



Euler and Laplace integral representations of GKZ hypergeometric functions I

Matsubara-Heo, Saiei-Jaeyeong

(Citation)

Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences, 96(9):75-78

(Issue Date)

2020-11

(Resource Type)

journal article

(Version)

Version of Record

(Rights)

© 2020 The Japan Academy

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/90009326>



Euler and Laplace integral representations of GKZ hypergeometric functions I

By Saiei-Jaeyeong MATSUBARA-HEO

Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 2020)

Abstract: We introduce an interpolation between Euler integral and Laplace integral: Euler-Laplace integral. We claim that, when parameters δ of the integrand are non-resonant, the \mathcal{D} -module corresponding to Euler-Laplace integral is naturally isomorphic to GKZ hypergeometric system $M_A(\delta)$ where A is a generalization of Cayley configuration. As a topological counterpart of this isomorphism, we establish an isomorphism between certain rapid decay homology group and holomorphic solutions of $M_A(\delta)$.

Key words: GKZ hypergeometric systems; integral representations; twisted Gauß-Manin connections.

1. Introduction. GKZ hypergeometric system $M_A(\delta)$ is a system of linear partial differential equations introduced by I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinskiĭ in [GGZ87] and [GZK89]. This system is determined by two inputs: a $d \times n$ ($d < n$) integer matrix $A = (\mathbf{a}(1) | \cdots | \mathbf{a}(n)) = (a_{ij})$ and a parameter vector $\delta \in \mathbf{C}^{d \times 1}$. GKZ system $M_A(\delta)$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} E_i \cdot f(z) = 0 & (i = 1, \dots, n) \\ \square_u \cdot f(z) = 0 & (u = {}^t(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in L_A), \end{cases}$$

where $L_A = \text{Ker}(A \times : \mathbf{Z}^{n \times 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{d \times 1})$ and E_i and \square_u are differential operators defined by $E_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \delta_i$ and $\square_u = \prod_{u_j > 0} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j})^{u_j} - \prod_{u_j < 0} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j})^{-u_j}$. Throughout this paper, we assume an additional condition $\mathbf{Z}A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{a}(1) + \cdots + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{a}(n) = \mathbf{Z}^{d \times 1}$. Writing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ for the Weyl algebra on \mathbf{A}^n and $H_A(\delta)$ for the left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ generated by the differential operators (1), we also call the left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ -module $M_A(\delta) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^n}/H_A(\delta)$ GKZ system.

There have been discussed Euler and Laplace integral representations of GKZ systems by several authors ([GKZ90], [ET15]). In this paper, we introduce an integral representation which generalizes both Euler and Laplace integral representations: Euler-Laplace integral representation. In the language of \mathcal{D} -modules, integral representations can

be defined as direct images of integrable connections commonly referred to as Gauß-Manin connections. Therefore, we need to establish an isomorphism between GKZ system and a certain Gauß-Manin connection. This is formulated in §2. In §3, we describe the space of rapid decay cycles generalizing the argument of [ET15]. The detailed argument is quite technical and we only outline the construction of the toric compactification. The readers can find proofs in [MHa, §2, §3].

2. Gauß-Manin connections and GKZ \mathcal{D} -modules. We follow the notation of [HTT08].

Let $h_{l,z^{(l)}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} z_j^{(l)} x^{\mathbf{a}^{(l)}(j)}$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, k$) be Laurent polynomials on the algebraic torus $(\mathbf{G}_m)_x^n$. Here, the subindex x means that we use the symbol x as a coordinate of the torus. The coefficients $z = (z_j^{(l)})_{j,l}$ are regarded as independent variables of the affine space \mathbf{A}_z^N with $N = N_0 + \cdots + N_k$. We put $X_0 = \mathbf{A}_z^N \times (\mathbf{G}_m)_x^n \setminus \{(z, x) \in \mathbf{A}_z^N \times (\mathbf{G}_m)_x^n \mid h_{1,z^{(1)}}(x) \cdots h_{k,z^{(k)}}(x) = 0\}$ and write $\pi : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_z^N$ for the natural projection. The Euler-Laplace integral representation is defined as a complex of \mathcal{D} -modules $\int_{\pi} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} e^{h_{0,z^{(0)}}(x)} h_{1,z^{(1)}}(x)^{-\gamma_1} \cdots h_{k,z^{(k)}}(x)^{-\gamma_k} x^c$ where $\gamma_l \in \mathbf{C}$ and $c \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times 1}$ are parameters.

