



On Some Recent Results on Fixed Points II

Kasahara, Shouro

(Citation)

Mathematics Seminar Notes, 7(1):123-131

(Issue Date)

1979

(Resource Type)

journal article

(Version)

Version of Record

(JaLCD0I)

<https://doi.org/10.24546/E0001461>

(URL)

<https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14094/E0001461>



On Some Recent Results on Fixed Points II

Dedicated to Professor Kiyoshi Iséki on his 60th birthday

By Shouro KASAHARA^{*}

The purpose of this note is to prove two common fixed point theorems in L-spaces which extend recent results obtained by Fisher [1], Park [4], and Singh [5].

For terminologies and notations not defined here the reader may consult [2], [3] and [5]. By an *o-metric* on a set X , we mean a nonnegative real valued function d on $X \times X$ with the property that $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.

Theorem 1. *Let $(X, \rightarrow)$ be an L-space, d a continuous o-metric on X , and P, Q, S, T be mappings of X into itself such that $PS = SP$ and $QT = TQ$. Suppose there exists an upper semicontinuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$\max\{\varphi(t, t, t, 0, 0), \varphi(0, 0, t, t, 0), \varphi(0, t, 0, 0, t)\} < t$$

for all $t > 0$ and such that

$$d(Px, Qy) \leq \varphi(d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, Qy), d(Px, Ty), d(Px, Sx), d(Ty, Qy))$$

for all x, y in X . If there exist sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in

Received February 20, 1979.

^{*} Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Nada, Kobe, 657, Japan.

X and points a, b in X such that S is continuous at a , T is continuous at b , and such that $Px_n \rightarrow a$, $Sx_n \rightarrow a$, $Qy_n \rightarrow b$, and $Ty_n \rightarrow b$, then Pa ($= Qb = Sa = Tb$) is a unique common fixed point of P and S , and at the same time, it is a unique common fixed point of Q and T (hence Pa is a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S , and T).

Proof. The continuity of S at a ensures the existence of a subsequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ of $\{n\}_{n \in \omega}$ with $SSx_{n_i} \rightarrow Sa$. Since $Px_{n_i} \rightarrow a$, there is a subsequence s of $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in \omega}$ such that $SPs \rightarrow Sa$. Clearly we may assume without loss of generality that $SSx_{n_i} \rightarrow Sa$ and $SPx_{n_i} \rightarrow Sa$. Since $Ty_{n_i} \rightarrow b$ and $Qy_{n_i} \rightarrow b$, the same argument shows that $TTt \rightarrow Tb$ and $TQt \rightarrow Tb$ for some subsequence t of $\{y_{n_i}\}_{i \in \omega}$. Thus we may assume that $SSx_{n_i} \rightarrow Sa$, $PSx_{n_i} \rightarrow Sa$, $TTY_{n_i} \rightarrow Tb$, and $QTy_{n_i} \rightarrow Tb$. Since d is continuous, by choosing subsequences repeatedly, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} d(PSx_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}) &\rightarrow d(Sa, Tb), \quad d(SSx_{n_i}, TTy_{n_i}) \rightarrow d(Sa, Tb), \\ d(SSx_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}) &\rightarrow d(Sa, Tb), \quad d(PSx_{n_i}, TTy_{n_i}) \rightarrow d(Sa, Tb), \\ d(PSx_{n_i}, SSx_{n_i}) &\rightarrow d(Sa, Sa) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad d(TTy_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}) \rightarrow d(Tb, Tb) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some subsequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ of $\{n\}_{n \in \omega}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(PSx_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}) \leq \varphi(d(SSx_{n_i}, TTy_{n_i}), d(SSx_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}), d(PSx_{n_i}, TTy_{n_i}), \\ d(PSx_{n_i}, SSx_{n_i}), d(TTy_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \omega$. Hence the upper semicontinuity of φ yields

$$d(Sa, Tb) \leq \varphi(d(Sa, Tb), d(Sa, Tb), d(Sa, Tb), 0, 0)$$

which implies $d(Sa, Tb) = 0$ or $Sa = Tb$. Similarly since

$$\begin{aligned} d(Pa, QTy_{n_i}) &\rightarrow d(Pa, Tb), \quad d(Sa, TTy_{n_i}) \rightarrow d(Sa, Tb) = 0, \\ d(Sa, QTy_{n_i}) &\rightarrow d(Sa, Tb) = 0, \quad d(Pa, TTy_{n_i}) \rightarrow d(Pa, Tb), \quad \text{and} \\ d(TTy_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}) &\rightarrow d(Tb, Tb) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some subsequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ of $\{n\}_{n \in \omega}$, it follows from

