions**

- 234All listed authors meet the criteria for authorship and have contributed to the acquisition
- of data, supervision, manuscript writing and manuscript review. 235

ID II

236

239

```
237
       References
```

238	1.	Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after

a 1 · 1

gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet.

2402009;373(9677):1773-1779. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60731-5

Association AD. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: Standards of Medical 2412.

242Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(January):S14-S31.

- 243doi:10.2337/dc20-S002
- 244Kojima N, Tanimura K, Deguchi M, et al. Risk factors for postpartum glucose 3.
- 245intolerance in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. Gynecol Endocrinol.
- 2462016;32(10):803-806. doi:10.1080/09513590.2016.1177009
- 247Metzger BE. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups 4.

248		recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in
249		pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(3):676-682. doi:10.2337/dc09-1848
250	5.	Purves RD. Optimum numerical integration methods for estimation of
251		are a-under-the-curve (AUC) and are a-under-the-moment-curve (AUMC). \boldsymbol{J}
252		Pharmacokinet Biopharm. 1992;20(3):211-226. doi:10.1007/BF01062525
253	6.	Retnakaran R, Shen S, Hanley AJ, Vuksan V, Hamilton JK, Zinman B.
254		Hyperbolic relationship between insulin secretion and sensitivity on oral glucose
255		tolerance test. Obesity. 2008;16(8):1901-1907. doi:10.1038/oby.2008.307
256	7.	M, Matsuda RA D. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose
257		tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. Diabetes Care.
258		1999;22(9):1462-1470.
259	8.	World Health Organization. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes
260		mellitus and its complications, Part 1: Diagnosis and classification of diabetes
261		mellitus: Report of the WHO Consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization.
262		(WHO/NCD/NCS/99.2). World Health. 1999;59.
263		doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9136(199807)15:7<539::AID-DIA668>3.0.CO;2-S

264	9.	Nguyen CL, Pham NM, Binns CW, Van Duong D, Lee AH. Prevalence of
265		gestational diabetes mellitus in eastern and southeastern Asia: A systematic
266		review and meta-analysis. J Diabetes Res. 2018;2018(Cc).
267		doi:10.1155/2018/6536974
268	10.	Kondo M, Nagao Y, Mahbub MH, Tanabe T, Tanizawa Y. Factors predicting
269		early postpartum glucose intolerance in Japanese women with gestational
270		diabetes mellitus: decision-curve analysis. Diabet Med. 2018;35(8):1111-1117.
271		doi:10.1111/dme.13657
272	11.	Inoue S, Shinagawa T, Horinouchi T, et al. Predictors of abnormal glucose
273		tolerance in the early postpartum period in patients with gestational diabetes.
274		Kurume Med J. 2015;62(3-4):47-51. doi:10.2739/kurumemedj.MS65006
275	12.	Saisho Y, Miyakoshi K, Tanaka M, et al. Antepartum oral disposition index as a
276		predictor of glucose intolerance postpartum. Diabetes Care. 2012;35(4):2012.
277		doi:10.2337/dc11-2549
278	13.	Utzschneider KM, Prigeon RL, Faulenbach M V., et al. Oral Disposition index
279		predicts the development of future diabetes above and beyond fasting and 2-h
280		glucose levels. Diabetes Care. 2009;32(2):335-341. doi:10.2337/dc08-1478

281	14.	Bergman RN, Ader M, Huecking K, Citters G Van. Accurate assessment of
282		beta-cell function: The hyperbolic correction. 2002;51:S212-S220.
283	15.	Kugishima Y, Yasuhi I, Yamashita H, et al. Risk factors associated with
284		abnormal glucose tolerance in the early postpartum period among Japanese
285		women with gestational diabetes. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2015;129(1):42-45.
286		doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2014.09.030
287	16.	Kim C, Newton KM, Knopp RH. Gestational Diabetes and the Incidence of Type
288		2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2002;25(10):1862-1868.
289		http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2845031&tool=pmce
290		ntrez&rendertype=abstract
291	17.	Kim SH, Kim MY, Yang JH, et al. Nutritional risk factors of early development
292		of postpartum prediabetes and diabetes in women with gestational diabetes
293		mellitus. Nutrition. 2011;27(7-8):782-788. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2010.08.019
294	18.	Kwak SH, Choi SH, Jung HS, et al. Clinical and genetic risk factors for type 2
295		diabetes at early or late post partum after gestational diabetes mellitus. J Clin
296		Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(4):744-752. doi:10.1210/jc.2012-3324