The following theorem proves the equivalence of Laplace integral representation and Euler-Laplace integral representation.

Theorem 2.1 (Cayley trick for Euler-Laplace integrals). *We put $X_k = \mathbf{A}_z^N \times (\mathbf{G}_m)_y^k \times (\mathbf{G}_m)_x^n$. Let $\varpi : X_k \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_z^N$ be the natural projection and*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33D70; Secondary 32C38.

$\gamma_l \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$, $\delta \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times 1}$ be parameters. Then, one has a natural isomorphism

$$(2) \quad \int_{\pi} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} e^{h_{0,z(0)}(x)} h_{1,z(1)}(x)^{-\gamma_1} \cdots h_{k,z(k)}(x)^{-\gamma_k} x^c \\ \simeq \int_{\varpi} \mathcal{O}_{X_k} y^{\gamma} x^c e^{h_z(y,x)},$$

where $h_z(y, x) = h_{0,z(0)}(x) + \sum_{l=1}^k y_l h_{l,z(l)}(x)$.

Corollary 2.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, one has a natural isomorphism*

$$(3) \quad \int_{\pi!} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} e^{h_{0,z(0)}(x)} h_{1,z(1)}(x)^{-\gamma_1} \cdots h_{k,z(k)}(x)^{-\gamma_k} x^c \\ \simeq \int_{\varpi!} \mathcal{O}_{X_k} y^{\gamma} x^c e^{h_z(y,x)}.$$

We put $\Phi = \Phi(z, x) = e^{h_{0,z(0)}(x)} h_{1,z(1)}(x)^{-\gamma_1} \cdots h_{k,z(k)}(x)^{-\gamma_k} x^c$, $\Phi_k = y^{\gamma} x^c e^{h_z(y,x)}$ to simplify the notation. Let us formulate the main theorem of this section. We define an $n \times N_l$ matrix A_l by $A_l = (\mathbf{a}^{(l)}(1) \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{a}^{(l)}(N_l))$. Then, we define the Cayley configuration A as an $(n+k) \times N$ matrix by

$$(4) \quad A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \cdots 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & \cdots & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 1 \cdots 1 & \cdots & 0 \cdots 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \cdots & 1 \cdots 1 \\ \hline A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_k \end{array} \right).$$

Combining Theorem 2.1 with the result of [SW09], we can obtain the following main result of this section.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that the parameter $\delta = {}^t(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, c)$ is non-resonant and $\gamma_l \notin \mathbf{Z}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k$. Then, one has a sequence of canonical isomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}_z^N}$ -modules*

$$(5) \quad M_A(\delta) \simeq \int_{\varpi} \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \Phi_k \simeq \int_{\pi} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi.$$

Moreover, the regularization conditions

$$(6) \quad \int_{\varpi} \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \Phi_k \simeq \int_{\varpi!} \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \Phi_k$$

and

$$(7) \quad \int_{\pi} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi \simeq \int_{\pi!} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi$$

hold.

In the proof of the last two isomorphisms of Theorem 2.3, we use the proper direct image description of Fourier transform of \mathcal{D} -modules

([Dai00, Proposition 2.2.3.2]).

3. Description of the rapid decay homology groups of Euler-Laplace integrals. We formulate the isomorphism between the space of holomorphic solutions of GKZ system and a certain rapid decay homology group. To begin with, let us remark that there is a concrete version of the isomorphism (5).

Theorem 3.1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$(8) \quad \int_{\pi}^0 \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi \rightarrow \int_{\varpi}^0 \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \Phi_k,$$

of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}_z^N}$ -modules which sends $[\frac{dx}{x}]$ to $[\frac{dy}{y} \wedge \frac{dx}{x}]$.

Here, we realize $\int_{\pi}^0 \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi$ and $\int_{\varpi}^0 \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \Phi_k$ in terms of relative de Rham cohomology groups and we set $\frac{dx}{x} = \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$ and $\frac{dy}{y} = \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dy_k}{y_k}$.

Corollary 3.2. *If the parameter δ is non-resonant and $\gamma_l \notin \mathbf{Z}$ for any $l = 1, \dots, k$, $M_A(\delta) \ni [1] \mapsto [\frac{dx}{x}] \in \int_{\pi}^0 \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}_z^N}$ -modules.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on tedious calculations of relative de Rham complexes.