$$d(Pa, QTy_{n_i}) \leq \mathcal{F}(d(Sa, TTy_{n_i}), d(Sa, QTy_{n_i}), d(Pa, TTy_{n_i}), \\ d(Pa, Sa), d(TTy_{n_i}, QTy_{n_i}))$$

that

$$d(Pa, Tb) \leq \mathcal{F}(0, 0, d(Pa, Tb), d(Pa, Tb), 0)$$

which shows $Pa = Tb$. Hence we have

$$d(Pa, Qb) \leq \mathcal{F}(d(Sa, Tb), d(Sa, Qb), d(Pa, Tb), d(Pa, Sa), d(Tb, Qb)) \\ = \mathcal{F}(0, d(Pa, Qb), 0, 0, d(Pa, Qb)),$$

and so $Pa = Qb$. Consequently $SQb = SPa = PSa = PQb$, and hence we have

$$d(PQb, Qb) \leq \mathcal{F}(d(SQb, Tb), d(SQb, Qb), d(PQb, Tb), d(PQb, SQb), \\ d(Tb, Qb)) \\ = \mathcal{F}(d(PQb, Qb), d(PQb, Qb), d(PQb, Qb), 0, 0)$$

proving $PQb = Qb$. Since $TPa = TQb = QTb = QPa$, we obtain

$$d(Pa, QPa) \leq \mathcal{F}(d(Sa, TPa), d(Sa, QPa), d(Pa, TPa), d(Pa, Sa), \\ d(TPa, QPa)) \\ = \mathcal{F}(d(Pa, QPa), d(Pa, QPa), d(Pa, QPa), 0, 0)$$

which yields $QPa = Pa$. Therefore we have

$$SQb = SPa = PSa = PQb = Qb \quad \text{and} \quad TQb = QTb = QPa = Pa = Qb,$$

and thus $Qb (= Pa = Tb = Sa)$ is a common fixed point of P, Q, S , and T .

It will suffice only to prove that Qb is the *unique* common fixed point of P and S . To this end, let $x \in X$ be a common fixed point of P and S .

Then

$$d(x, Qb) = d(Px, Qb) \leq \mathcal{F}(d(x, Qb), d(x, Qb), d(x, Qb), 0, 0),$$

and therefore $x = Qb$.

Corollary 1. *Let P, Q and T be mappings of a nonempty L-space $(X, \rightarrow)$ into itself such that $PT = TP, QT = TQ, P(X) \cup Q(X) \subset T(X)$ and T is continuous. Suppose that $(X, \rightarrow)$ is d -complete for some continuous semimetric*

d on X . If there exists an $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(*) \quad d(Px, Qy) \leq \alpha \cdot \max \{ d(Tx, Ty), d(Px, Tx), d(Ty, Qy) \}$$

for all x, y in X , then each of pairs $\{P, T\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ has a unique common fixed point and these two points coincide.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then, since $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subset T(X)$, one can find a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in X such that $Tx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n}$ and $Tx_{2n+2} = Qx_{2n+1}$ for all $n \in \omega$. By virtue of the inequality (*), it is not hard to show that

$$d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \leq \alpha^n \cdot d(Tx_1, Tx_0)$$

for all $n \in \omega$ (see the proof of Theorem 1 of Singh [5]). Consequently we have $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) < \infty$, and hence $\{Tx_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to some a in X . Since $Px_{2n} \rightarrow a$, $Qx_{2n+1} \rightarrow a$, $Tx_{2n} \rightarrow a$, and $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow a$, putting

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = \alpha \cdot \max \{ t_1, t_4, t_5 \}$$

for each $(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) \in \mathbb{R}_+^5$, Theorem 1 can be applied to obtain the required conclusion.

We shall derive a strengthened version of Theorem 2 of Singh[5]:

Corollary 2. Let P, Q and T be mappings of an L -space $(X, \rightarrow)$ into itself such that $PT = TP$, $QT = TQ$, $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subset T^t(X)$, and T is continuous, where t is a positive integer. Suppose that $(X, \rightarrow)$ is d -complete for some continuous semimetric d on X . If there exist positive integers p, q and an $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Ux, Vy) \leq \alpha \cdot \max \{ d(Ux, Sx), d(Vy, Sy), d(Sx, Sy) \}$$

for all x, y in X , where $U = P^p$, $V = Q^q$ and $S = T^t$, then each of pairs $\{P, T\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ has a unique common fixed point and these two points coincide.