298 Figure legends

299 Figure 1. Flow diagram for the study participants

300 During the study period, 2370 pregnant women underwent screening for GDM and were

301 enrolled in this study. Thirteen of the 251 (5.2%) pregnant women, who underwent 75-g 302 oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) due to a casual BG $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$ (5.5 mmol/L) at 303 10-14 gestational weeks (GW), were diagnosed with GDM. Ninety-nine of the 508 304 (19.5%) pregnant women, who underwent 75-g OGTT due to a casual BG \geq 100 mg/dL 305 (5.5 mmol/L) and/or 1-hr BG \geq 140 mg/dL (7.8 mmol/L) in the 50-g glucose challenge 306 test (GCT) at 24-28 GW, were diagnosed with GDM. Ten of the 83 (12.0%) pregnant 307 women, who underwent 75-g OGTT due to the presence of polyhydramnios and/or 308 heavy for date (HFD) on ultrasound examinations, were diagnosed with GDM. Eighteen 309 of 54 (33.3%) pregnant women, who underwent 75-g OGTT due to risk factors for 310 GDM, were diagnosed with GDM. Total one hundred forty of the 2370 (5.9%) pregnant 311 women screened for GDM by our study protocol were diagnosed with GDM. Finally, 312one hundred fifteen women with GDM underwent75-g OGTT during the early 313 postpartum period, and 22 of them (19.1%) were diagnosed with glucose intolerance 314 (GI).

315 Abbreviations: BG, blood glucose; GW, gestational week; OGTT, oral glucose

316	intolerance test; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; DM, diabetes mellitus; HFD,
317	heavy for date; GI, glucose intolerance; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired
318	glucose tolerance.



Table 1. Clinical characteristics and laboratory data of the subjects.

Variable	postpartum GI	non-postpartum GI	P values	
	n=22	n=93		
Clinical findings of pregnant women				
Age (years)	37 (29–42)	37 (21–45)	0.9	
Gravidity	2 (1–5)	2 (1–10)	0.3	
Parity	1 (0–3)	0 (0-4)	0.3	
BMI prior to pregnancy (kg/m ²)	24.1 (16.4–33.9)	22.3 (16.4–34.7)	0.1	
Weight gain during pregnancy (kg)	5.0 (-9.0–13.2)	7.2 (-5–22.7)	0.1	
Family history of DM	31.8%	38.7%	0.7	
GW at diagnosis of GDM	28 (16–32)	29 (11–38)	0.4	
Insulin therapy during pregnancy	36.4%	40.9%	0.9	
Polyhydramnios	4.7%	4.3%	1.0	
GW at delivery	38 (32–40)	38 (31–41)	0.1	
Birth weight (g)	2865 (2064–3850)	2938 (1580–3934)	0.6	
Birth weight > 90th percentile	4.7%	9.6%	0.7	
Birth weight < 10th percentile	4.7%	11.8%	0.5	
Diagnosis of HDP	27.2%	11.8%	0.1	
Parameters in antepartum 75-g OGTT				
FBG (mg/dl)	84 (76–138)	82 (55–112)	0.2	
0.5-hr BG (mg/dl)	155 (108–199)	145 (86–192)	< 0.05	
1-hr BG (mg/dl)	178 (123–226)	176 (120–235)	0.5	
1.5-hr BG (mg/dl)	174 (123–222)	171 (119–249)	0.5	
2-hr BG (mg/dl)	163 (122–206)	159 (86–248)	0.2	
Fasting IRI (µU/ml)	8 (4–26)	7 (2–35)	0.2	
0.5-hr IRI (µU/ml)	47 (20–115)	46 (7–243)	0.6	
1-hr IRI (µU/ml)	58 (22–109)	61 (27–307)	0.3	
1.5-hr IRI (µU/ml)	58 (18–146)	64 (20–340)	0.5	
2-hr IRI (µU/ml)	69 (20–150)	75 (15–501)	0.6	
AUC-glucose (mg min/dl)	18735 (15345–23820)	18180 (14880–23970)	0.2	
AUC-insulin (µU min/ml)	6713 (2205–12105)	6420 (2835–30060)	0.6	
AUC-insulin/glucose	0.3 (0.1–0.6)	0.3 (0.2–1.8)	0.3	
HbA1c (%)	5.4 (4.8–6.2)	5.4 (4.9–6.2)	0.7	
HOMA-IR	1.7 (0.8–8.9)	1.4 (0.4–7.8)	0.2	
ΗΟΜΑ-β	140 (60–220)	127 (-1080–504)	0.8	
Insulinogenic index	0.5 (0.2–1.5)	0.7 (0.0–3.6)	0.1	
Oral disposition index	1.4 (0.5–2.2)	1.7 (0.7–3.5)	< 0.01	