Now we discuss the solutions of Laplace-Gauss-Manin connection $\int_{\pi} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi$. We repeat the relevant material from [ET15] and [Hie09] without proofs. Let U be a smooth complex affine variety, let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ be a non-constant morphism, and let $M = (E, \nabla)$ be a regular integrable connection on U . We consider an embedding of U into a smooth projective variety X with a meromorphic prolongation $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ of f . We assume that $D = X \setminus U$ is a normal crossing divisor. Any projective variety X with these conditions is called a good compactification. We decompose D as $D = f^{-1}(\infty) \cup D_{\text{irr}}$. Then, we write $\widetilde{X^D} = \tilde{X}$ for the real oriented blow-up of X along D and write $\pi_X: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ for the associated morphism ([Sab13, §8.2]). Let \mathbf{P}^1 denote the real oriented blow-up of \mathbf{P}^1 at infinity and $\pi_{\infty}: \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ denote the associated morphism. Note that the closure of the ray $[0, \infty)e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ in \mathbf{P}^1 and $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{C}$ has a unique intersection point which we will denote by $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\infty$. Now, a morphism $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is naturally induced so that it fits into a commutative diagram

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbf{P}^1 \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\infty} \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbf{P}^1. \end{array}$$

We set $\widetilde{D^{r.d.}} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\infty \mid \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})\}) \setminus \pi_X^{-1}(D_{\text{irr}}) \subset \tilde{X}$. We put $\mathcal{L} = (\text{Ker}(\nabla^{\text{an}} :$

$\mathcal{O}_{X^{an}}(E^{an}) \rightarrow \Omega_{X^{an}}^1(E^{an}))^\vee$, where $^\vee$ stands for the dual local system. We consider the natural inclusion $U^{an} \xrightarrow{j} U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}$. Then, the rapid decay homology group of M. Hien $H_*^{r.d.}(U^{an}, (Me^f)^\vee)$ is defined in this setting by

$$(10) \quad H_*^{r.d.}(U^{an}, (Me^f)^\vee) = H_*(U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}, \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}; j_*\mathcal{L})$$

([Hie09], see also [ET15] and [MHb]). Note that $U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}$ is a topological manifold with boundary and that $j_*\mathcal{L}$ is a local system on $U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}$. We set $H_{dR}^{*+\dim U}(U, Me^f) = H^*(DR_{U/\text{pt}}(Me^f))$. The main result of [Hie09] states that the period pairing $H_*^{r.d.}(U^{an}, (Me^f)^\vee) \times H_{dR}^*(U, Me^f) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is perfect.

Remark 3.3. We put $\widetilde{D}_0^{r.d.} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\infty \mid \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})\})$ and write \bar{j} for the natural inclusion $U^{an} \hookrightarrow U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}_0^{r.d.}$. It can easily be seen that the inclusion $(U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}, \widetilde{D}^{r.d.}) \hookrightarrow (U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}_0^{r.d.}, \widetilde{D}_0^{r.d.})$ is a homotopy equivalence ([MHb, Lemma 2.3]). Therefore, the rapid decay homology group can be computed by the formula $H_*^{r.d.}(U^{an}, (Me^f)^\vee) = H_*(U^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}_0^{r.d.}, \widetilde{D}_0^{r.d.}; \bar{j}_*\mathcal{L})$. Note that this realization is compatible with the period pairing.

We construct a family of good compactifications X associated to the Laplace-Gauss-Manin connection $\int_\pi \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \Phi$. First, we write Δ_0 for the convex hull of the set $\{\mathbf{a}^{(0)}(1), \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(0)}(N_0)\}$ and the origin and write Δ_l for the convex hull of the set $\{\mathbf{a}^{(l)}(1), \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(l)}(N_l)\}$ ($l = 1, \dots, k$). For any covector $\xi \in (\mathbf{R}^n)^*$, we set $\Delta_l^\xi = \{v \in \Delta_l \mid \langle \xi, v \rangle = \min\{\langle \xi, w \rangle\}\}$ and $h_{l,z^{(l)}}^\xi(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}^{(l)}(j) \in \Delta_l^\xi} z_j x^{\mathbf{a}^{(l)}(j)}$. Now, we consider the dual fan Σ of the Minkowski sum $\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_k$. By taking a refinement if necessary, we may assume that Σ is a smooth fan. Then, the associated toric variety $X = X(\Sigma)$ is sufficiently full for any Δ_l in the sense of [Hov77]. We write $\{D_j\}_{j \in J}$ for the set of torus invariant divisors of X .