Proof. Since $U(X) \cup V(X) \subset P(X) \cup Q(X) \subset T^{\pm}(X)$, Corollary 1 shows that U and S have a unique common fixed point $a \in X$ which is the unique common fixed point of V and S . Obviously Pa is a common fixed point of U and S . Hence we have $Pa = a$. Similarly $Qa = a$ and $Ta = a$. If $x \in X$ is a common fixed point of P and T , then x is also a common fixed point of U and S , and so $x = a$. Thus a is the unique common fixed point of P and T . A similar argument shows that a is the unique common fixed point of Q and T .

The following is the main result of Park [4].

Corollary 3. *Let f, g be commuting mappings of a metric space (X, d) into itself, and φ an upper semicontinuous mapping of $\mathbb{R}_+^5$ into $\mathbb{R}_+$ which is nondecreasing in each coordinate variable and satisfies the inequality $\varphi(t, t, t, t, t) < t$ for every $t > 0$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(1) \quad d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(g(x), g(y)), d(f(x), g(x)), d(f(y), g(y)), d(f(y), g(x)), d(f(x), g(y)))$$

for all x, y in X .

$$(2) \quad \text{There exists a sequence } \{x_n\}_{n \in \omega} \text{ in } X \text{ such that } g(x_{n+1}) = f(x_n) \\ \text{for all } n \in \omega, \sup\{d(g(x_i), g(x_j)) \mid i, j \in \omega\} < \infty, \text{ and such that } \{x_n\}_{n \in \omega} \\ \text{has a subsequence converging to an } a \in X \text{ at which } g \text{ is continuous.}$$

Then $g(a)$ is a unique common fixed point of f and g .

Proof. The same argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 of [3] establishes that $\{g(x_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Hence $g(x_n) \rightarrow a$ and $f(x_n) \rightarrow a$, where $\rightarrow$ denotes the convergence with respect to the metric d . It is clear that d is a continuous o -metric on the L -space $(X, \rightarrow)$. Thus

applying Theorem 1 to $P = Q = f$ and $S = T = g$, we have the desired conclusion.

We shall now derive a strengthened version of the essential part of the main theorem of Fisher [1].

Corollary 4. *Let S and T be continuous mappings of a nonempty complete metric space (X, d) into itself, and A a mapping of X into $S(X) \cup T(X)$ which commutes with S and T . If there exists an $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$(**) \quad d(Ax, Ay) \leq \alpha d(Sx, Ty)$$

for all x, y in X , then each of pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{A, T\}$ has a unique common fixed point and these two points coincide.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in X such that $Sx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}$ and $Tx_{2n+2} = Ax_{2n+1}$ for all $n \in \omega$. Using the inequality (**), it is easy to see that

$$d(Ax_{n+1}, Ax_n) \leq \alpha^n d(Ax_1, Ax_0)$$

for all $n \in \omega$ (see the proof of Theorem 2 of Fisher [1]). It follows that $\{Ax_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , and hence it converges to some $a \in X$. Then $Ax_{2n} \rightarrow a$, $Tx_{2n} \rightarrow a$, $Ax_{2n+1} \rightarrow a$, $Sx_{2n+1} \rightarrow a$, where $\rightarrow$ denotes the convergence with respect to the metric d . Since d is a continuous ϕ -metric on the L-space $(X, \rightarrow)$, Theorem 1 yields the conclusion of the corollary.

The following result extends a theorem of Singh [5]:

Theorem 2. *Let P, Q, S , and T be mappings of a separated L-space $(X, \rightarrow)$ into itself such that $PS = SP$ and $QT = TQ$, and d a nonnegative real valued function on $X \times X$ such that $d(x, x) = 0$ for all x in X .*

If

$$(\dagger) \quad d(Px, Qy) < \max \{ d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, Qy), d(Px, Ty), d(Px, Sx), \\ d(Ty, Qy) \}$$

whenever $Px \neq Qy$, and if there exist sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in X and points a, b in X such that P and S are continuous at a , Q and T are continuous at b , and such that $Px_n \rightarrow a$, $Sx_n \rightarrow a$, $Qy_n \rightarrow b$, and $Ty_n \rightarrow b$, then $Pa (= Qb = Sa = Tb)$ is a unique common fixed point of P and S , and at the same time, it is a unique common fixed point of Q and T (hence Pa is a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S , and T).