Data are expresses as the median (range) or percentage. Abbreviations: GI, glucose intolerance; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; GW, gestational week; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HDP, hypertension disorder during pregnancy; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; FBG, fasting blood glucose; BG, blood glucose; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; AUC, area under the curve; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; IR, insulin resistance.

Table 2. Results of univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses.

	Univariate analysis			Multivariable analysis		
Variable	Odds ratio	95% CI	P values	Odds ratio	95% CI	P values
Clinical findings of pregnant women						
Age (years)	1.0	0.9–1.1	0.7			
Gravidity	0.8	0.6-1.2	0.3			
Parity	1.3	0.8–2.1	0.4			
BMI prior to pregnancy (kg/m ²)	1.1	1.0-1.2	0.2			
Weight gain during pregnancy (kg)	0.9	0.8 - 1.0	0.1			
Family history of DM	0.7	0.3-2.0	0.5			
GW at diagnosis of GDM	1.0	0.9-1.1	0.7			
Insulin therapy during pregnancy	0.8	0.3–2.2	0.7			
Polyhydramnios	1.1	0.1-10.0	1.0			
GW at delivery	0.8	0.6-1.0	0.1			
Birth weight (g)	1.0	0.9998-1.0	0.7			
Birth weight > 90th percentile	0.4	0.1–3.7	0.5			
Birth weight < 10th percentile	0.4	0.04–2.9	0.3			
Diagnosis of HDP	2.8	0.9-8.7	0.1			
Parameters in antepartum 75-g OGTT						
FBG (mg/dl)	1.0	1.0-1.1	0.04			
0.5-hr BG (mg/dl)	1.0	1.0-1.1	0.02	1.0	1.0-1.05	0.2
1-hr BG (mg/dl)	1.0	1.0-1.02	0.8			
1.5-hr BG (mg/dl)	1.0	1.0-1.03	0.5			
2-hr BG (mg/dl)	1.0	1.0-1.03	0.2			
Fasting IRI (µU/ml)	1.0	1.0-1.1	0.3			
0.5-hr IRI (µU/ml)	1.0	0.98-1.0	0.4			
1-hr IRI (µU/ml)	1.0	0.98-1.0	0.2			
1.5-hr IRI (μU/ml)	1.0	0.99-1.0	0.4			
2-hr IRI (µU/ml)	1.0	0.98-1.0	0.3			
AUC-glucose (mg min/dl)	1.0	1.0-1.005	0.1			
AUC-insulin (µU min/ml)	1.0	0.9998-1.0	0.3			
AUC-insulin/glucose	0.2	0.01–2.4	0.2			
HbA1c (%)	1.2	0.3–5.6	0.8			
HOMA-IR	1.3	0.9–1.7	0.1			
ΗΟΜΑ-β	1.0	0.997-1.0	1.0			
Insulinogenic index	0.3	0.1–1.3	0.1			
Oral disposition index	0.1	0.03-0.5	< 0.01	0.2	0.04-0.7	< 0.05

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; GW, gestational week; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HDP, hypertension disorder during pregnancy; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; FBG, fasting blood glucose; BG, blood glucose; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; AUC, area under the curve; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; IR, insulin resistance.