Definition 3.4. We say that a point $z = (z^{(0)}, z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)}) \in \mathbf{C}^N$ is nonsingular if the following two conditions are both satisfied:

- (a) For any $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_s \leq k$, the Laurent polynomials $h_{l_1, z^{(l_1)}}(x), \dots, h_{l_s, z^{(l_s)}}(x)$ are non-singular in the sense of [Hov77], i.e., for any covector $\xi \in (\mathbf{R}^n)^*$, the s -form $d_x h_{l_1, z^{(l_1)}}^\xi(x) \wedge \dots \wedge d_x h_{l_s, z^{(l_s)}}^\xi(x)$ never vanishes on the set $\{x \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n \mid h_{l_1, z^{(l_1)}}^\xi(x) = \dots = h_{l_s, z^{(l_s)}}^\xi(x) = 0\}$.

- (b) For any covector $\xi \in (\mathbf{R}^n)^*$ such that $0 \notin \Delta_0^\xi$ and for any $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_s \leq k$ (s can be 0), the $(s+1)$ -form $dh_{0, z^{(0)}}^\xi(x) \wedge dh_{l_1, z^{(l_1)}}^\xi(x) \wedge \dots \wedge dh_{l_s, z^{(l_s)}}^\xi(x)$ never vanishes on the set $\{x \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n \mid h_{l_1, z^{(l_1)}}^\xi(x) = \dots = h_{l_s, z^{(l_s)}}^\xi(x) = 0\}$.

Remark 3.5. If $k = 0$, the nonsingularity condition is equivalent to the non-degenerate condition of [Ado94, p.274]. In general, nonsingularity condition is stronger than non-degenerate condition. Nevertheless, it is still a Zariski open dense condition. Note that this is proved in the case when $h_{0, z^{(0)}} \equiv 1$ in [Hov77] (see also [Oka97, Chapter V, COROLLARY (3.2.1)]).

In the following, we fix a nonsingular z and a small positive real number ε . Let $\Delta(z; \varepsilon)$ denote the disk with center at z and with radius ε . By abuse of notation, we write D_j for the product $\Delta(z; \varepsilon) \times D_j$. For any $l = 0, \dots, k$, we set $Z_l = \{(z', x) \in \Delta(z; \varepsilon) \times (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n \mid h_{l, z^{(l)}}(x) = 0\} \subset \Delta(z; \varepsilon) \times X$.

Now we take a small positive real number ε and consider the canonical projection $p : \Delta(z; \varepsilon) \times X \rightarrow \Delta(z; \varepsilon)$. Following [ET15], we consider a sequence of blow-ups along codimension 2 divisors $Z_0 \cap D_j$ for D_j contained in the pole divisor of $h_{0, z^{(0)}}(x)$. If the pole order of $h_{0, z^{(0)}}(x)$ along D_j is $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, one needs at most m_j blow-ups along $Z_0 \cap D_j$. Repeating this process finitely many times, we obtain a non-singular complex manifold \bar{X} . We write $\bar{p} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta(z; \varepsilon)$ for the composition of the natural morphism $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta(z; \varepsilon) \times X$ with the canonical projection $\Delta(z; \varepsilon) \times X \rightarrow \Delta(z; \varepsilon)$. We also write \bar{Z}_l and \bar{D}_j for the proper transforms of Z_l and D_j . We equip \bar{X} with the Whitney stratification coming from the normal crossing divisor $\bar{D} = \{\bar{Z}_l\}_{l=1}^k \cup \{\bar{D}_j\}_{j \in J} \cup \{\text{exceptional divisors of blow-ups}\}$. We have a diagram $\Delta(z; \varepsilon) \xleftarrow{\bar{p}} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{h}_{0, z^{(0)}}} \mathbf{P}^1$. Let us consider a real oriented blow-up $\tilde{X} = \widetilde{\bar{X}^{\bar{D}}}$ of \bar{X} along \bar{D} . We naturally have the following commutative diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_{0, z^{(0)}}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{P}^1} \\ \varpi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_\infty \\ \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}_{0, z^{(0)}}} & \mathbf{P}^1 \end{array}$$