Proof. Since S is continuous at a , we can find a subsequence s of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ for which $SPs \rightarrow Sa$. Then since $SS \rightarrow a$, the continuity of P at a implies the existence of a subsequence t of s such that $PSt \rightarrow Pa$. But then $PSt = SPt$ is a subsequence of SPs , and so $PSt \rightarrow Sa$. Since the space is separated, it follows therefore that $Pa = Sa$. Similarly we have $Qb = Tb$. If $Pa \neq Qb$ then we have

$$d(Pa, Qb) < \max \{ d(Sa, Tb), d(Sa, Qb), d(Pa, Tb), d(Pa, Sa), d(Tb, Qb) \} \\ = d(Pa, Qb),$$

a contradiction. Hence $Pa = Qb$. Consequently $TPa = TQb = QTb = QPa$, and so $Pa \neq QPa$ implies

$$d(Pa, QPa) < \max \{ d(Sa, TPa), d(Sa, QPa), d(Pa, TPa), d(Pa, Sa), \\ d(TPa, QPa) \} \\ = d(Pa, QPa),$$

a contradiction. Therefore $QPa = Pa$. Similarly, since $SQb = SPa = PSa = PQb$, if $PQb \neq Qb$ then we have also a contradiction

$$d(PQb, Qb) < \max \{ d(SQb, Tb), d(SQb, Qb), d(PQb, Tb), d(PQb, SQb), \\ d(Tb, Qb) \}$$

$$= d(PQb, Qb).$$

It follows that $PQb = Qb$. Hence $SPa = PSa = PQb = Qb = Pa$ and $TQb = QTb = QPa = Pa = Qb$. Thus $Pa (= Qb = Sa = Tb)$ is a common fixed point of P, Q, S , and T . If $x \in X \setminus \{Qb\}$ is a common fixed point of P and S , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Qb) &= d(Px, Qb) \\ &< \max \{ d(Sx, Tb), d(Sx, Qb), d(Px, Tb), d(Px, Sx), d(Tb, Qb) \} \\ &= d(x, Qb). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Qb is the unique common fixed point of P and S . Similarly we can show that Pa is the unique common fixed point of Q and T .

As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we have the following result which slightly improves Theorem 1 of Singh [5].

Corollary 5. *Let P, Q , and T be continuous mappings of a nonempty separated L-space $(X, \rightarrow)$ into itself such that $PT = TP, QT = TQ, P(X) \cup Q(X) \subset T(X)$. Suppose that $(X, \rightarrow)$ is d -complete for some semimetric d on X . If there exists an $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$d(Px, Qy) < \alpha \cdot \max \{ d(Tx, Ty), d(Px, Tx), d(Ty, Qy) \}$$

for all x, y in X , then each of pairs $\{P, T\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ have a unique common fixed point and these two points coincide.

Proof. The same argument used in the proof of Corollary 1 ensures the existence of a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in X and an $a \in X$ such that $Px_{2n} \rightarrow a, Qx_{2n+1} \rightarrow a, Tx_{2n} \rightarrow a$, and $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow a$. Thus Theorem 2 can be applied.

Remark. If $a = b$ in Theorem 2, then (+) can be replaced with the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Px, Qy) < \max \{ & d(Px, Qx), d(Px, Sx), d(Px, Tx), d(Px, Ty), \\
& d(Py, Sy), d(Qx, Qy), d(Qx, Tx), d(Qx, Ty), \\
& d(Qy, Ty), d(Sx, Px), d(Sx, Qx), d(Sx, Qy), \\
& d(Sy, Py), d(Sx, Tx), d(Sx, Ty), d(Tx, Qx), \\
& d(Tx, Qy), d(Tx, Ty) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] B. Fisher: Mappings with a common fixed point, these NOTES, 7 (1979), 81-84.
- [2] S. Kasahara: On some generalizations of the Banach contraction theorem, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 12 (1976), 427-437; or these NOTES, 3 (1975), 161-169.
- [3] S. Kasahara: On some recent results on fixed points, these NOTES, 6 (1978), 373-382.
- [4] S. Park: An extension of a fixed point theorem of Kasahara: these NOTES, 7 (1979), 85-89.
- [5] S. L. Singh: Some common fixed point theorems in L-spaces, these NOTES, 7 (1979), 91-97.