We can show that $\bar{p}^{-1}(z')$ is a good compactification of $\pi^{-1}(z')$ for any $z' \in \Delta(z; \varepsilon)$. We define $\widetilde{D}^{r.d.} \subset \tilde{X}$ by the formula $\widetilde{D}^{r.d.} = \tilde{h}_{0, z^{(0)}}^{-1}((\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})\infty)$, put $\tilde{p} =$

$p \circ \varpi_X$ and put $\widetilde{D}_z^{r,d} = \widetilde{D}_z^{r,d} \cap \tilde{p}^{-1}(z)$. For any $z' \in \mathbf{A}^N$, let $\Phi_{z'}$ denote the multivalued function on $\pi^{-1}(z')$ defined by $\pi^{-1}(z') \ni x \mapsto \Phi(z', x)$. Writing $j_z : \pi^{-1}(z)^{an} \hookrightarrow \pi^{-1}(z)^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}_z^{r,d}$ for the natural inclusion, we set

$$(12) \quad H_{*,z}^{r,d} = H_*(\pi^{-1}(z)^{an} \cup \widetilde{D}_z^{r,d}, \widetilde{D}_z^{r,d}; j_{z*}(\underline{\mathbf{C}}\Phi_z)).$$

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose the parameter vector δ is non-resonant and $\gamma_l \notin \mathbf{Z}$ for any $l = 1, \dots, k$. Suppose that $z \in \mathbf{C}^N$ is nonsingular. Then the morphism*

$$(13) \quad H_{n,z}^{r,d} \xrightarrow{\int} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{C}^N}}(M_A(\delta), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^N})_z$$

given by

$$(14) \quad [\Gamma] \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \Phi \frac{dx}{x}$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbf{C} -vector spaces.

Remark 3.7. Let Ω denote the Zariski open dense subset of \mathbf{A}^N consisting of nonsingular points. It is straightforward to construct a local system $\mathcal{H}_n^{r,d} = \bigcup_{z \in \Omega^{an}} H_{n,z}^{r,d} \rightarrow \Omega^{an}$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_n^{r,d} \xrightarrow{\int} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{C}^N}}(M_A(\delta), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^N})_{\Omega^{an}}$ whose stalks are identical with (13). See [HR08].

References

- [Ado94] A. Adolphson, Hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials, *Duke Math. J.* **73** (1994), no. 2, 269–290.
- [Dai00] L. Daia, La transformation de Fourier pour les \mathcal{D} -modules, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **50** (2000), no. 6, 1891–1944 (2001).
- [ET15] A. Esterov and K. Takeuchi, Confluent A -hypergeometric functions and rapid decay homology cycles, *Amer. J. Math.* **137** (2015), no. 2, 365–409.
- [GGZ87] I. M. Gel’fand, M. I. Graev and A. V. Zelevinskiĭ, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988), no. 1, 5–10; translated from *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **295** (1987), no. 1, 14–19.
- [GKZ90] I. M. Gel’fand, M. M. Kapranov and A. V. Zelevinsky, Generalized Euler integrals and A -hypergeometric functions, *Adv. Math.* **84** (1990), no. 2, 255–271.
- [GZK89] I. M. Gel’fand, A. V. Zelevinskiĭ and M. M. Kapranov, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **23** (1989), no. 2, 94–106; translated from *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **23** (1989), no. 2, 12–26.
- [Hie09] M. Hien, Periods for flat algebraic connections, *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), no. 1, 1–22.
- [Hov77] A. G. Hovanskiĭ, Newton polyhedra, and toroidal varieties, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **11** (1977), no. 4, 56–64, 96.
- [HR08] M. Hien and C. Roucairol, Integral representations for solutions of exponential Gauss–Manin systems, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **136** (2008), no. 4, 505–532.
- [HTT08] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi and T. Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, translated from the 1995 Japanese ed. by K. Takeuchi, *Progress in Mathematics*, 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.
- [MHa] S.-J. Matsubara-Heo, Euler and laplace integral representations of GKZ hypergeometric functions. arXiv:1904.00565.
- [MHb] S.-J. Matsubara-Heo, On rapid decay homology of F-Pham, *Kôkyûroku Bessatsu* **B75** (2019), 1–17.
- [Oka97] M. Oka, *Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity*, *Actualités Mathématiques.*, Hermann, Paris, 1997.
- [Sab13] C. Sabbah, *Introduction to Stokes structures*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2060, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [SW09] M. Schulze and U. Walther, Hypergeometric D -modules and twisted Gauß–Manin systems, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 9, 3392–3409.